



भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्रतिपक्षार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 6]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, फरवरी 11, 1995/माघ 22, 1916

No. 6]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 1995/MAGHA 22, 1916

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में
रखा जा सके

Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a
separate compilation

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (ii) PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों (रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) द्वारा जारी किए गए सांविधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं
Statutory Orders and Notifications Issued by the Ministries of the Government of India
(other than the Ministry of Defence)

वित्त मंत्रालय
(राजस्व विभाग)
आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) का कार्यालय

कलकत्ता, 8 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का. आ. 326 :—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" प्रयोग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा,

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मैहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110 016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है, और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च किया गया सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

दि. सोसाइटी फोर रिसर्च हिमेटोलोजी एन्ड ब्लड ट्रांसफ्यूजन 75/सी, पार्क स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता-16

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी:—1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है कि माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1247/एफ. सं. म. नि./आ. क. (छूट)
/इम्पू. वी. 13/35(1)(ii)/89]
राजेन्द्र सिंह, उप निदेशक

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Revenue)

Office of the Director General of Income Tax (Exemption)
Calcutta, the 8th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 326.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Association" subject to the following conditions :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under and Expenditure Account in respect of its research 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

The Society for Research Hematology and Blood Transfusion, 75/C, Park Street, Calcutta-700016.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

Notes.—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1247/F. No. DG/IT(E)/WB-13/35(1)(ii)/89-IT(E)]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 8 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का.आ. 327.—मर्यादाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग निवा बहियां रखेगा,

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संबंधी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "श्रीयोगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई विस्तृत विवरण तथा संबंधित छूट के दायरे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

गुजरमल मोदी साइन्स फाउन्डेशन हांग मोदी
श्रेड लिमिटेड, मोदी नगर-201201 जिला गाजियाबाद

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी: 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है कि माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1248/एफ. सं. म. नि./आ. क. (छूट/
यूपी-13/35(1)(ii)]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 8th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 327.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Association" subject to the following conditions :—

- (i) The Organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Gujar Mal Modi Science Foundation
C/o. Modi Thread Limited
Modinagar-201201,
Dist. Ghaziabad.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

Note.—(1) Conditions (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1248/F. No. DG/IT(E)/UP-13/35(1)(ii)]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता. 8 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का. आ. 328.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा ।
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए

प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

- (iii) नव प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिमार्च क्रियाकलाप से सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिस्सा को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा ।

संगठन का नाम

गवर्नमेंट टूल रूम एन्ड ट्रेनिंग सेंटर राजाजी नगर
इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट बंगलूर-560044

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-97 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है ।

टिप्पणी.—

1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा ।
2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है कि माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियाँ सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है ।

[संख्या : 1249/एफ सं. म. नि./आ. क. (छूट)
के. टी. 35/35 (1)(ii)]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 8th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 328.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and

- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

संगठन का नाम

सेन्टर फॉर आर्गेनाइजेशन डेवलपमेंट माधवपुर, जुबली हिल्स
एक्सटेन्शन, पो. बा. नं. 1, बंजारा हिल्स, हैदराबाद 500034

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-1994 से 31-3-96 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (i) "संघ" जैसा संघों के लिये लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को भुक्ताव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिये आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किये आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना हैं।

[संख्या: 1250/एफ.सं. म. नि./आ. क. (छूट) एपी-7/35
(i) (ii.)]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, उप-निदेशक

Calcutta, the 8th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 329.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Centre for Organisation Development,
Madhavpur, Jubilee Hills Extension,
Post Box No. 1,
Banjara Hills,
Hyderabad-500034.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Government Tool Room and Training Centre,
Rajajinagar Industrial Estate,
Bangalore-560044.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1997.

Notes.—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1249/F. No. DG/IT(E)/KT-35/35(1)(ii)]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 8 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का.आ. 329.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (iii) के लिये, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित अधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संघों के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिये अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिये, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरीली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है, और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च कार्यों से सम्बन्धित (छूट) के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1996.

Calcutta, the 14th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

Notes—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1250/F. No. DG/IT(E)/AP-7/35(1)(iii)]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 14 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का.आ. 331.—सर्वसाधारण का एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (iii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" संदर्भ के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक सेवा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" संदर्भ के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षा आय-अवय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

सेन्टर फॉर एडवांस्ड स्ट्रैटेजिक स्टडीज, मल्से बंगला 55/24, एर्न्डवाना, अशोक पथ, पुणे-411004

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-97 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (1) "संघ" ऐसा संगठन के लिए लागू नहीं होगी।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदित की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1251/एफ. सं. म.नि./आ. क. (छूट)/एम-159/35(1) (iii)/93]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, उप निदेशक

S.O. 330.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its Scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Centre for Advanced Strategic Studies,
Malse Bunglow, 55/24, Erndwana,
Ashok Path, Pune-411004.

This Notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1997.

Notes.—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1251/F. No. DG/IT(E)/M-159/35(1)(iii)/93]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 14 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का.आ. 331.—सर्वसाधारण का एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" संदर्भ के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षण वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिसर्च किया गया सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षण आय-व्यय विवरण को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

नार्दन हंडिया टेक्स्टाईल रिसर्च एसोसिएशन,
सेक्टर 23, राज नगर, गाजियाबाद-201002 (यू.पी.)

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-93 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी :—1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (i) "संच" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन का अग्रवि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन का अग्रवि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1252/एफ सं. म. नि./आ. क. (छूट)/
यू. पी. -10/35(1) (ii)/99]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, उपनिदेशक

Calcutta, the 14th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 331.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisations mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Association" subject to the following conditions :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department Scientific and Industrial Research, "Technological Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and

- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Northern India Textile Research Association,
Sector 23, Raj Nagar,
Ghaziabad-201002 (U.P.)

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1993 to 31-3-1995.

Notes—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as association.

- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1252/F. No. DG/IT(E)/UP-10/35(1)(ii)90]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 14 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का.आ. 332.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिक्तियाँ किया गया सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

लोकमान्य मेडिकल रिसर्च सेंटर, लोकमान्य होस्पिटल,
पुणे-411033

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-1994 से 31-3-1995 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी: 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (i) "संव" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को मुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनु-मोदन को अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियाँ सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1253/एफ. सं. म. नि./आ. क. (छूट)
एम-107/35(1)(ii)/90]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 14th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 332.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Lokmanya Medical Research Centre,
Lokmanya Hospital, Pune-411033.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

Notes :—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations, having jurisdiction over the organisation.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1253/F. No. DG/IT(E)/M-107/35(1)(ii)/90]

R. SINGH, Dy Director

कलकत्ता, 14 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का.आ. 332. —सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन

विहित प्राधिकारों द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" संघर्ष के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

Calcutta, the 14th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग से लेखा बहियां रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरौली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा; और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा को प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और अप्रारम्भ चरित्रधन, 1961 को धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिक्त किया गया सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

एस पी एग्रीकल्चरल रिसर्च एन्ड डेवलपमेंट फाउन्डेशन
पी. ओ. बॉक्स नं. 7602 आदर्श हाउसिंग सोसाइटी
क्रॉस रोड नं. 1 मलाड (प) बम्बई-400064

यह अधिगुचना दिनांक 1-8-94 से 31-3-96 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी : उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संघर्ष के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करे, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए गए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करता है।

[संख्या 1254/एफ सं. म. नि./आ.क. (छूट) एम-4/
35(1)(ii)/89]

राजेश्वर सिंह, उपा निदेशक

S.O. 333.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Association" subject to the following conditions :—

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year : and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Aspee Agricultural Research and Development
Foundation, P.O. Box No. 7602, Adarsh
Housing Society Cross Road, No. 1,
Malad (W) Bombay-400064.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-8-1994 to 31-3-1996.

Notes —(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1254/F. No. DG/IT(E)/M-4/35(1)(ii)/89]
R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 14 नवम्बर, 1994

Calcutta, the 14th November, 1994

आयकर

INCOME-TAX

का.आ. 334.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारों द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा ;

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेट्रोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा; और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा को प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च किया गया सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को ही प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

किदवाई मेमोरियल इंस्टीच्यूट आफ ऑन्कोलोजी, होसुर रोड, बंगलूर-560029, कर्नाटक।

यह अतिवृत्त दिनांक 1-4-95 से 31-3-97 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (i) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1255/एफ. सं. म. नि./मा.क. (छूट)/केटी-2/35 (1) (ii)/89]

राजेंद्र सिंह, उप निदेशक

S.O. 334.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Maharauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts [and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Kidwai Memorial Institute of Oncology,
Hosur Road, Bangalore-560029, Karnataka.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1995 to 31-3-1997.

Note : (1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1255/F. No. DG/IT(E)/KT-2/35(1)(ii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 14 नवम्बर, 1994

औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

आयकर

[संख्या 1256/एफ. स. म. नि./आ. क. (छूट)/उत्प. बी-15
35(1)/(ii)/89]

राजेंद्र सिंह, उद्दिष्ट

का. आ. 335.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के लिये, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

Calcutta, the 14th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिये अलग लेखा-बहियां रखेगा;

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संबंधी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिये, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रीयोगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा; और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च किया गया सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

S.O. 335.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Association" subject to the following conditions :

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax [Director of Income-tax (Exemptions)], having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961.

संगठन का नाम

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

नेशनल एग्रीकल्चरल एंड साइंटिफिक रिसर्च फाउंडेशन, 23/24 राधा बाजार स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता-700001

National Agricultural and Scientific Research Foundation, 23/24, Radha Bazar St., Calcutta-700001.

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक को की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

NOTES

टिप्पणी . 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (i) संघ जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

1. Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

2. संगठन को सूझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिये आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किये आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और

2. The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax [Director of Income-tax (Exemptions)] having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1256/F. No. DG/IT(E)/WB-15/35(1)(ii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director.

कलकत्ता, 14 नवम्बर, 1994

Calcutta, the 14th November, 1994

आयकर

INCOME-TAX

का.आ. 336.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए आवश्यक सेवा-वहियों रखेगा।
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा; और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिसर्च कार्यों से सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

बि एम बिडला हर्ट रिसर्च सेन्टर, 1/1, नेशनल लाइब्रेरी एवेन्यू, कलकत्ता-27

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-96 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होंगी।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1257/एफ सं. म. नि. /आ. क. (छूट) डब्ल्यू बि-14/35(1)(ii)/89]

आर. सिंह, उप निदेशक

S.O. 336.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Association" subject to the following conditions :

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

B. M. Birla Heart Research Centre,
1/1, National Library Avenue,
Calcutta-27.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1996.

NOTES :

1. Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.
2. The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1258/F, No. DG/IT(E)/WB-14/35(1)(ii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 14 नवम्बर, 1994

Calcutta, the 14th November, 1994

आयकर

INCOME TAX

का.आ. 337.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संबंधी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई, तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा को प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च किया गया संबंधित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

मूकुन्द फाउन्डेशन फोर एग्रीकल्चरल रिसर्च, 41-एफ वेंकटसरी रोड, वेस्ट आर एस पुरम, कोयम्बटूर

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-96 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है

टिप्पणी: 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन को अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन को अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1258/एफ सं. म. नि./मा. क. (छूट)
टी एन/16/35 (1) (ii) 89]

आर० सिंह, उप निदेशक

S.O. 337.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Association" subject to the following conditions :—

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Mukund Foundation for Agricultural Research, 41-F, Venkatasary Road, West, R. S. Puram, Coimbatore.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1996.

NOTES : 1.—Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

2. The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1258/F. No. DG/IT(E)/TN-16/35(1)(ii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 14 नवम्बर, 1994

Calcutta, the 14th November, 1994

आयकर

INCOME TAX

का. आ. 338.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" संवर्ग के अन्तर्गत अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरौली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिसर्च किया गया सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

महाराष्ट्र राज्य द्रक्षा बगैतदर संघ द्रक्षा भवन,
ई-4, मार्केट यार्ड गुलट्यूडी, पूणे-411037

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी :—(1) उपर्युक्त शर्त (i) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदनपत्र की 6 प्रतियां, सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1259/एफ सं. म. नि./आ. क. (छूट)]

एम-13/35 (1) (ii)/89]

आर. सिंह, उप निदेशक

S.O. 338.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Maharashtra Rajya Draksha Bagaitdar
Sangh, Braksha Bhawan, E-4, Market Yard
Gulteudi, Pune-411037.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

Notes : (1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

- 2 The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1259/F. No. DG/IT(E)/M-13/35(1)(ii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 15 नवम्बर, 1994

Calcutta, the 15th November, 1994

आयकर

INCOME TAX

का. आ. 339 —सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिये, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिये अलग लेखा बहिया रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संबंधी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक निवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिये, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक, मंचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उका संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई विवरण दिया गया सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

एस पी आई सी साइंस फाउन्डेशन
माउन्ट व्यू 110, माउन्ट रोड, गुइन्डी,
मद्रास-600032

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-95 से 31-3-96 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (i) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट), जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियाँ सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1260/एफ. सं. म. नि./आ क (छूट)
डी एन 10/35 (i) (ii)/89]

अर सिंह, उन निदेशक

S.O. 339.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year : and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

S.P.I.C. Science Foundation,
Mount View,
110, Mount Road, Guindy,
Madras-600032.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1995 to 31-3-1996.

Notes.—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1260/F. No. DG/IT(E)/TN-10/35(1)(ii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 17 नवम्बर, 1994

Calcutta, the 14th November, 1994

आयकर

INCOME TAX

का.आ. 340.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्था" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहिया रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रोद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखापरीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर प्रायुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च किया गया सम्बन्धी छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

नवरोस्जी वाडिया मैटर्नीटी होस्पिटल, सर, नेस वाडिया रिसर्च सोसाइटी, आचार्य डोन्डे मार्ग, परेल बम्बई-12

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 9-5-94 से 31-3-96 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी: 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (i) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर प्रायुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1261/एफ सं. ग. नि./आ. क(छूट) एम

78/35 (1) (ii)/90]

आर सिंह, उप निदेशक

S.O. 340.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016, for every financial year by 31st May of each year, and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Nowrosjee Wadia Maternity Hospital,
Sir, Ness Wadia Research Soc.,
Acharya Donde Marg, Parel,
Bombay-12.

This notification is effective for the period from 9-5-1994 to 31-3-1996.

Notes.—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1261/F. No. DG/IT(E)/M-78/35(1)(ii)/90]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 17 नवम्बर, 1994

Calcutta, the 17th November, 1994

आयकर

INCOME TAX

का.भा. 341.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए आयकर नियम के नियम 8 के प्रथित विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर “संघ” संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा-बहियां रखेगा ;
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, “प्रौद्योगिकी भवन”, न्यू महरौली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा; और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च किया गया सम्बन्धी छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

डा. रेड्डीस रिसर्च फाउन्डेशन (स्टैंडर्ड रिसर्च सेन्टर)
7-1-27 अमीरपेट हैदराबाद-500016

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-93 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) “संघ” जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या/1262/एफ. सं. म. नि./आ. क. (छूट)/
ए. पी. 17/35(1) (ii)/89]

आर सिंह, उप निदेशक

S.O. 341.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6, of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category “Association” subject to the following conditions :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, ‘Technology Bhawan’, New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of its audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Dr. Reddys Research Foundation,
(Standard Research Centre)
7-1-27, Ameerpet
Hyderabad-500016.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1993 to 31-3-1995.

Notes.—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval to the Director General of Income Tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1262/F. No. DG/IT(E)/AP-17/35(1)(ii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 17 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का.सा. 342—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के वर्धन अनुमोदित किया गया है:—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए प्रलग देखा बहियां रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संबंधी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई, रिसर्च कार्यों संबंधी छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

इंदिरा गांधी इंस्टीट्यूट आफ डेवलपमेंट रिसर्च द्वारा
मैनजर (ट्रेनिंग) डिपार्टमेंट आफ एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन, आर०
बी०आई०, दूसरा तल, मेन बिल्डिंग, बम्बई-400001

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-96 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी—1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को भुलाव दिया जाता है कि ये अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निवेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में दाखिल करें, अनुमोदन का अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए दाखिल-पत्र को 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करवा दें।

[संख्या 1263/एफ. सं. म. नि./आ. क. (छूट)/एम-174/35(1) (ii)/94]

आर० सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 17th November, 1994

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 342.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions:—

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, Technology

Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research,
O/o Manager (Training), Department of Administration, R.B.I. 2nd Floor,
Main Building, Bombay-400001.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1996.

NOTES:

(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1263/F. No. DG/IT(E)/M-174/35(1)(ii)/94]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director.

कलकत्ता, 17 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का.सा. 343.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (iii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के वर्धन अनुमोदित किया गया है:—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए प्रलग देखा बहियां रखेगा;

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संबंधी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष को 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा; और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिसर्च कार्यों संबंधी छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

दि के. आर. कामा ओरियन्टल इंस्टीट्यूट, 136,
बम्बई समाचार मार्ग, फोर्ट, बम्बई-400023.

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगी।

कलकत्ता, 17 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की प्रवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर प्रायुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की प्रवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1264/एफ सं. मं नि०/आ०क० (छूट)/एम 89/35(1)(iii)/90]

आर. सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 17th November, 1994

(INCOME-TAX)

S.O. 343.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year : and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

The K. R. Cama Oriental Institute,
136, Bombay Samachar Marg, Fort,
Bombay-400023.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

NOTES :

- (1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.
- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1264/F. No. DG/ITE)/M-89/35(1)(iii)/90]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

का. आ. 344—सर्वताधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 को उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अर्थात् विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अर्धीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बंधी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष की 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरौली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर प्रायुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई, रिक्त स्थानों सम्बन्धि, छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

ठाकूर हरी प्रसाद इन्स्टीट्यूट ग्राफ रिसर्च एन्ड रिहबिलिटेशन फोर द मेन्टली हैंडिकैप्ड चिल्ड्रन, गिफ्ट निकेतन, विवेकानन्द नगर, हवराबाद-500660.

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की प्रवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी:— 1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगी।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की प्रवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर प्रायुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट), जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है, के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की प्रवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करता है।

[संख्या 1265/एफ.सं. म.नि./आ.क. (छूट)/एपी-14/35 (1)(ii)/91]

आर. सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 17th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 344.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following condition :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/ Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income & Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Thakur Hari Prasad Institute of Research and Rehabilitation for the Mentally Handicapped Children, Sishuniketan, Vivekananda Nagar, Hyderabad-500660.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

Notes :—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1265/F. No. DG/IT(E)/AP-14/35(I)(ii)/91]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director.

कलकत्ता, 17 नवम्बर 1994

आयकर

का.आ. 345.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (iii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू महारौली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च कार्यों से सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

नेशनल इंस्टीट्यूट ऑफ अरबन एफेयर्स, 11 न्याय मार्ग, चानक्यपुरी, नई दिल्ली-110021.

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-91 से 31-3-94 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (i) "संव" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1266/एफ.सं.म.नि./आ.क. (छूट)/एन डी-29/
(35)(1)(iii)/89]

आर० सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 17th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 345.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6, of the Income-tax Rules for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the categories "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

National Institute of Urban Affairs,
11, Nyaya Marg,
Chanakyapuri,
New Delhi-110021.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1991 to 31-3-1994.

Notes.—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1266/F. No. DG/IT(E)/ND-29/35(1)(ii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director.

कलकत्ता, 17 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का.भा. 346:—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (iii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिक भवन", न्यू मेहरौली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च कार्य-कलाप सम्बन्धी (छूट) के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

नेशनल इंस्टीट्यूट आफ अरबन एफेयर्स, 11 न्याय मार्ग, चानक्यपुरी, नई दिल्ली-110021

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (i) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतिमा में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में फिर आवेदन-पत्र का 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1267/एफ सं. म. नि./भा. क. (छूट) /एन डी-29/35(1) (iii) /89]

आर. सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 17th November, 1994

(INCOME TAX)

S.O. 346.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

National Institute of Urban Affairs.
11, Nyaya Marg,
Chanakyapuri,
New Delhi-110021.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995

NOTES :

1. Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.
2. The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax [Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1267/F. No. DG/IT(E)/ND-29/35(1)(iii)/89-IT(E)]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 16 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

क्र.आ. 347.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहीयां रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू महेरौली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक, (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है, और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिक्तियाँ किया गया सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय विवरण को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

रीजनल कन्सरसेन्टर मेडिकल कालेज कैम्पस त्रिवेन्द्रम-695011,

यह अधिसूचना 1-4-1993 से 31-3-96 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (i) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है, के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1268/एफ.सं. म. नि./आ.क. (छूट) के (1) 35 (ii)/89]

आर. सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 16th November, 1994

(INCOME TAX)

S.O. 347.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax [Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Regional Cancer Centre.
Medical College Campus,
Trivandrum-695011.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1993 to 31-3-1996.

NOTES :

1. Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

2. The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1268/F. No. DG/IT(E)/K-1/35(1)(ii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 16 नवम्बर, 1994

प्रायकर

का. घा. 348.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, प्रायकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, प्रायकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए प्रलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संबंधी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू महरौली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) प्रायकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) प्रायकर प्रायस्कर/प्रायस्कर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके लेखाधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और प्रायकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च किया गया संबंधित (छूट) के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

श्री भगवान महावीर विकलांग सहायता समिति, सवाई मानसिंह हॉस्पिटल, जयपुर-302004.

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-1992 से 31-3-94 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

ध्वनि: 1. उद्देश्य: जर्न (1) "संघ" बना संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2 संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की प्रक्रिया बढ़ाने के लिए प्रायकर प्रायस्कर/प्रायस्कर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से प्रायकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आবেदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आবেदन पत्र को 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या : 1269/एफ सं. म.नि./आ. क. (छूट)/आर 6/35(1)(ii)/90]

आर. सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 16th November, 1994

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 348.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110 016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income & Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF ORGANISATION

Shri Bhagwan Mahaveer Viklang Sahayata Samiti,
Sawai Mansingh Hospital,
Jaipur-302004.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1992 to 31-3-1994.

Notes :—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1269/F. No. DG/IT(E)/R-6/35(1)(ii)/90]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 16 नवम्बर, 1994

प्रायकर

का. घा. 349.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, प्रायकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, प्रायकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए प्रलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च कार्यों सम्बन्धित (छूट) के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

शंकरा रिसर्च फाउन्डेशन, ए-78, मसूद पुर, बंसत कुंज नई दिल्ली-110037

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-93 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी:—1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियाँ सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1270/एफ.सं. म. नि. आ.क. (छूट) एनडी-104 35(1)(ii) 92]

आर. सिंह, उपनिदेशक

Calcutta, the 16th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 349.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities :

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110 016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income & Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Sankara Research Foundation,
A-78, Masoodpur-Vasant Kunj,
New Delhi-110037.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1993 to 31-3-1995.

Notes :—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1270/F. No. DG/IT(E)/ND-104/35(1)(ii)/92]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 28 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

कां.आ. 350.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियाँ रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, प्रौद्योगिकी भवन, न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च कार्यों सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

महेन्द्र आई रिसर्च सेंटर खैराबाद आई होस्पिटल कैम्पस
स्वारूप नगर, कानपुर-208002

Mahendra Eye Research Centre,
Khairabad Eye Hospital Campus,
Swaroop Nagar,
Kanpur-208002.

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक
की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994
to 31-3-1995.

NOTES :

- धर्णी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संघर्ष के लिए
लागू नहीं होगा।
2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे
अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर
आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्रा-
धिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से
आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को
तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की
अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन पत्र की
6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक
अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करनी हैं।

1. Condition (i) above will not apply to orga-
nisations categorised as associations.
2. The organisation is advised to apply in trip-
licate and well in advance for further exten-
sion of the approval, to the Director General
of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta
through the Commissioner of Income-tax/
Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) hav-
ing jurisdiction over the organisation. Six
copies of the application for extension of
approval should be sent directly to the Sec-
retary, Department of Scientific & Industrial
Research.

[No. 1271/F. No. DG/IT(E)/UP-25/35(1)(ii)/91-IT(E)]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

[संख्या 1271/एफ सं. म. नि./आ. क. (छूट)/
यू पी -25/35 (1) (ii)/91]

आर. सिंह, उपनिदेशक

कलकत्ता, 28 नवम्बर, 1994

Calcutta, the 28th November, 1994

आयकर

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 350.—It is hereby notified for general infor-
mation that the organisation mentioned below has been
approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of
the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii)
of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act,
1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the
following conditions :

का.आ. 351.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया
जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961
की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आय
कर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन बिहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा
निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संघर्ष के अधीन अनुमोदि-
त किया गया है :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate
books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its
scientific research activities to the Secretary,
Department of Scientific & Industrial Re-
search, 'Technology Bhawan', New Meh-
rauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every
financial year by 31st May of each year;
and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General
of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary,
Department of Scientific & Industrial Re-
search, and (c) Commissioner of Income-
tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions),
having jurisdiction over the organisation, by
the 31st October each year, a copy of its
audited Annual Accounts and also a copy
of audited Income and Expenditure Account
in respect of its research activities for which
exemption was granted under sub-section
(1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए, अलग लेखा बहियां
रखेगा।
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक
वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक
वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक
अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरीली
रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित
वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक
(छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक
अनुसंधान विभाग, और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर
महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन
पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा
35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च कार्यों ने सम्बन्धित (छूट)
के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी
प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

न्यूट्रीशन फाउन्डेशन आफ इंडिया, बी-37 गुलमोहर पार्क,
नई दिल्ली 110049

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-93 से 31-3-95 तक
की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी: 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संव" जैसा संवर्ग के
लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनु-
मोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर
आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्रा-
धिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से
आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को
तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन को
अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की
6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक
अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 127/एफ सं. म. नि./आ. क (छूट)/
एन डी-70/35(1) (ii)/90]

आर. सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 28th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O 351.—It is hereby notified for general information
that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by
the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax
Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of
Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category
"Association" subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of
accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific
research activities to the Secretary, Department of
Scientific and Industrial Research, "Technology
Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi 110016
for every financial year by 31st May of each year :
and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-
tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of
Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commis-
sioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exem-
ptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by
the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited
Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income
and Expenditure Account in respect of its research
activities for which exemption was granted under
sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act,
1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Nutrition Foundation of India,
B-37, Gulmohar Park,
New Delhi-110049

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1993
to 31-3-1995.

Notes —(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations
catered as association.

201 GI/95—4

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate
and well in advance for further extension of the
approval, to the Director General of Income-tax
(Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner
of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exem-
ptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation.
Six copies of the application for extension of
approval should be sent directly to the Secretary,
Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1272/F. No. DG/IT(E)/ND-70/35(1)(ii)/90]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 28 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का०आ० 352.—गर्वमाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है
कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35
की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (iii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम
6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संव"
संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक
विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई
तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्यो-
गिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को
भेजेगा, और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक
लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख)
गवर्नर वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग)
आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्रा-
धिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961
की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिमूव किया गया सम्बन्धित
छूट के तारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिमाख को भी
प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

सोमाडटी फार हेल्थ एलाइड, रिसर्च एन्ड एजुकेशन इंडिया,
णयर इंडिया 1-7-293, एम. जी. रोड, मिकन्दर, बाद-500003

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक
की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी: 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संव" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू
नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की
अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक
(छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम
से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों
में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में
किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और
औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1273/एफ सं. म. नि./आ. क. (छूट)/
ए पी 11/35 (1) (ii) /90]

राजेंद्र सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 28th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 352.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Association" subject to the following conditions:—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Society for Health Allied,
Research and Education India,
Shree India 1-7-293, M. G. Road,
Secunderabad-500003.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

Notes:—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1273/E. No. DG/IT(F)/AP-11/35(1)(ii)/90]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 28 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

क्र.आ. 353.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है:—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा;

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरौली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा; और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिमार्क किया गया सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

दि गोवा कैथर सोसायटी डा. ड. बार्नेस रोड, डोना पाउला, गोवा-403004

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी: 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए, आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1274/एफ सं. म.नि./आ. क. (छूट)
गोवा-2 35(1)(ii)/89]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 28th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 353.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions:—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and

- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

The Goa Cancer Society,
Dr. E. Borges Road, Dona Paula,
Goa-403004.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

Notes.--(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1274/F. No. DG/IT(E)/Goa-2/35(1)(ii)89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 28 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का.आ. 354.--सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (iii) के लिए आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्था" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :--

(1) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा ;

(2) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा ; और

(3) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिसर्च किया गया सम्बन्धित (छूट) के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित अन्तः-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा ।

संगठन का नाम

इंडियन कॉमिन आफ मेडिकल रिसर्च, आन्वारी नगर,
पोस्ट बाक्स 4508, नई दिल्ली-110029

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है ।

टिप्पणी : 1.--उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा ।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना ह ।

[संख्या 1275 (एफ सं. मं. नि./आ. क. (छूट) एन डी 59/35 (1) (ii)/90]

राजेश्वर सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 28th November, 1994

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 354.--It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and

- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION :

संगठन का नाम

Indian Council of Medical Research,
Ansari Nagar,
Post Box 4508,
New Delhi-110029.

सीताराम भारतीय इंस्टीच्यूट आफ माइन्टीफीक
एन्ड रिसर्च, 38, नेताजी भुभाम रोड, कलकत्ता-700001

This Notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

यह अधिमूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की
की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

NOTES :

1. Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.
2. The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

टिप्पणी :—1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के
लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियां में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदनपत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करता है।

[No. 1275/F. No. DG/IT(E)/ND-59/35(1)(ii)/90]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

[संख्या 1276/एफ सं. मं. नि./आ. क. (छूट)/डब्ल्यू
वी-38 35 (1) (ii)/90]

कलकत्ता, 28, नवम्बर, 1994

राजेन्द्र सिंह, उपनिदेशक

आयकर

Calcutta, the 28th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

का. आ. 355.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

S.O. 355.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा ;
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू महरौली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिसर्च कार्यों से सम्बन्धित (छूट) के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिमाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

संगठन का नाम

Sitaram Bhartiya Institute of
Scientific and Research,
38, Netaji Subhas Road,
Calcutta-700001.

एशियन एनर्जी इन्स्टीट्यूट, E-475 ग्रेटर कैलाश-II,
नई दिल्ली-110048

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

NOTES :

1. Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.
2. The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

टिप्पणी :—1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदनपत्र को 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[No. 1276/F. No. DG/IT(E)/WB-38/35(1)(ii)/90]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

[संख्या 1277/एफ.सं. मं. नि. /आ. क. (छूट)
एन डी 103/35 (1) (ii)/92]

कलकत्ता, 28 नवम्बर, 1994

राजेन्द्र सिंह, उप निदेशक

आयकर

Calcutta, the 28th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

का. आ. 356.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन बिहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है:—

S.O. 356.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा ;
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों या एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरौली रोड, नई दिल्ली 110016 को भेजेगा; और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिक्त स्थानों सम्बन्धी (छूट) के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

संगठन का नाम

Asian Energy Institute,
E-475, Greater Kailash-II,
New Delhi-110048.

विकल्प केंद्र हरन रिसर्च सोसाइटी, 13, लुकरगंज,
इलाहाबाद, उत्तर प्रदेश

This Notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

NOTES :

1. Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.
2. The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1277/F. No. DG/IT(E)/ND-103/35(1)(ii)/92]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 28 नवम्बर, 1994

आय-कर

का. आ. 357.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के प्रदान विहित अधिकारों द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" संघर्ष के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा-बहियां रखेगा ;
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, और (ग) आयकर प्रायुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिगर्चे किया गया सम्बन्धित (छूट) के तहत में निम्नलिखित आय-व्यय हिस्सा को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

टिप्पण :- 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संघर्ष के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर प्रायुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता का तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1278/एफ सं. मं. नि. /आ. क. (छूट)/यूपी/1
35(1) (ii)/89]

राजेन्द्र सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 28th November, 1994

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 357.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

संगठन का नाम

Viklang Kendra Rural Research Society,
13, Linkerganj,
Allahabad,
Uttar Pradesh.

डिकोस्पीन रिसर्च फाउन्डेशन, द्वारा दि टिक्कन को.
आपरेटिव स्पॉन्सिंग मिल्स लिमिटेड इंडस्ट्रीयल एस्टेट,
उवाण करंजी, जिला कोल्हापुर

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-93 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

Notes :

1. Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.
2. The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1278/F. No. DG/IT(E)/UP-1/35(1)(ii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 28 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का.आ. 358:— सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू महरूली रोड, नई दिल्ली 110016 को भेजेगा, और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिमार्क किया गया सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियां में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करता है।

[संख्या 1279/एफ. सं. नि./आ. क. (छूट)/एम 117/35(1) (ii)/90]

राजिन्द्र सिंह, उपनिदेशक

Calcutta, the 28th November, 1994

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 358:—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Association" subject to the following conditions:—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income & Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Decospin Research Foundation,
C/o. The Deccan Co-op. Spinning Mills Ltd.,
Industrial Estate,
Ichalkaranji,
Dist. Kolhapur.

This Notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1993 to 31-3-1995.

NOTES :

- (1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax, Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1279/F. No. DG/IT(E)/M-117/35(1)(ii)/90]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 28 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का.आ. 359.— सर्वसाधारण को एनद्द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर “संघ” संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।

- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, “प्रौद्योगिक भवन” न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परिक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिमर्च किया गया सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परिक्षित आय-व्यय हिमाज को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

फाउन्डेशन फॉर कोइल विन्डिंग एन्ड रिसर्च, ए/6, एलेक्ट्रॉनिक एस्टेट, प्लॉट नं. सी-5-12(19), एम आर्डी डी सी, पुणे-26.

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-93 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी: 1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (1) “संघ” जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्रा-

धिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए गए आवेदन-पत्र को 6 प्रतियां, सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1280/एफ सं. म. नि./आ. क. (छूट)/एम-116 35(1) (ii)/90]

राजेन्द्र मिह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 28th November, 1994

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 359.— It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category “Association” subject to the following conditions :

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, ‘Technology Bhawan’, New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110 016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION :

Foundation for Coil Winding and Research,
A/6, Electronic Estate, Plot No.
C-5-12 (19), MIDC,
Pune-26.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1993 to 31-3-1995.

NOTES: (1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1280/F. No. DG/IT(E)/M-116/35(1)(ii)/90]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 28 नवम्बर, 1994

Calcutta, the 28th November, 1994

आयकर

का.आ. 360.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संघर्ष के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है:—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा-बहियां रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक सत्यापरीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च कार्यों से सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परिशील आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

गणेश साइन्टीफीक रिसर्च फाउन्डेशन, 64-65 राजफगढ़ रोड, नई दिल्ली-110015

यह अधिमूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी: 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (i) "संघ" जैसा संघर्ष के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

- संगठन को सूझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1281/एफ सं. म. नि./आ. क. (छूट) एन डी-13/35(1)(ii)/89]

आर. सिंह, उप निदेशक

INCOME TAX

S.O. 360.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions:—

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Ganesh Scientific Research Foundation,
64-65, Najafgarh Road,
New Delhi-110015.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

Notes.—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

- The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1281/F. No. DG/IT(E)/ND-13/35(1)(ii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 28 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का. आ. 361.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" संघर्ष के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है:—

- संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा;

(2) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा; और

(3) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च कार्यों से सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय बिसाय को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

यूरोलोजी सर्विस सोसाइटी, होस्पिटल रोड, जयपुर-302001

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-93 से 31-3-94 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी :—1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (1) "संघ" जैसा संघर्ष के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1282/एफ सं. म. नि./आ.क. (छूट/आर-9/35 (1)(ii)/90]

आर सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 28th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 361.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of subsection (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Association" subject to the following conditions :—

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year : and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Urology Services Society,
Hospital Road,
Jaipur-302001.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1993 to 31-3-1994.

Notes.—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1282/F. No. DG/IT(E)/R-9/35(1)(ii)/90]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 28 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का.आ. 362 :—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संघर्ष के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिस्च कार्यों सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

फोर्ब्स रिसर्च सेन्टर, 10, सिन्धिया हाउस, आत्माराम भैशन, 2रा माला, कन्नाट प्लेस, नई दिल्ली-110001

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-93 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी: 1 उपर्युक्त शर्त (i) "संघ" जैसा संघर्ष के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1283/एफ सं. मं. नि./आ. क. (छूट) एन डी-6/35 (1) (ii)/89]

आर. सिंह, उपनिदेशक

Calcutta, the 28th November, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 362.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax

(Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exception was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION :

Forbes Research Centre,
10, Scindia House,
Atmaram Mansion, 2nd Floor,
Connaught Place,
New Delhi-110001.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1993 to 31-3-1995.

Notes : (1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1283/F. No. DG/IT(E)/ND-6/35(1)(ii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 28 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का. आ. 363.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम, के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" संघर्ष के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है:—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए भ्रमण लेखा बहियां रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संबंधी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर, तक लेखा परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिस्च कार्यों संबंधित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

बि बिडला इंस्टीट्यूट ऑफ एस्ट्रोनोमी एंड प्लानेटेरियम
साइंसिस, बिडला बिल्डिंग, 9/1, आर. एन. मुखर्जी रोड,
कलकत्ता-700001

The Birla Institute of Astronomy and
Planetarium Sciences.
Birla Building,
9/1, R. N. Mukherjee Road.
Calcutta-700001.

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-93 से 31-3-96 तक
की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1993
to 31-3-1996.

टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संन" जैसा शर्तों के लिए लागू नहीं
होगा।

Notes.—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations
categorised as associations.

2. संगठन को सुझा दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की
अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर/आयकर निदेशक
(छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम
से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों
में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन का अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में
किए जावेदन-पत्र को 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और
औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate
and well in advance for further extension of the
approval, to the Director General of Income-tax
(Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner
of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemp-
tions) having jurisdiction over the organisation.
Six copies of the application for extension of
approval should be sent directly to the Secretary,
Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.
[No. 1284/F. No. DG/IT(E)/WB-3/35(1)(ii)/89]

[संख्या 1284/एफ सं. म. नि./आ. क. (छूट)/डब्ल्यू
बी-3/35(1) (ii)/89]

आर० सिंह, उप निदेशक

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

Calcutta, the 28th November, 1994

कलकत्ता, 28 नवम्बर, 1994

INCOME TAX

आयकर

S.O. 363.—It is hereby notified for general information
that the organisation mentioned below has been approved
by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax
Rules for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of
Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category
"Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

का. आ. 364.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया
जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम,
1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिये
आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा
निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित
किया गया है :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of
accounts for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific
research activities to the Secretary, Department of
Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology
Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016
for every financial year by 31st May of each year ;
and

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिये अलग लेखा
बहियां रखेगा।

- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-
tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of
Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Com-
missioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax
(Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organi-
sation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of
its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of
audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect
of its research activities for which exemption was
granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of
Income-tax Act, 1961.

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों
का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिये,
प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक
अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरौली रोड,
नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित
वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट)
(ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग,
और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट)
द्वारा से लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत
करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION :

सेंटर फार डिवलपमेंट आफ टेलीमेटिक्स 9 वां मंजिल,
अकबर भवन, चानक्या पुरी, नई दिल्ली-110002

Centre for Development of Telematics,
9th Floor, Akbar Bhavan,
Chanyakaya Puri,
New Delhi-110002.

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-93 से 31-3-95 तक
की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

This Notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1993
to 31-3-1995.

NOTES :

टिप्पणी : 1 उपर्युक्त शर्त (i) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ण के लिए लागू
नहीं होगा।

1. Condition (i) above will not apply to
organisations categorised as associations.

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन
की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर
निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन
पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट),
कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनु-
मोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-
पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक
अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

2. The organisation is advised to apply in tri-
plicate and well in advance for further
extension of the approval, to the Director
General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Cal-
cutta through the Commissioner of Income-
tax|Director of Income-tax (Exemptions)
having jurisdiction over the organisation.
Six copies of the application for extension
of approval should be sent directly to the
Secretary, Department of Scientific & Indus-
trial Research.

[संख्या : 1285/एफ सं. म. नि./आ. क. (छूट)
एनडी-35/35 (1) (ii) 89]
आर० सिंह, उपनिदेशक

[No. 1285/F. No. DG/IT(E)/ND-35/35(I)(ii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 28 नवम्बर, 1994

आयकर

Calcutta, the 28th November, 1994

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 364.—It is hereby notified for general infor-
mation that the organisation mentioned below has
been approved by the Prescribed Authority under
Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes
of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of
the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Insti-
tution" subject to the following conditions :

का.आ. 365.—सर्षसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है
कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा
35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम
6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" संवर्ण
के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate
books of accounts for its research activi-
ties;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its
scientific research activities to the Secre-
tary, Department of Scientific & Industrial
Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New
Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for
every financial year by 31st May of each
year; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General
of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secre-
tary, Department of Scientific & Industrial
Research, and (c) Commissioner of In-
come-tax|Director of Income-tax (Exemp-
tions), having jurisdiction over the organi-
sation, by the 31st October each year, a copy
of its audited Annual Accounts and also a
copy of audited Income and Expenditure
Account in respect of its research activities
for which exemption was granted under sub-
section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act,
1961.

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहिया रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक
विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव,
वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरीली
रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-
परामर्श वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक
(छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान
विभाग, और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक
(छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है
और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी
गई रिसर्च क्रिया-कलाप सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा
परोक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

इन्वाइरॉन्मेंट प्रोटेक्शन रिसर्च फाउन्डेशन, अरुणधुनी
बीयर एम एस ई. वी. विश्राम बाग, संगली-416415

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-92 से 31-3-95 तक
की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (i) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिये लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सूक्षाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिये आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किये आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1286/एफ सं. म. नि./मा.क. (छूट)/एम-70/
35(1)(ii)/89]
आर० सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 28th November, 1994

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 365.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Association" subject to the following conditions :

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax|Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Environmental Protection Research Foundation
Arundhuti, Near M.S.E.B., Vishrambag, Sangli-416415.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-92 to 31-3-95.

NOTES :

1. Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

2. The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax|Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1286/F. No. DG/IT|(E)|M-70|35(1)(ii)|89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 13 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का. आ. 366.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के प्रतीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा-बहियां रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संबंधी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अगस्त तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिसर्च क्रिया-कलाप संबंधित छूट अधिनियम, 1961 के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

श्री विले पारले केलाघनी मण्डलस् श्री सी.बी. पटेल रिसर्च सेन्टर फोर केमिस्ट्री एन्ड बायोलोजिकल साइन्स, 2रा माला, मिषीझाई कालेज बिल्डिंग, विले पारले (प), बम्बई-400056.

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 9-5-94 से 31-3-96 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी :— 1 उपयुक्त शर्त (i) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

- 2 संगठन जो सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1287/एफ.सं. म. नि./भा. क. (छूट) एन-141/35(1)(ii)/93]

राजेश्वर सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 13th December, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 366.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purpose of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax|Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income & Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Shri Vile Parle Kelavani Mandal's
Shri C. B. Patel Research Centre for
Chemistry & Biological Sciences,
2nd Floor, Mithibai College Building,
Vile Parle (W),
Bombay-400056.

This notification is effective for the period from 9-5-94 to 31-3-96.

NOTES.—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax|Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1287/F. No. DG/IT(E)|M-141|35(1)(ii)|91]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 13 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का.आ. 367:—सर्वसाधारण को सूचित पत्रद्वारा किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा वहीयां रखेगा।
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, 'प्रौद्योगिकी भवन' न्यू मेहरौली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति, (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक, अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिक्तियाँ भरी गयी सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

दि इंस्टीट्यूट आफ पीस रिसर्च एंड एक्शन, 81 गगन विहार, नई दिल्ली-110051

The Institute of Peace Research and Action (IPRA).

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-92 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

81, Gagan Vihar,
Delhi-110 051.

टिप्पणी: 1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (1) 'संघ' नैजा संघों के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-92 to 31-3-95.

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट), जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियां में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

NOTES :

1. Condition (i) above will not apply to organisation categorised as associations.
2. The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax|Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[संख्या 1288/एफ. सं. स.नि./आ.क.(छूट)/एन.डी-10/
35(1)(iii) 89]

राजेश्वर सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 13th December, 1994

INCOME TAX

[No. 1288|F. No. DG|IT(E)|ND-10|35(1)(iii)|89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 13 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

S.O. 367.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purpose of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :

का.आ 368.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 8 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संघों के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है:—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax|Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961.

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग सेखा प्रतियां रखेगा,

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरौली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षण वार्षिक सेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिक्तियां भरी जायें सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षण-आय-व्यय विवरण को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

पी यू पील्स इंस्टीच्यूट फोर डेवलपमेंट एण्ड ट्रेनिंग,
4ए, शाहपुर जाट, नई दिल्ली-110016

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-90 से 31-3-93 तक की
अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी 1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (i) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर प्रायुक्त/आयुक्त निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1289/एफ.सं.मं.नि./आ.क. (छूट)/एन डी-33/
35/(1)(iii)/89]

र. जे. सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 13th December, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 368.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax, (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION :

People's Institute for Development
and Training,
4-A, Shahpur Jat,
New Delhi-110016.

This notification is effective for the period from
1-4-90 to 31-3-93.

201 GI/95—6

Notes—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income Tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1289/F. No. DG/IT(E)/ND-33/35(1)(iii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 13 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का. आ. 369.—सर्वसाधारण की एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए भवित लेखा बहियां रखेगा।
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रोद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरौली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर प्रायुक्त/आयुक्त निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिमूव कार्यों सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिमाब की भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

पीयूपील्स इंस्टीच्यूट फोर डेवलपमेंट एण्ड ट्रेनिंग
4-ए, शाहपुर जाट, नई दिल्ली-110016

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-93 से 31-3-95 तक की
अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी :—(1) उपर्युक्त शर्तें (i) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए
लागू नहीं होगा।

- (2) संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर प्रायुक्त/आयुक्त निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1290/एफ.सं.मं.नि./आ.क. (छूट)/एन डी-35(1)
(iii)/89]

आर. सिंह उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 13th December, 1994

कलकत्ता, 13 दिसम्बर, 1994

INCOME TAX

आयकर

S.O. 369.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income Tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

People's Institute for Development and Training,
4-A, Shahpur Jat,
New Delhi-110016.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-93 to 31-3-95.

NOTES : 1.—Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

2. The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1290/F. No. DG/IT(E)/ND-33/35(1)(iii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

का.प्रा. 370.—सर्वसाधारण की पत्रद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्था" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरौली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परिक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उस संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च किया गया संबंधित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परिक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब की भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

नेशनल फाउन्डेशन आफ इंडियान इंजीनियरस 11/68-बी
पूसा रोड, नई दिल्ली 110005।

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-92 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी :—1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होंगी।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता की तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र को 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग की प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1291/एफ. सं. सं. नि. /आ. क. (छूट)/एनडी-43/
35(1)(ii)/90]

आर सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 13th December, 1994

(INCOME-TAX)

S.O. 370.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

National Foundation of Indian Engineers,
11/68-B, Pusa Road,
New Delhi-110005.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1992 to 31-3-1995.

NOTES :

(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1291/F. No. DG/IT(E)/ND-43/35(1)(ii)/90]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 13 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का. भा. 371.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्था" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है:—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए धन्य लेखा बहिया रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संबंधी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक मखिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रीयोगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरौली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) मखिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिस्के किया गया संबंधित (छूट) के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिमाव को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

इंडियन प्लाईवुड इंडस्ट्रीज रिसर्च इंस्टीट्यूट,
पोस्ट बैग नं. 2273, तुमकुर रोड, बंगलौर 560022

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-92 से 31-3-93 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी: 1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता की तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करे, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां मखिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1292/एफ सं.मं.नि. आ. क. (छूट)/केटी-26/35(1) (ii)/90]

आर सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 13th December, 1994

(INCOME-TAX)

S.O. 371.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions:

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Indian Plywood Industries Research Institute,
Post Bag No. 2273,
Tumkur Road,
Bangalore-560022.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1992 to 31-3-1993.

NOTES :

(i) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1292/F. No. DG/IT(E)/KT-26/35(1)(ii)/90]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 13 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

कां० 372.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 को उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 8 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर 'संस्थान' संवर्ग के अधीन प्रत्यक्ष किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा ;
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रयोगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च किया गया सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

निजाम्स इंस्टीच्यूट आफ मेडिकल साइन्स, पंजागुट्टा, हैदराबाद-500482 आन्ध्र प्रदेश

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-93 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी :—1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (i) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या : 1293/एफ सं. मं.नि./आ. क. (छूट)/ए. पी.-8/35(1) (ii)/89]

आर सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 13th December, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 372.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mahanuli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Nizams Institute of Medical Science,
Panjagutta,
Hyderabad-500482,
Andhra Pradesh.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1993 to 31-3-1995.

Notes.—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1293/F. No. DG/IT(E)/AP-8 '35(1)(ii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 13 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

कां० 373.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 8 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" संवर्ग के अधीन प्रत्यक्ष किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रयोगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा; और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च कार्यों सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

हैदराबाद साइन्स सोसाइटी, मेहदीपतनम हैदराबाद
हैदराबाद-5000028

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की
अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी— 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (i) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू
कराई होगा।

- 2 संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की
अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर/आयकर निदेशक
(छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के
माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता का
तान प्रतियो में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने
के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियाँ सचिव,
वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत
करना है।

[संख्या 1294/एफ सं. मं. नि./आ. क. (छूट) ए पी-1/
35(i)(ii)/89]

आर सिंह, उप निदेशक आयकर (छूट)

Calcutta, the 13th December, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 373.—It is hereby notified for general information
that the organisation mentioned below has been approved
by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax
Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of
Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category
"Association" subject to the following conditions:—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of
accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific
research activities to the Secretary, Department of
Scientific & Industrial Research, "Technology
Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016
for every financial year by 31st May of each year;
and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-
tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of
Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commis-
sioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax
(Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisa-
tion, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its
audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited
Income & Expenditure Account in respect of its
research activities for which exemption was granted
under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax
Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Hyderabad Science Society,
Mehdipatnam- Hyderabad,
Hyderabad-500028.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994
to 31-3-1995.

Notes :

- (1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations
categorised as associations.
- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate
and well in advance for further extension of the

approval, to the Director General of Income-tax
(Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner
of Income tax Director of Income-tax (Exemptions)
having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies
of the application for extension of approval should
be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of
Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1294/F. No. DG/IT(E)/AP-1/35(1)(ii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 14 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का. आ. 374.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित
किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर
अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड
(ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित
प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के
अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है:—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा
बहियाँ रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों
का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए,
प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक
अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड,
नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा
परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक
(छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान
विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक
(छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और
आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई
रिसर्च कार्यों से सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित
आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

साइन्स एण्ड टेक्नोलोजी इंस्ट्रुमेंट्स सीप पार्क अन्टरनेट
हाइड्रो इन्जी म्सेन्टर यूनीवर्सिटी आफ रुर्की, रुर्की 247607

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक
के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी : 1 उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के
लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

- 2 संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनु-
मोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर
आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्रा-
धिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से

आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र को 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1295/एफ सं. मं. नि./आ. क. (छूट)
यू. पी.-15/35 (1) (ii) 90]

आर सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 14th December, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 374.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions:—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income & Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Science & Technology Entrepreneurship Park,
Alternate Hydro Energy Centre,
University of Roorke,
Roorkee-247607.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

Notes :

- (1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.
- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1295/F. No. DtG/IT(E)/UP-15/35(1)(ii)/90]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 14 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

नॉ. आ० 375—यहाँ सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" श्रेणी के अधीन अनुमोदन किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहीखा रखेगा।
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बंधी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरौली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा को प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दो गई रिक्तियाँ क्रिया में सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

इन्टरनेशनल एडवांस्ड रिसर्च सेन्टर फॉर पावर मैटालर्जी एंड न्यू मटेरियल ए. आर. सी. इन्टरनेशनल) ए. आर. सी. प्रोजेक्ट आर सी आई रोड बलापुर विलेज रंगरेडी डिस्ट्रिक्ट, हैदराबाद 500005
यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

उपरोक्त 1. उपरोक्त शर्त (1) "रूठ" जैसा सबब के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है को माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1296/एफ सं. मं. नि./आ. क. (छूट) ए पी 18/35 (i) (ii) 92]

आर सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 14th December, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 375.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6. of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;

- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

International Advanced Research Centre for Powder Metallurgy & New Materials (ARC International)
Formerly Indo-Soviet Advanced Research Centre for Powder Metallurgy (ARC),
ARC Project, RCI Road, Balapur Village,
Ranga Reddy District,
Hyderabad-500005.

This Notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

Notes.—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1299/F No. DG/IT(E)/AP-18/35(1)(ii)/92]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 14 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का. धा. 376.—सर्वसाधारण को पत्रद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संघ के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा ग्रंथियां रखेगा;
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरौली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिस्क कार्यों सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिमाज को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

मे.सू. स्मरक मंडल,
ई-113, कमला नगर,
अग्रा-5

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है

टिप्पणी :— 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संघर्ष के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में लिए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करता है।

[संख्या 1297/एफ सं. मं. नि./अ. क. (छूट) यू.पी.
11/35(1)(iii) 90]

आर सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 14th December, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 376.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purpose of clause (iii) sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books account for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its Audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income & Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION :

M/s. Sur Smarak Mandal,
F-113, Kamla Nagar,
Agra-5.

This Notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

NOTES :

- (1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisation categorised as associations.
- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax|Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1297/F. No. DG/IT(E)/UP-11/35(1)(iii)/90-IT(E)]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 14 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का.आ. 377.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर “संस्थान” संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है:—

(1) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।

(2) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संबंधी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, “प्रौद्योगिकी भवन”, न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(3) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिमर्च कार्यों संबंधी छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

नन फेर्रस मेटेरियल्स टेक्नोलॉजी डेवलपमेंट सेंटर (एन.एफ. टी.सी.) पी. सो कंचनबाग
हैदराबाद 500258

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-93 से 31-3-95 की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

दिपक्षी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) “संघ” जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयुक्त महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1298/एफ.सं.मं.नि./आ.क.(छूट) एपी-12-35(1)(ii)-90]

आर. सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 14th December, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 377.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category “Institution” subject to the following conditions :

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, ‘Technology Bhawan’, New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax|Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Non-Ferrous Materials Technology,
Development Centre (NFTDC),
P.O. Kanchanbagh,
Hyderabad-500258.

This Notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1993 to 31-3-1995.

NOTES :

1. Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.
2. The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1298/F. No. DG/IT(E)/AP-12/35(1)(ii)/90]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 14 दिसम्बर, 1994

गायकर

का.भा. 378 :—पर्यवेक्षण को एवमारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (i) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारों द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" शर्तों के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है:—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहिया रखेगा।
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "तैद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिसर्च किया गया सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय विवरण को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

इंडियन नेशनल एकादमी ऑफ इंजीनियरिंग, द्वारा इंस्टीट्यूशन ऑफ इंजीनियरिंग (इंडिया), बहादुर शाह अहमद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (i) "तब" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सूचित किया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर

महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियां में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 3 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1299/एफ.सं. मं. नि./आ. क. (छूट) एनडी-56/35(i)(ii)/90]

आर. सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 14th December, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 378.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purpose of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions:—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110 016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income & Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Indian National Academy of Engineering, C/o. Institution of Engineers (India), Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002.

This Notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1994 to 31-3-1995.

Notes :—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions) Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1299/F. No. DG/IT(E)/ND-56/35(1)(ii)/90]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director.

कलकत्ता, 14 दिसम्बर 1994

आयकर

का. भा. 379.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (iii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संबंधी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च किया गया संबंधित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

सेन्टर फोर रिसर्च प्लानिंग आफ एक्शन, 16, दक्षिणेश्वर 10, हेली रोड, नई दिल्ली 110001

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-93 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी:— 1 उपर्युक्त शर्त (i) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में प्रावेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए प्रावेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1300/एफ.सं. मं. नि./आ.क. (छूट) एन डी-16/35/(1)(iii)/89]

आर. सिंह उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 14th December, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 379.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, Technology Bhawan, New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110 016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income & Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Centre for Research, Planning and Action, 16, Dakshineswar, 10, Hailey Road, New Delhi-110001.

This Notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1993 to 31-3-1995.

Notes :—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions) Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1300/F. No. DG/IT(E)/ND 16/35(1)(iii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director.

कलकत्ता, 15 दिसम्बर 1994

आयकर

का. भा. 380.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (iii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संबंधी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा ; और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिसर्च कार्यों सम्बन्धित (छूट) के बारे में विज्ञापन-पत्र आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

नोवियन इंस्टीच्यूट आफ स्टडीज,
पो. बाक्स नं. 1116, राजघाट,
वाराणसी (यू. पी.)

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-91 से 21-3-93 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

कलकत्ता, 15 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

टिप्पणी:- 1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (1) "संव" जैसा संघर्ष के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सूझा दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है, के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता की तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र को 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग की प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1301/एफ.सं. म. नि./आ. क. (छूट) यू.पी.-3/35(1)(iii)/94]

आर. सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 15th December, 1994

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 380.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110 016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Gandhian Institute of Studies, Post Box No. 1116, Rajghat, Varanasi (U. P.).

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1991 to 31-3-1993.

Notes—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1301/F. No. DG/IT(E)/UP-3/35(1)(iii)/94]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

का.प्र. 381.—यहाँ बताया कि एन.डी.ए. द्वारा किया गया है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 का धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (iii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के प्रयोग विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "गैर-संव" संघर्ष के अंतर्गत अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहीबं रखेगा।
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संबंधी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिस्कर्ष किया गया संबंधित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

गान्धीयन, इन्स्टीट्यूट आफ स्टडीज, पो. ब. नं. 1116, राजघाट वाराणसी, उत्तर प्रदेश

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-93 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (1) "संव" जैसा संघर्ष के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सूझा दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है, के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता की तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग की प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1302/एफ.सं. म. नि./आ.क. (छूट)/यू.पी.-3/35(1)(iii)/94]

आर. सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 15th December, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 381.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Gandhian Institute of Studies, Post Box No. 1116, Raighat, Varanasi, U. P.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1993 to 31-3-1995.

Notes.—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1302/F. No. DG/IT(E)/UP-3/35(1)(iii)/94]
R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 15 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का.घा. 382.—सर्वसाधारण की एग्रेड द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है:—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए प्रलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा।
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिसर्च कार्यों सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित माय-मध्य हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

इंस्टीट्यूट ऑफ पेस्टीसाइड फार्म्युलेशन टेक्नोलॉजी,
सेक्टर-20 उद्योग विहार गुडगांव-122016, हरियाणा

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में प्रार्थना करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए प्रार्थना-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1303/एफ सं म. नि./आ. क. (छूट)/एन.डी.-107/35 (1)(ii)/92]

आर. सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 15th December, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 382. It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purpose of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions:—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Institute of Pesticide Formulation Technology, Sector 20, Udyog Vihar, Gurgaon-122016, Haryana.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-94 to 31-3-95.

Notes.—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

(2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1303/F. No. DG/IT(E)/ND-107/35(1)(ii)/92]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 15 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का. भा. 383.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (iii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए भ्रमण लेखा बहियां रखेगा;
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली—110016 को भेजेगा; और
- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिसर्च कार्यों से सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

इंस्टीट्यूट आफ मैनेजमेंट इन गवर्नमेंट, विकास भवन-पी. ओ. त्रिवेन्द्रम-33

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-92 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी: 1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (i) "संज्ञ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगी।

2. संगठन का सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1304 एफ स. मं. नि./आ. क. (छूट)/के-3/35 (i) (iii) /89]

पी.सी. बिस्वास, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 15th December, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 383.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Institute of Management in Government, Vikas Bhavan P.O. Trivandrum-33.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-1992 to 31-3-95.

Notes.—(1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1304/F. No. DG/IT(E)/K-3/35(i)(iii)/89]
P. C. BISWAS, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 15 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का. भा. 384 :—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (iii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए भ्रमण लेखा बहियां रखेगा।

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन" न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा, और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिस्चर्च कार्यों से सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

गान्धी लेबर इंस्टीच्यूट,

थालतेज—ब्राह्म इत रोड, मेम नगर

अहमदाबाद - 380052

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी :—1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में लिए आवेदन-पत्र को छः प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करती हैं।

[संख्या 1305/एफ सं. मं. नि./मा. क. (छूट)

जी०-5/35(1) (iii)/89]

पी०सी० बिस्वास, उप-निदेशक

Calcutta, the 15th December, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 384.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, 'Technology', Bhawan, New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110 016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year: and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan' of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income & Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

Gandhi Labour Institute, Thaltej-Drive in Road, Mem-nagar, Ahmedabad-380052.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-94 to 31-3-95.

Notes : (1) Condition (i) above will not apply to Organisations categorised as associations.

- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1305/F. No. DG/IT(E)/G-5/35(1)(iii)/89]

P. C. BISWAS, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 15 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का. आ. 385.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (iii) के लिये, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा;

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा; और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और

(ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिक्तिका में सम्बन्धित (छूट) के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

नेशनल इंस्टीट्यूट आफ फैशन टेक्नोलोजी इंदिरा गांधी इन्डोर स्टेडियम, ईस्ट प्लाजा, 1 गेट पीवी. नं. 7152 नई दिल्ली-110002

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिये लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिये आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किये आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1306/एफ सं. म. नि. /ग्रा. क. (छूट एनडी-7/35 (1)(iii) 90]

आर. सिंह, उप निदेशक

Calcutta, the 15th December, 1994

INCOME-TAX

S.O. 385.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :—

(i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities :

(ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, 'Technology Bhawan', New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

National Institute of Fashion Technology, Indira Gandhi Indoor Stadium, East Plaza, 1-Gate, P.B. No. 7152, New Delhi-110002.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-94 to 31-3-95.

NOTES :

1. Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.
2. The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1306 (F. No. DG/IT(E)/ND-71/35(1)(iii)/90-IT(E)]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 19 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का. आ. 386 .—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिये आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन त्रिहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

(i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिये अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा ;

(ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संबंधी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिये, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा; और

- (iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक सेवा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिजर्व किया गया सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

वि. कर्नाटक इंस्टीट्यूट ऑफ एप्लाइड एग्रीकल्चरल रिसर्च, समीरवाडी-586316, तहसील-मुधोल जिला-बिजापुर कर्नाटक

यह अधिमूचना दिनांक 1-4-95 से 31-3-97 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी :—1. उपर्युक्त शर्तें (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1307/एफ सं. मं. नि./आ.क. (छूट) कंटी-11/35(1)(ii)/89]

आर. सिंह, उपनिदेशक

Calcutta, the 15th December, 1994

INCOME-TAX

S.O 386.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Association" subject to the following conditions :

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New

Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and

- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax |Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

The Karnataka Institute of Applied Agricultural Research, Sameerwadi-586 316, Tal : Mudhol Distt. : Bijapur, Karnataka.

This notification is effective for the period from 1-4-95 to 31-3-97.

Notes :

1. Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.
2. The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax |Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1307/F. No. DG/IT(E)/KT-11/35(1)(ii)/89]

R. SINGH, Dy. Director

कलकत्ता, 19 दिसम्बर, 1994

आयकर

का. आ. 387.—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संस्थान" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है :—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा ;
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक, सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा ; और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महा-निदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 (1) में दी गई रिमर्च किया गया सम्बन्धित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब की सी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

(iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax|Director of Income-tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

संगठन का नाम

गुजरात इन्स्टिट्यूट आफ डेवलपमेंट रिसर्च, गोटा-चर रास्ता, गोटा-382481 अहमदाबाद

Gujarat Institute of Development Research,
Gota Char Rasta,
Gota-382481,
Ahmedabad.

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 1-4-94 से 31-3-95 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

This Notification is effective for the period from 1-4-94 to 31-3-95.

Notes :

- टिप्पणी : 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संवर्ग के लिये लागू नहीं होगा।
2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिये आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किये आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

1. Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.

2. The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax|Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research.

[No. 1308/F. No. DGIT(E)|G-16|35(1) (iii)|89]
P. C. BISWAS, Dy. Director

[संख्या 1308 एफ/सं. म. नि./आ. क (छूट)/जी-16/35(i)/(ii)/89]

पी.सी. विश्वास, उपनिदेशक आयकर (छूट)

कलकत्ता 20, दिसम्बर 1994

Calcutta, the 19th December, 1994

INCOME TAX

S.O. 387.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions :

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities ;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year ; and

आयकर

का. आ. 388:—सर्वसाधारण को एतद्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित संगठन को, आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ii) के लिए, आयकर नियम के नियम 6 के अधीन विहित प्राधिकारी द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर "संघ" संवर्ग के अधीन अनुमोदित किया गया है:—

- (i) संगठन अनुसंधान कार्यों के लिए अलग लेखा बहियां रखेगा ;
- (ii) यह अपने वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान सम्बन्धी कार्यों का एक वार्षिक विवरण प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए, प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 मई तक सचिव, वैज्ञानिक व औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग, "प्रौद्योगिकी भवन", न्यू मेहरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को भेजेगा ; और

(iii) यह प्रत्येक वर्ष के 31 अक्टूबर तक लेखा-परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखा की प्रति (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग और (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में उक्त संगठन पड़ता है और आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35(1) में दी गई रिमर्च किया गया संबंधित छूट के बारे में लेखा-परीक्षित आय-व्यय हिसाब को भी प्रस्तुत करेगा।

संगठन का नाम

दिल साउथ इंडियन सुगर केन एन्ड सुगर टेक्नोलॉजी-स्ट्स एसोसिएशन 21, III मेन रोड, अदयर, मद्रास-20

यह अधिसूचना दिनांक 24-11-94 से 31-3-96 तक की अवधि के लिए प्रभावी है।

टिप्पणी: 1. उपर्युक्त शर्त (1) "संघ" जैसा संघर्ग के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

2. संगठन को सुझाव दिया जाता है कि वे अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के लिए आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) जिनके क्षेत्राधिकार में संगठन पड़ता है के माध्यम से आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), कलकत्ता को तीन प्रतियों में आवेदन करें, अनुमोदन की अवधि बढ़ाने के संबंध में किए आवेदन-पत्र की 6 प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को प्रस्तुत करना है।

[संख्या 1309/एफ.सं. म. नि./आ. क (छूट) टी एन-62/35 (1)(ii)/94]

पी० सी० विश्वास, सहायक निदेशक

Calcutta, the 20th December, 1994

(INCOME-TAX)

S.O. 388.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Prescribed Authority under Rule 6 of the Income-tax Rules, for the purposes of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 under the category "Association" subject to the following conditions:—

- (i) The organisation will maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities;
- (ii) It will furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, "Technology Bhawan", New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi-110016 for every financial year by 31st May of each year; and
- (iii) It will submit to the (a) Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), (b) Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, and (c) Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions),

having jurisdiction over the organisation, by the 31st October each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income and Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of Section 35 of Income-tax Act, 1961.

NAME OF THE ORGANISATION

The South Indian Sugarcane and Sugar Technologists Association, 21, III Main Road, Adayar, Madras 20.

This notification is effective for the period from 24-11-94 to 31-3-96.

NOTES:

- (1) Condition (i) above will not apply to organisations categorised as associations.
- (2) The organisation is advised to apply in triplicate and well in advance for further extension of the approval, to the Director General of Income-tax (Exemptions), Calcutta through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction over the organisation. Six copies of the application for extension of approval should be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[No. 1309/F. No. DG/IT(E)/TN-62/35(1)(ii)/94]

P. C. BISWAS, Asstt. Director

(राजस्व विभाग)

(समाहर्ता का कार्यालय, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क)

कानपुर, 16 दिसम्बर, 1994

सीमा शुल्क

का. आ. 389.—सीमा शुल्क अधिनियम, 1962 की धारा 152 के खण्ड (ए) के अधीन भारत सरकार वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, नई दिल्ली की अधिसूचना सं. 33/94-एस(एन टी) दिनांक 01 जुलाई 1994 के द्वारा प्रत्यायोजित शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए अधोहस्ताक्षरी में एम. सी. कौल, समाहर्ता, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद एवं सीमा शुल्क कानपुर एतद्द्वारा, एटा दुग्धशाला परिसर, कामगंज रोड, जनपद एटा उ. प्र. में सीमा शुल्क अधिनियम 1962 की धारा 9 के अन्तर्गत 100.0% ई. ओ. यू. व्यवस्थित करने के उद्देश्य से एक माल गोदाम स्थापित किए जाने की घोषणा करता हूँ।

[सं. 04/94—कस्टम्स (एन टी)/का. सं. बी III (48) 197-कस/उत्प. एच/एटा/93]

एम. सी. कौल, समाहर्ता

(Department of Revenue)

(Office of the Collector Central Excise)

Kanpur, the 16th December, 1994

CUSTOMS

S.O. 389.—In exercise of the powers delegated to the undersigned vide Notification No. 33/94-CUS(NT), dated the 1st July, 1994 by the Government of India, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, New Delhi, under clause (a) of section 152 of the Customs Act, 1962, I. M. C. Kaul, Collector of Customs and Central Excise, Kanpur hereby declare Etah Dairy Complex, Kasganj Road, Etah, Distt. Etah, U.P. to be a Warehousing Station under Section 9 of the Customs Act, 1962 for the purpose of setting up of 100 per cent E.O.U.

[C. No. 04/94-CUSTOMS(NT)/F. No. VIII(48)197-

CUS/WH/ETAH/93]

M. C. KAUL, Collector

(आर्थिक कार्य विभाग)

(बैकिंग प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 23 जनवरी, 1995

का. आ. 390.—राष्ट्रीयस्त बैंक (प्रबंध और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध) स्कीम, 1980 के खण्ड 5 के उपखण्ड (1), खण्ड 7 और खण्ड 8 के उपखण्ड (1) के साथ पठित खण्ड 3 के उपखण्ड (क) के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक के साथ परामर्श करने के पश्चात् एतद्वारा, बैंक आफ महाराष्ट्र के वर्तमान कार्यपालक निदेशक, श्री जी. नारायणन को उनके कार्यभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से 30 जून, 1998 तक की अवधि के लिए आन्ध्रा बैंक के अध्यक्ष एवं प्रबंध निदेशक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[सं.-एफ 9/41/94-बी. ओ. 1]

के. के. मंगल, अवसर सचिव

(Department of Economic Affairs)

(Banking Division)

New Delhi, the 23rd January, 1995

S.O. 390.—In pursuance of sub-clause (a) of clause 3 read with sub-clause (1) of clause 5, clause 7 and sub-clause (1) of clause 8 of the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1980, the Central Government after consultation with the Reserve Bank of India, hereby appoints Shri G. Narayanan, presently Executive Director, Bank of Maharashtra, as the Chairman and Managing Director, Andhra Bank for the period from the date of his taking charge and upto 30th June, 1998.

[F. No. 9/41/94-B.O.I.]

K. K. MANGAL, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 23 जनवरी, 1995

का. आ. 391.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 53 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर, एतद्वारा, घोषणा करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 15(1) के प्रावधान, स्टेट बैंक आफ त्रावणकोर पर इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 10 वर्षों की अवधि के लिए लागू नहीं होंगे।

[सं. 12/12/94-बी ओ ए]

बी. एल. सचदेव, अवसर सचिव

New Delhi, the 23rd January, 1995

S.O. 391.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949) the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of Section 15(1) of the said Act shall not apply, for a period of ten years from the date of this notification, to the State Bank of Travancore.

[F. No. 12/12/94-BOA]

B. L. SACHDEVA, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 23 जनवरी, 1995

का. आ. 392.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 53 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर, एतद्वारा, घोषणा करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 13 के उपबंध स्टेट बैंक आफ त्रावणकोर पर इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 10 वर्षों की अवधि के लिए लागू नहीं होंगे।

[सं. 12/12/94-बी. ओ. ए]

बी. एल. सचदेव, अवसर सचिव

New Delhi, the 23rd January, 1995

S.O. 392.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of Section 13 of the said Act shall not apply for a period of ten years from the date of this notification to the State Bank of Travancore.

[F. No. 12/12/94-BOA]

B. L. SACHDEVA, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 23 जनवरी, 1995

का. आ. 393.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 53 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर, एतद्वारा, घोषणा करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 19 की उप-धारा (2) के उपबंध युनाइटेड बैंक आफ इण्डिया, कलकत्ता पर 31 दिसम्बर, 1996 तक उस सीमा तक लागू नहीं होंगे जहां तक उनका संबंध गिरवी-दार के रूप में मैसर्स बंगाल हेल्थ एण्ड केमिकल वर्क्स लि. की प्रवर्त शेरर पूंजी की उसकी धारिता से है।

[सं. 15/2/95-बी. ओ. ए.]

बी. एल. सचदेव, अवसर सचिव

New Delhi, the 23rd January, 1995

S.O. 393.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949) the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of sub-section (2) of Section 19 of the said Act shall not apply to United Bank of India, Calcutta, for a period upto 31st December, 1996 in respect of its holding shares of M/s. Bengal Health and Chemical Works Ltd., as pledgee.

[F. No. 15/2/95-BOA]

B. L. SACHDEVA, Under Secy.

(आर्थिक कार्य विभाग)

(बैंकिंग प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 25 जनवरी, 1995

का. आ. 394.—भारतीय स्टेट बैंक (अनुपंगी बैंक) अधिनियम, 1959 (1959 का 38) की धारा 25 की उप-धारा (1) के खण्ड (ड.) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा श्री के. के. मंगल, अवर सचिव, वित्त मंत्रालय, आर्थिक कार्य विभाग (बैंकिंग प्रभाग), नई दिल्ली को श्री पी. के. तेजयान के स्थान पर स्टेट बैंक आफ बिकानेर एंड जयपुर के निदेशक के रूप में नामित करती है।

[सं. एफ 9/94-बी. ओ.-1]

एम. एस. सीतारामन, अवर सचिव

(Department of Economic Affairs)

(Banking Division)

New Delhi, the 25th January, 1995

S.O. 394.—In pursuance of the powers conferred by clause (e) of Sub-section (1) of section 25 of the State Bank of India (Subsidiary Banks) Act, 1959 (38 of 1959), the Central Government, hereby nominates Shri K. K. Mangal, Under Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Department of Economic Affairs (Banking Division), New Delhi, as a Director of State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur vide Shri P. K. Tejyan.

[No. F. 9/94-BO-I]

M. S. SEETHARAMAN, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 27 जनवरी, 1995

का. आ. 395.—भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की संस्तुति पर बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 56 के साथ पठित धारा 53 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्र सरकार एतद्वारा घोषणा करती है कि निम्नलिखित बैंक के मामले में 31 मार्च, 1994 को समाप्त वर्ष के लिए उनके द्वारा उनके तुलन पत्र, लाभ-हानि

लेखे एवं परीक्षक की रिपोर्ट समाचार पत्रों में प्रकाशित करने के संबंध में बैंककारी विनियमन (सहकारी समितियां) नियमावली, 1966 के नियम 10 के साथ पठित उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 31 के उपबंध उन पर लागू नहीं होंगे।

दि तिरुवल्लुर को. आपरेटिव अर्बन बैंक लि., तिरुवल्लुर तमिलनाडु

[एफ. सं. 10(10)/94-विकास]

एम. एल. कुक्रेजा, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 27 January, 1995

S.O. 395.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 read with Section 56 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949) the Central Government on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India hereby declares that the provisions of Section 31 of the said act read with Rule 10 of the Banking Regulation (Co-operative Societies) Rules, 1966 shall not apply to the under noted bank in so far as it relates to the publication of its balance sheet and profit and loss account for the year ended 31 March 1994 with the auditor's report in the news paper :

The Tiruvallur Co-operative Urban Bank Ltd., Tiruvallur, Tamilnadu.

[F. No. 10(10)/94-Dev.]

M. L. KUKREJA, Under Secy.

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद एवं सीमा शुल्क समाहर्तलिय

नागपुर, 29 नवम्बर, 1994

का. आ. 396.—श्री एस. एन. पाचपोर तथा श्री जे. डी. बरडेकर, अधीक्षक समूह "ख" केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क समाहर्तलिय, नागपुर निवर्तन की आयु प्राप्त करने पर दिनांक 30-9-1994 को अपरान्ह से शासकीय सेवा से निवृत्त हुए हैं।

[फा. सं. II(3)2/94/स्था. I/23813]

हरजिंदर सिंह, उप समाहर्ता, (कार्मिक एवं सतर्कता)

CENTRAL EXCISE AND CUSTOM COLLECTORATE
Nagpur, the 29th November, 1994

S.O. 396.—Shri S. N. Pachpor and Shri J. D. Bardekar, Superintendent Central Excise Group 'B' of Nagpur Collectorate having attained the age of superannuation retired from Government service on 30-9-1994 in the afternoon.

[F. No. 11(3)/2/94-Estt.I/23813]

HARJINDER SINGH, Dy. Collector (PER & VIG.)

नागरिक पूर्ति, उपभोक्ता मामले और सार्वजनिक वितरण मंत्रालय

भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो

नई दिल्ली, 20 जनवरी, 1995

का. आ. 397.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) के खंड "ख" के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि नीचे दिए गए मानक (कों) में संशोधन किया गया है/किए गए हैं।

अनुसूची

क्रम संशोधित भारतीय मानक की संख्या और वर्ष	संशोधन की संख्या और तिथि	संशोधन लागू होने की तारीख
(1)	(2)	(3)
1. आई एस 163: 1978	संशोधन सं. 2, अगस्त 1994	1994-08-31

1	2	3	4
2. आई एस 201 : 1992	संशोधन सं. 1, सितम्बर, 1994	1994-09-30	
3. आई एस 456 : 1978	संशोधन सं. 2, अगस्त 1994	1994-08-31	
4. आई एस 550 (भाग 1) : 1991	संशोधन सं. 2, सितम्बर 1994	1994-09-30	
5. आई एस 550 (भाग 2) : 1991	संशोधन सं. 1, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
6. आई एस 550 (भाग 3) : 1991	संशोधन सं. 1, सितम्बर 1994	1994-09-30	
7. आई एस 560 : 1980	संशोधन सं. 2, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
8. आई एस : 1011 : 1992	संशोधन सं. 1, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
9. आई एस 1016 : 1992	संशोधन सं. 1, अगस्त 1994	1994-08-31	
10. आई एस 1827 : 1989	संशोधन सं. 1, सितम्बर 1994	1994-09-30	
11. आई एस 2148 : 1981	संशोधन सं. 3, अगस्त 1994	1994-08-31	
12. आई एस 2549 : 1994	संशोधन सं. 1, सितम्बर 1994	1994-09-30	
13. आई एस 2665 : 1964	संशोधन सं. 4, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
14. आई एस 3748 : 1990	संशोधन सं. 1, सितम्बर 1994	1994-09-30	
15. आई एस 4321 : 1969	संशोधन सं. 1, सितम्बर 1994	1994-09-30	
16. आई एस 4322 : 1967	संशोधन सं. 4, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
17. आई एस 4323 : 1980	संशोधन सं. 2, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
18. आई एस 4727 : 1968	संशोधन सं. 1, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
19. आई एस 5083 : 1988	संशोधन सं. 1, अगस्त 1994	1994-08-31	
20. आई एस 5143 : 1988	संशोधन सं. 2, सितम्बर 1994	1994-09-30	
21. आई एस 5244 : 1991	संशोधन सं. 1, अगस्त 1994	1994-08-31	
22. आई एस 5600 : 1970	संशोधन सं. 1, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
23. आई एस 5603 : 1983	संशोधन सं. 2, सितम्बर 1994	1994-09-30	
24. आई एस 5613 (भाग 2/अनुभाग 1) : 1989	संशोधन सं. 1, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	

1	2	3	4
25. आई एस 5613 (भाग 3/अनुभाग 1) : 1989	संशोधन सं. 1, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
26. आई एस 6223 : 1971	संशोधन सं. 2, सितम्बर 1994	1994-09-30	
27. आई एस 7121 : 1976	संशोधन सं. 4, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
28. आई एस 7152 : 1992	संशोधन सं. 1, सितम्बर 1994	1994-09-30	
29. आई एस 7317 : 1993	संशोधन सं. 1, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
30. आई एस 7949 : 1976	संशोधन सं. 2, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
31. आई एस 8048 : 1985	संशोधन सं. 2, सितम्बर 1994	1994-09-30	
32. आई एस 9902 : 1991	संशोधन सं. 1, अगस्त 1994	1994-07-31	
33. आई एस 10110 : 1982	संशोधन सं. 2, सितम्बर 1994	1994-09-30	
34. आई एस 10294 : 1982	संशोधन सं. 1, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
35. आई एस 10295 : 1982	संशोधन सं. 2, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
36. आई एस 10319 : 1982	संशोधन सं. 2, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
37. आई एस 10325 : 1989	संशोधन सं. 2, सितम्बर 1994	1994-09-30	
38. आई एस 10496 : 1983	संशोधन सं. 1, सितम्बर 1994	1994-09-30	
39. आई एस 10633 : 1936	संशोधन सं. 2, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
40. आई एस 10634 : 1986	संशोधन सं. 1, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
41. आई एस 10950 : 1984	संशोधन सं. 1, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
42. आई एस 11008 : 1984	संशोधन सं. 2, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
43. आई एस 11010 : 1984	संशोधन सं. 3, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
44. आई एस : 11063 : 1984	संशोधन सं. 2, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	
45. आई एस 11188 (भाग 1) : 1991	संशोधन सं. 2, सितम्बर 1994	1994-09-30	
46. आई एस 11226 : 1993	संशोधन सं. 1, जुलाई 1994	1994-07-31	

1	2	3	4
47. आई एस 11773:1986	संशोधन सं. 2, सितम्बर 1994		1994-09-30
48. आई एस 11784:1986	संशोधन सं. 2, जुलाई 1994		1994-07-31
49. आई एस 11785:1986	संशोधन सं. 1, जुलाई 1994		1994-07-31
50. आई एस 11995:1987	संशोधन सं. 10 जुलाई 1994		1994-07-31
51. आई एस 11996:1987	संशोधन सं. 2, जुलाई 1994		1994-07-31
52. आई एस 11997:1987	संशोधन सं. 2, जुलाई 1994		1994-07-31
53. आई एस 12184:1987	संशोधन सं. 1, सितम्बर 1994		1994-09-30
54. आई एस 12439:1988	संशोधन सं. 1, सितम्बर 1994		1994-09-30
55. आई एस 12751:1989	संशोधन सं. 1, जुलाई 1994		1994-07-31
56. आई एस 12769:1989	संशोधन सं. 1, जुलाई 1994		1994-07-31
57. आई एस 12913:1990	संशोधन सं. 1, सितम्बर, 1994		1994-09-30
58. आई एस 12916:1990	संशोधन सं. 1, सितम्बर 1994		1994-09-30
59. आई एस 12945:1990	संशोधन सं. 1, जुलाई 1994		1994-07-31
60. आई एस 13038:1993	संशोधन सं. 1, अगस्त 1994		1994-08-31
61. आई एस 13133:1991	संशोधन सं. 1, जुलाई 1994		1994-07-31
62. आई एस 13438:1992	संशोधन सं. 2, सितम्बर 1994		1994-09-30
63. आई एस 13439:1992	संशोधन सं. 3, सितम्बर 1994		1994-09-30
64. आई एस 13801:1993	संशोधन सं. 1, सितम्बर 1994		1994-09-30

इन संशोधनों की प्रतियां भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो मानक भवन, 9 बहादुरशाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002, और क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों बम्बई, कलकत्ता, चण्डीगढ़ तथा मद्रास और शाखा कार्यालयों अहमदाबाद, बंगलूर, भोपाल, भुवनेश्वर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर पटना और लखनऊ, गाजियाबाद तथा फरीदाबाद में विक्री हेतु उपलब्ध हैं।

[सं. के प्र वि/13: 5]

पी. एस. दास, अपर महानिदेशक

**MINISTRY OF CIVIL SUPPLIES, CONSUMER AFFAIRS & PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION
BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS**

New Delhi, the 20th January, 1995

S.O. 397—In pursuance of clause (b) of Sub-rule (1) of Rule 7 of Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies that amendment(s) to the Indian Standard(s) given in the schedule hereto annexed has/have been issued.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No. and year of the Indian Standard No.	No. and date of the amendment	Date from which the amendment shall effect.
1	2	3
		4
1. IS 163:1978	Amendment No. 2, August 1994	1994-08-31
2. IS 201:1992	Amendment No. 1 September, 1994	1994-09-30
3. IS 456:1978	Amendment No. 2, August 1994	1994-08-31
4. IS 550 (Part 1): 1991	Amendment No. 2, September 1994	1994-09-30
5. IS 550 (Part 2): 1991	Amendment No. 1 July 1994	1994-07-31
6. IS 550 (Part 3):1991	Amendment No. 1, September 1994	1994-09-30
7. IS 560:1980	Amendment No. 2, July 1994	1994-07-31
8. IS 1011:1992	Amendment No. 1, July 1994	1994-07-31
9. IS 1046:1992	Amendment No. 1, August 1994	1994-08-31
10. IS 1827:1989	Amendment No. 1, September, 1994	1994-09-30
11. IS 2148:1981	Amendment No. 3, August 1994	1994-08-31
12. IS 2549:1994	Amendment No. 1, September, 1994	1994-09-30
13. IS 2665:1964	Amendment No. 4, July 1994	1994-07-31
14. IS 3748:1990	Amendment No. 1, September, 1994	1994-09-30
15. IS 4321:1989	Amendment No. 1, September, 1994	1994-09-30
16. IS 4322:1967	Amendment No. 4, July 1994	1994-07-31
17. IS 4323:1980	Amendment No. 2, July 1994	1994-07-31
18. IS 4727:1968	Amendment No. 1, July 1994	1994-07-31
19. IS 5083:1988	Amendment No. 1, August 1994	1994-08-31
20. IS 5143:1988	Amendment No. 2, September 1994	1994-09-30
21. IS 5244:1991	Amendment No. 1, August 1994	1994-08-31
22. IS 5600:1970	Amendment No. 1, July 1994	1994-07-31
23. IS 5603:1983	Amendment No. 2, September, 1994	1994-09-30
24. IS 5613 (Part 2/Sec 1) :1989	Amendment No. 1, July 1994	1994-07-31
25. IS 5613 (Part 3/Sec 1): 1989	Amendment No. 1, July 1994	1894-07-31
26. IS 6223:1971	Amendment No. 2, September, 1994	1994-09-30
27. IS 7121:1976	Amendment No. 4, July 1994	1994-07-31
28. IS 7152:1992	Amendment No. 1, September, 1994	1994-09-30
29. IS 7317:1993	Amendment No. 1, July 1994	1994-07-31
30. IS 7949:1976	Amendment No. 2, July 1994	1994-07-31
31. IS 8048:1985	Amendment No. 2, September, 1994	1994-09-30
32. IS 9992:1991	Amendment No. 1, August 1994	1994-08-31
33. IS 10110:1982	Amendment No. 2, September, 1994	1994-09-30
34. IS 10294:1982	Amendment No. 1, July 1994	1994-07-31
35. IS 10295:1982	Amendment No. 2, July 1994	1994-07-31
36. IS 10319:1982	Amendment No. 2, July 1994	1994-07-31
37. IS 10325:1989	Amendment No. 2, September, 1994	1994-09-30
38. IS 10496:1983	Amendment No. 1, September 1994	1994-09-30
39. IS 10633:1986	Amendment No. 2, July 1994	1994-07-31
40. IS 10634:1986	Amendment No. 1, July 1994	1994-07-31
41. IS 10950:1984	Amendment No. 1, July 1994.	1994-07-31
42. IS 11008:1984	Amendment No. 2, July 1994	1994-07-31

1	2	3	4
43.	IS 11010:1984	Amendment No. 3, July 1994	1994-07-31
44.	IS 11063:1984	Amendment No. 2, July 1994	1994-07-31
45.	IS 11188 (Part 1): 1991	Amendment No. 2, September, 1994	1994-09-30
46.	IS 11226:1993	Amendment No. 1, July 1994	1994-07-31
47.	IS 11773:1986	Amendment No. 2, September, 1994	1994-09-30
48.	IS 11784:1986	Amendment No. 2, July 1994	1994-07-31
49.	IS 11785:1986	Amendment No. 1, July 1994	1994-07-31
50.	IS 11995:1987	Amendment No. 1, July 1994	1994-07-31
51.	IS 11996:1987	Amendment No. 2, July 1994	1994-07-31
52.	IS 11997:1987	Amendment No. 2, July 1994	1994-07-31
53.	IS 12184:1987	Amendment No. 1, September, 1994	1994-09-30
54.	IS 12439:1988	Amendment No. 1, September, 1994.	1994-09-30
55.	IS 12751:1989	Amendment No. 1, July 1994.	1994-07-31
56.	IS 12769:1989	Amendment No. 1, July 1994	1994-07-31
57.	IS 12913:1990	Amendment No. 1, September, 1994	1994-09-31
58.	IS 12916:1990	Amendment No. 1, September, 1994.	1994-09-30
59.	IS 12945:1990	Amendment No. 1, July 1994	1994-07-31
60.	IS 13038:1993	Amendment No. 1, August, 1994	1994-08-31
61.	IS 13133:1991	Amendment No. 1, July 1994.	1994-07-31
62.	IS 13438:1992	Amendment No. 2, September, 1994	1994-09-30
63.	IS 13439:1992	Amendment No. 3, September, 1994	1994-09-30
64.	IS 13801:1993	Amendment No. 1, September, 1994	1994-09-30

Copies of the Amendments are available for sale with the Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhavan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110032 and Regional Offices: New Delhi, Calcutta, Chandigarh, Madras Bombay and also Branch Offices: Ahmedabad, Bangalore Bhopal, Bhubaneswar, Coimbatore, Faridabad, Ghaziabad, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Lucknow, Patna, Thiruvananthapuram.

[No. CMD/13:5]

P. S. DAS, Addl. Dir. Gen

खान मंत्रालय

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 27 जनवरी, 1995

का.आ. 398—खान और खनिज (विनियमन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 67) की धारा 23 ख द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, आंध्र प्रदेश सरकार के निम्नलिखित राजपत्रित अधिकारियों को, एतद्वारा कथित धारा 23 ख के तहत प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है:

1. निदेशक, खान और भूविज्ञान
2. अतिरिक्त निदेशक, खान और भूविज्ञान
3. संयुक्त निदेशक, खान और भूविज्ञान
4. उपनिदेशक, खान और भूविज्ञान
5. सहायक निदेशक, खान और भूविज्ञान
6. उपनिदेशक (खान)
7. सहायक निदेशक (खान)

[फा. सं. 1/61/94-खान-6]

डी.वी. सिंह, निदेशक

MINISTRY OF MINES

ORDER

New Delhi, the 27th January, 1995

S.O. 398.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 23B of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (67 of 1957), the Central Government hereby authorise the following gazetted officers of the Government of Andhra Pradesh to exercise powers under the said section 23B, namely:—

1. Director of Mines and Geology;
2. Addl. Director of Mines and Geology;
3. Joint Director of Mines and Geology;
4. Deputy Director of Mines and Geology;
5. Assistant Director of Mines and Geology;
6. Deputy Director (Mines);
7. Assistant Director (Mines).

[F. No. 1(61)/94-M.VII]
D.V. SINGH, Director

पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 27 जनवरी, 1995

का.आ. 399—केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3

की उपधारा (i) के अधीन जारी की गई और भारत के राजपत्र 2 खण्ड 3, उपखण्ड (ii), हिन्दी पृष्ठ सं. 458 और पृष्ठ सं. 459 पर, में प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं. का.आ. 434 तारीख 12 फरवरी, 1994 द्वारा उक्त अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की सूचना दी थी।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार की जानकारी में यह लाया गया है कि राजपत्र में प्रकाशित उक्त अधिसूचना में मुद्रण प्रकृति की कुछ गलतियाँ हैं।

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (i) द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है :—

पृष्ठ 457 परिच्छेद—4, पंक्ति 3 में “श्री एन. देशपांडे” के स्थान पर “श्री ए. एन. देशपांडे” पढ़ें।

पृष्ठ 458—अनुसूची के नीचे, ग्राम का नाम “नागपुर” के स्थान पर “नागापुर” पढ़ें। नागापुर गांव में, स्तम्भ 2 के नीचे सर्वे संख्या “57/1ए/1” के स्थान पर “58/1ए/1” पढ़ें।

पृष्ठ 459:—ग्राम सताने में स्तम्भ 2, 4, 5, 6 के नीचे “88/2क” “0.37”, “20” के स्थान पर “88/2क” “0”, “21”, “20” पढ़ें।

88/2ख 0, 16, 00

पृष्ठ 459:—ग्राम अनाकवांडे में स्तम्भ 2 के नीचे “63” के स्थान पर “126” पढ़ें।

स्तम्भ 2 के नीचे “104/48/1” भाग के स्थान पर “104/4ख/1 भाग” पढ़ें।

स्तम्भ 2 के नीचे “104/48/2 भाग” के स्थान पर “104/4ख/2 भाग” पढ़ें।

स्तम्भ 2 के नीचे “102/1 भाग” के स्थान पर “102/3” पढ़ें।

102/4

102/5

स्तम्भ 4, 5, 6 के नीचे “0” “78” “23” के स्थान पर “0”, “36”, “00” पढ़ें।

“0”, “23”, “23”

“0”, “19”, “00”

स्तम्भ 2 के नीचे “16/3 भाग” के स्थान पर “16/3” पढ़ें स्तम्भ 5 में “82” के स्थान पर “32” पढ़ें।

ऐसी भूमि जिसकी बाबत उपरोक्त संशोधन जारी किया गया हितबद्ध कोई व्यक्ति उस तरीके से जिसको इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ हैं, साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 5 की उपधारा (i) के निबन्धनों के अनुसार उक्त

भूमि के समर्पण या किसी भाग के या उक्त ऐसी भूमि या इस पर किसी प्रकार के अर्जित किये जाने के संबंध में आक्षेप श्री ए. एन. देशपांडे, सक्षम अधिकारी, बम्बई-मनमाड पाइप लाइन परियोजना, 9-13, दूसरी मंजिल, बसंत मार्केट, कनाडा कॉनर, नासिक-422002 को कर सकेगा।

स्पष्टीकरण : इस अधिसूचना के द्वारा संशोधित भूमियों और अन्य विशिष्टियों की बाबत ही उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 5 की उपधारा (i) के निबन्धनों के अनुसार इक्कीस दिन की उक्त अवधि उक्त तारीख से आरम्भ होती है।

[सं. आर-31015/2/93-ओ.आर. II]

जे.के. मायल, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM & NATURAL GAS

New Delhi, the 27th Jan., 1995

S.O. 399.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas No. S.O. 434 dated 12th February, 1994 published in the Gazette of India Part-II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii), at pages 460 to 461, issued under sub-section (i) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of Users in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government gave notice of its intention to acquire the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification;

And whereas, it has been brought to the notice of the Central Government that certain errors of printing nature have occurred in the publication of the said notification in the Gazette;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (ii) of section 3 of the said Act the Central Government hereby amends the schedule appended to the said notification as follows namely :

In the said notification,

(i) at page 460, in column 1, for village “Nagpur” read “Nagapur”, in column 2, for Survey Number “93/1B/1” read “39/1B/1”;

(ii) at page 461, in village Satane, in column 2, for Survey Number 88/2A read “88/2B”, in column 5, for “37” read “21/16” in column 6 for “20” read “20” 0”

(iii) at page 461, in village Anakwade, in column 2, for Survey Number “63” read “126” for survey Number “75/B” read “75/8”, at page 462 in column 2 for Survey Number “102/1” read “102/3”, “102/4”, “102/5” and in columns 4, 5 & 6 for “0” “78”, “23” read “C 3600” “0 23 23” “0 19 00”

Any person interested in any land in respect of which the above amendment has been issued, may within twenty one days from the date on which the copies of this notification are made available to the general public, object to the acquisition of the whole or any part of the said land or any right in or over such land in terms of sub-section (i) of section 5 of the said Act to Shri A.N. Deshpande, Competent Authority, Bombay Manmad Pipeline Project, 9-13, 2nd Floor, Vasant Market, Canada Corner, Nasik-422 002.

Explanation :—In respect of the lands, Khasra nos. and area amended through this notification only, the said period of twenty one days in terms of sub-section (1) of section 5

of the said Act starts running from the date of notification is made available to the public after its publication in Official Gazette.

[File No. R-31015/2/93-OR.II]
J.K. MAYALL, Under Secy.

नागर विमानन और पर्यटन मंत्रालय

(नागर विमानन विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 24 जनवरी, 1995

का. आ. 400—पवन हंस लि. के ज्ञापन और संगम अनुच्छेद के अनुच्छेद 40 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए तथा इस मंत्रालय की दिनांक 10-8-90 की अधिसूचना संख्या ए.बी. 13015/42/89-एसीवीएल में आंशिक परिवर्तन करते हुए, राष्ट्रपति एयर कोमोडोर सी.एम. सिंगला को दिनांक 12-5-93 से 17-9-95 तक अथवा अगला आदेश होने तक, जो भी पहले हो, अनुसूची "ख" र. 8500-200-9500 वेतनमान में पवन हंस लि. के अध्यक्ष सह प्रबंध निदेशक के रूप में नियुक्त करते हैं।

[संख्या एबी 13015/36/93-सीवीएल]
करतार सिंह पंवार, अवसर सचिव

MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION & TOURISM
(Department of Civil Aviation)

New Delhi, the 24th January, 1995

S.O. 400.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Article 40 of the Memorandum and Articles of Association of Pawan Hans Limited and in partial modification of notification issued vide this Ministry's No. AV. 13015/42/89-ACVL, dated 10-8-1990, the President is pleased to appoint Air Commodore C.M. Singla as Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Pawan Hans Limited, in schedule "B" scale of pay of Rs. 8500-200-9500 with effect from 12-5-1993 or till further orders, whichever is earlier.

[No. AV. 13015/36/93-ACVL]
K.S. PANWAR, Under Secy.

संचार मंत्रालय

(दूरसंचार विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 24 नवम्बर, 1994

का. आ. 401—केन्द्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम 1976 के नियम 10(4) के अनुसरण में, संचार मंत्रालय के प्रशासनिक नियंत्रणाधीन निम्नलिखित कार्यालयों, जिनमें 80% से अधिक कर्मचारियों ने हिंदी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, को एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करती है :—

1. क्षेत्रीय निदेशक दूरसंचार, मण्डी (हिमाचल प्रदेश)
2. दूरसंचार जिला प्रबंधक, शिमला (हिमाचल प्रदेश)
3. दूरसंचार जिला प्रबंधक, सोलन (हिमाचल प्रदेश)
4. दूरसंचार जिला अभियंता मण्डी (हिमाचल प्रदेश)
5. दूरसंचार जिला अभियंता, हमीरपुर (हिमाचल प्रदेश)
6. प्रवर अधीक्षक, तार परियात, धर्मशाला (हिमाचल प्रदेश)

7. महाप्रबंधक दूरसंचार जिला सूरत (गुजरात)

[सं. ई. 11016/1/94-रा.भा.]
एच.सी. शर्मा, उप निदेशक (राजभाषा)

MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (Department of Telecommunications)

New Delhi, the 24th November, 1994

S.O. 401.—In pursuance of Rule 10(4) of the Official Language (Use for Official purposes of the Union) Rule, 1976, the Central Government hereby notifies following offices of the Ministry of Communications where of more than 80 per cent staff have acquired working knowledge of Hindi :—

1. Regional Director Telecom, Mandi, (H.P. Telecom Circle).
2. Telecom District Manager, Shimla.—(H.P. Telecom Circle)
3. Telecom District Manager, Solan.—(H.P. Telecom Circle)
4. Telecom District Engineer, Hamirpur.—(H.P. Telecom Circle)
5. Telecom District Engineer, Mandi,—(H.P. Telecom Circle)
6. Sr. Superintendent Telegraph Traffic, Dharamshala.—(H.P. Circle).
7. General Manager Telecom, Surat.—(Gujarat Telecom Circle).

[No. E-11016/1/94-OL]

H. C. SHARMA, Dy. Director (OL)

नई दिल्ली, 24 नवम्बर, 1994

का. आ. 402—केन्द्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम 1976 के नियम 10(4) के अनुसरण में, संचार मंत्रालय के प्रशासनिक नियंत्रणाधीन निम्नलिखित कार्यालयों, जिनमें 80% से अधिक कर्मचारियों ने हिंदी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, को एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करती है :

महाराष्ट्र सचिव

1. वरिष्ठ महाप्रबंधक का कार्यालय विदेश संचार निगम निमिटेड (भारत सरकार का उद्यम) विक्रम उपग्रह भू-केन्द्र, आर्वी, तालुका—जुन्नर जिला—मुणे पिन 412 415

पंजाब सचिव

1. महाप्रबंधक दूरसंचार, अमृतसर
2. महाप्रबंधक, पंजाब दूरसंचार क्षेत्र, जालन्धर
3. विभागीय तारघर, अमृतसर—“ग”
4. केन्द्रीय तारघर, अमृतसर

5. विभागीय तारघर, बटाला
6. विभागीय तारघर, पठानकोट
7. विभागीय तारघर, गुरदासपुर

[सं. ई 11016/1/94-रा.भा.]
एच.सी. शर्मा, उप निदेशक (राजभाषा)

New Delhi, the 24th November, 1994

S.O. 402.—In pursuance of Rule 10(4) of the Official Language (use for Official Purposes of the Union) Rules, 1976, the Central Government hereby notifies following offices of the Ministry of Communications where of more than 80 per cent staff have acquired working knowledge of Hindi :—

1. Office of the Sr. General Manger, vedesh Sanchar Ningam Ltd., Vikram Upgraha Bhoo-Kendra, Arwi, Taluka Junnar, Distt. Pune-412415 (Maharashtra).

PUNJAB TELECOM CIRCLE

1. General Manager, Telecom, Amritsar.
2. General Manager, Punjab Telecom Region, Jallundhar.
3. Central Telegraph Office, Amritsar.
4. Departmental Telegraph Office, Amritsar-C.
5. Departmental Telegraph Office, Batala.
6. Departmental Telegraph Office, Pathankot.
7. Department Telegraph Office, Gurdaspur.

[No. E.11016/1/94-OL]
H. C. SHARMA, Dy. Director (OL)

श्रम मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 6 जनवरी, 1995

का. भा. 403—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार डब्ल्यू.सी.एल. के प्रबन्धन के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निविष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-1-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/333/90-आई आर (सी-II)]
राजा लाल, डेस्क अधिकारी

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 6th January, 1995

S.O. 403.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of W.C. Ltd. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 6-1-95.

[No. L-22612/333/90-IR (C-II)]
RAJA LAL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (MP)

CASE NO. CGIT/LC(R) (206)/1990

BETWEEN

Shri Sudarshan S/o. Chillar, Peon/Chowkidar represented through the General Secretary, Bhartiya Koyala Khadan Mazdoor Sangh (BMS) Chandametta, Post Chandametta, District Chhindwara (MP).

AND

The Manager, Eklehra Colliery, Post Eklehra, District Chhindwara (MP).

PRESIDED IN: By Shri Arvind Kumar Awasthy.

APPEARANCES :

For Workman : Shri B. K. Rai.

For Management : Shri G. S. Kapoor.

INDUSTRY : Coal Mines DISTRICT : Chhindwara (MP)

AWARD

Dated : December 20, 1994

This is a reference made by the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. 22012/(333)/90 IR (Coal-II) dated 22-10-1990 for adjudication of the following industrial dispute :—

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the Manager, Eklehra Colliery of Western Coalfields Ltd., Pench Area, P.O. Eklehra, District Chhindwara in dismissing from services to Shri Sudarshan S/o. Chillar, Peon/Chowkidar of Eklehra Colliery w.e.f. 10-3-1939, is proper and justified? If not, to what relief are the said workman entitled to?"

2. Admitted facts of the case are that the workman, Shri Sudarshan, was employed as a Chowkidar in Eklehra Colliery of W.C.L. Pench Area, Parasia and after holding domestic enquiry his services were terminated with effect from 10-3-1939.

3. The case of the workman is that the workman was a hard core Trade Union Activist and regular critics of Hospital mismanagement and the false case was cooked up against the workman and the workman was denied fair and just enquiry and he was illegally removed from the service. The workman has prayed for reinstatement with full back wages.

4. The management has alleged that the workman did commit assault on Doctors and Staff and after the domestic enquiry conducted by Shri P. N. Srivastava the workman was removed from the service and he is not entitled for any benefit.

5. Parties have filed Settlement and it was verified and accepted. The terms and conditions of Settlement are just and proper and are accepted. Following are the terms and conditions of Settlement :—

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. S/Shri Sudarshan S/o. Chillar and Tulsiram S/o. Nanhoo Vishwakarma shall submit an unqualified apology in writing for the misconducts committed by them in the past and shall also apologise before the General Manager, Pench Area in the presence of a representative each of the Officers' Association and the concerned union. They shall also be required to give an assurance in writing of good conduct and performance in future.
2. S/Shri Sudarshan and Tulsiram will be offered re-employment/reinstatement with posting in any of the units under Kanhan Area of Western Coalfields Limited, as may be decided by the General Manager, Kanhan Area.
3. On re-employment/reinstatement at Kanhan Area, the above workman will be on probation for a period of one year from the date of joining their duties. During the period, their conduct and performance will be watched.
4. That the workmen concerned will report for their duties to the General Manager, Kanhan Area, within 30 days of signing of this settlement and a after observing above formalities.
5. On receipt of satisfactory performance report, their services will be confirmed. For the period the workmen were out of employment i.e. from the date of chargesheet/suspension/dismissal to the date of their joining after re-employment they will not be entitled for any claims, benefits etc. except that on their confirmation, continuity of service will be taken into consideration for the limited purpose of gratuity only.
6. If there is recurrence of any misconduct in future, Bhartiya Koyala Khadan Mazdoor Sangh (BMS) will not take up their case.
7. This settlement shall not be cited as a precedent in any other case or at any time.
8. This settlement shall be filed jointly before the Hon'ble Presiding Officer, Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur for giving consent award in terms of settlement, as the same are fair and proper.
6. In view of the aforesaid terms and conditions of Settlement no dispute award is passed. Parties to bear their own costs.

ARVIND KUMAR AWASTHY, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 8 जनवरी, 1995

का.सा. 404—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एस.ई.सी.एस. के अध्यक्षता के संयुक्त नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचमट को प्रकटित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-1-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एस-21012/64/87 डी-III (बी)]

राजालाल, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th January, 1995

S.O. 404.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of S.E.C. Ltd. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 6-1-95.

[No. L-21012/64/87 D-III (B)]

RAJA LAL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (MP)

CASE REF. NO. CGIT/LC(R) (204)/1987

BETWEEN

Shri Baboo Khan represented through the General Secretary S.K.M.S. Rajgamar Colliery, P.O. Rajgamar, District Bilaspur (MP).

AND

The Sub-Area Manager, Rajgamar Colliery, S.E.C.L., Korba, P.O. Rajgamar, District Bilaspur (MP).

PRESIDED IN : By Shri Arvind Kumar Awasthy.

APPEARANCES :

For Workman : Shri Arvind Srivastava, Advocate.

For Management : Shri R. Menon, Advocate.

INDUSTRY : Coal Mines DISTRICT : Bilaspur (MP)

AWARD

Dated : December 23, 1994

This is a reference made by the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-21012/64/87/D.III(B) dated 21-9-1987 for adjudication of the following industrial dispute :—

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Rajgamar Colliery of SECL in retiring Shri Baboo Khan from service w.e.f. 8-6-86 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled to?"

2. The admitted facts of the case are that the workman, Shri Baboo Khan, was appointed on 8-6-1926 with the erstwhile N.C.D.C. in Korba Colliery and his services were transferred to Rajgamar Colliery in the year 1981 as Conveyor Khalasi.

3. The case of the workman is that his actual date of birth is 25-9-1939 but in the record of the management his date of birth is wrongly recorded as 8-6-1926. That in the year 1985 when notice for retirement was given by the management, then he made representation for the correction of the date of birth in his service record and along with the application documents were also filed, but the management has illegally and unlawfully retired the workman prematurely. The workman has prayed for the reinstatement in the service with full back wages.

4. The management has pleaded that when the workman joined the service then he made a declaration about his date of birth and on that basis in Form B Register his date of birth was mentioned as 8-6-1926. When on 23-4-82 the management displayed a notice for the correction of the date of birth recorded in Form B Register and even after the display of the notice Shri Baboo Khan did not raise any objection for the correction of his date of birth; that the workman was retired from the service with effect from

8-6-1986 and the representation for the correction of his age was made by the workman after inordinate and unexplained delay.

5. Management has filed an application for dismissal of the reference on the ground that the workman has filed a Civil Suit No.77/A/91 in the Court of Civil Judge Class II, Korba, against the management and in the said Civil Suit the workman has prayed for the same relief which was asked by the workman in the reference. The reference cannot be decided against the workman on the ground that the workman has preferred a petition or Civil Suit of the same claim under reference or the workman has made an attempt to avail alternate remedy to get the relief relating to the reference. The reference is to be answered on its merit. However, management has failed to show any law or citation to the effect that filing of Civil Suit by the workman for the claim sought in reference deprives the Tribunal to decide the reference and in that event the reference to be answered against the workman. Consequently, the application of the management is hereby rejected.

6. It is bounden duty of the workman to plead and proof that how and why at the time of the initial appointment the wrong date of birth was given by him to the management. There is no evidence to show that the workman by mistake got recorded his wrong age in the Service Book. The workman, Shri Baboo Khan, is not an illiterate person and Form B Register where the date of birth is recorded as 8-6-1926 bears his signatures.

7. The workman has not given explanation that why he made an inordinate delay making the representation for the correction of his age. A literate workman will not remain silent for more than 25 years and he will certainly make an attempt to get his date of birth corrected much before his retirement. It is note worthy that even after the public notice by the management for the correction of date of birth, no attempt was made by the workman to get his date of birth corrected. The workman has not proved any document showing that his date of birth was 25-9-1939. Consequently, it is held that the plea of the workman that his actual date of birth is 25-9-1939 is an after thought.

8. Consequently, the action of the management in retiring Shri Baboo Khan from service with effect from 8-6-1986 is justified. Reference is answered in favour of the management. Parties to bear their own costs.

ARVIND KUMAR AWASTHY, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 जनवरी, 1995

का.प्र. 405--औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एस.ई.सी.एल. के प्रबन्धन के संवद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचपद को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-1-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/331/91 आई आर (सी-ii)]
राजा लाल, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th January, 1995

S.O. 405.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government

Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of S.E.C. Ltd. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on the 6-1-95.

[No. L-22012/331/92-IR(C-II)]
RAJA LAL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR
(M.P.)

CASE REF. NO. CGIT/LC(R)(37)/1993

BETWEEN

Shri S.K. Dutta represented through the President, National
Colliery Workers Federation, Branch Manikpur Colliery,
District Bilaspur (M.P.).

AND

The Dy. General Manager, S.E.C.L., Manikpur Colliery,
District Bilaspur (MP)-495 682.

PRESIDED IN : By Shri Arvind Kumar Awasthy.

APPEARANCES :

For Workman : Himself.

For Management : Shri Anil Kumar

INDUSTRY : Coal Mines DISTRICT Bilaspur (MP).

AWARD

Dated : DECEMBER 20, 1994

This is a reference made by the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-22012/331/92-IR(C-II) Dated 4-2-1993 for adjudication of the following industrial dispute :

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Dy. General Manager, S.E.C. Ltd., of Manikpur Colliery, Distt. Bilaspur is justified in allowing initial Basic Pay of Clerk Gr. III to Sri S.K. Dutta and not protecting his existing pay drawn on date of joining as Clerk Gr. III? If not, to what relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

2. The case of the workman, Shri S.K. Dutta, is that he is in SBA Cat. V and he was drawing Basic Pay Rs. 1681.16 P per month that the management has protected the initial higher pay of Shri Dharam Das, Shri Bhagwat Kumar and Shri Basant Lal who were selected along with Shri S.K. Dutta, but the management has deprived the workman, Shri S.K. Dutta, the wage given to S/Shri Dharam Das, Bhawat Kumar and Basantlal Mongre.

3. Management has not filed the statement of claim or written statement. The Settlement was filed by the parties and it is verified. The Settlement was between the parties on 24-7-1993 as under :—

1. The basic of Shri S.K. Dutta will be fixed Rs. 1613.00 from the date of joining to the post of Clerk Gr. III, the arrears will be paid within one month time.
2. Regarding the protection of Rs. 68.16 it has been agreed that this issue will be referred to an arbitrator which will be accepted by both the parties and the decision/award of the arbitrator will be submitted within six months. the award of the arbitrator will be binding on both the parties

The Award by the Arbitrator, Shri B.B. Singh, was passed on 27th April, 1994. The operative part of the Award of the Arbitrator is as under :—

That Shri S.K. Dutta is entitled for his pay protection i.e. 1681.16 per month from the date of his joining the post of Clerk Gr. III. Since the last slab of clerk Gr. III ends at Rs. 1613, the difference amount of Rs. 68.16 should be treated as his personal pay and paid from the date of his joining and could be adjusted on his next promotion in higher pay scale of NCWA-IV.

As parties have accepted the aforesaid Award of the Arbitrator the reference is answered in terms of the said arbitration Award. Parties to bear their own costs.

ARVIND KUMAR AWASTHY, Presiding Officer.

नई दिल्ली, 6 जनवरी, 1995

का.अ. 406.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार बी बी एम सी के प्रबन्धन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, चण्डीगढ़ के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 5-1-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-42012/113/89 डी-II (बी)]

राजा लाल, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th January, 1995

S.O. 406.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal Chandigarh as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of B.B.M.B. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 5-1-95.

[No. L-42012/113/89-D-II(B)]

RAJA LAL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI M.S. SULLAR, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, CHANDIGARH.

CASE NO. I.D. 13 OF 1990

Ramesh Kumar Vs. B.B.M.B.

For the workman : Shri R.K. Singh

For the management : Shri S.K. Goel

AWARD

The brief facts relevant for the disposal of the present reference are that Ramesh Kumar son of Shri Sansar Chand was employed in Nangal Dam Division, w.e.f. 1-3-1986 as unskilled labourer, on daily wages. He continued in his service till 28-2-1987 when his services were retrenched. The workman has challenged his termination order on the ground that he had already put in 280 days continuous service but the action of the management in retrenching him is violative of the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. On the footing of aforesaid pleadings the workman raised the dispute.

2. In the wake of industrial dispute raised by the workman U/S 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947, (hereinafter

be referred as Act), the Central Govt. vide letter No. L-42012/113/89-D-2(b) dated 11th January 1990 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :

“Whether Shri Ramesh Kumar son of Shri Sansar Chand of Nangal Dam Divn. is entitled to any benefits for the intervening period from the date of the termination of his services on 1-3-1987 till he was taken back on duty. If not to what benefits he is entitled to?”

3. The management has contested the claim of the petitioner and filed the written statement inter-alia stoutly denying the allegations of the workman.

4. Instead of filing the affidavit of the workman his wife Smt. Sheela Rani had moved an application informing that her husband was missing since 13-6-1989 a FIR was lodged in Nangal Police Station on 15-6-1989. She has also produced the copy of report No. 28 dated 15-6-1989 in this regard.

5. The contention of the representation of the workman that Smt. Sheela Rani wife of the workman be impleaded in his place, is devoid of merit, because admittedly there are no allegation that the workman had died. Case of his wife is that he is missing since June 1989. He can not legally be presumed to be dead before seven years of the date of his missing. If that is so, then to my mind, his wife can not possibly be impleaded as a party in his place.

6. Faced with the situation, the representative of the workman has made the following statement :

“I am authorised representative of the workman. Since the workman is not traceable, I am unable to produce him in order to substantiate his case. Therefore, the reference be declined as not pressed.”

7. In the light of aforesaid reasons and in view of the statement of the representative of the workman, the reference petition is declined. Appropriate Govt. be informed accordingly.

Chandigarh.

2-12-1994 Camp Nangal.

M.S. SULLAR, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 जनवरी, 1995

का.अ. 407.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एस ई सी एल के प्रबन्धन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-1-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/258/88-डी IV (बी)]

राजा लाल, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th January, 1995

S.O. 407.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of SEC LTD, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 6th January, 1995.

[No. L-22012/258/88-D IV(B)]

RAJA LAL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR
(M.P.)

CASE NO: CGIT/LC(R)(5)/1989.

BETWEEN

Shri Gendlal S/o Sri Chamaru represented through the
General Secretary, M.P. Koyala Mazdoor Sabha (HMS),
Post South Jhagrakhand Colliery, district Surguja (M.P.).

AND

The Sub-Area Manager, Ramnagar Sub-Area, Post Jhimar
Colliery, District Shahdol (MP).

PRESIDED IN : by Shri Arvind Kumar Awasthy.

APPEARANCES :

For Workman : Shri N.L. Pandey;

For Management : Shri A.K. Shastri, Advocate.

INDUSTRY : Coal Mines DISTRICT : Shahdol (MP).

AWARD

DATED : DECEMBER 20, 1994.

This is a reference made by the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-22012/2/58/88-D-IV(B) dated 3rd January, 1989 for adjudication of the following dispute :—

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the Management of S.E.C. Ltd. in relation to Ramnagar Sub-Area in dismissing their workman Sri Gendlal S/o Sri Chamaru, Loader, South Jhimar Colliery w.e.f. 19-1-87 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled and from what date?"

2. Admitted facts of the case are that the workman, Shri Gendlal, was working as a Loader in South Jhimar Colliery of S.E.C.L. Shahdol; that the services of Shri Gendlal was terminated with effect from 19-1-87 on the charge of theft of detonators.

3. Parties have filed the Settlement. It is verified and it is just and proper. following are the terms of Settlement :

TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

- (1) It is agreed that Sri Gendlal S/o Chamroo, Ex-Loader, South Jhimar colliery will be reinstated with immediate effect as General Mazdoor in Cat. I in the scale of Rs. 38.47-0:70-48.27 under NCWA-IV with initial start of Rs. 38.47 and posted at Kapildhara of Kurja sub-Area.
- (2) It is agreed that no back wages and consequential benefits will be paid to Sri Gendlal for the period between 19-01-87 till the date of his joining duty on the principle of "No work no pay", but he will be treated in continuity of services for the purpose of gratuity only.
- (3) Agreed that the dispute fully and finally resolved on account of the above settlement and the union/workman further agreed that the issue settled herein will not be raised at any forum before any authority/any Govt. Machinery.

Agreed that Sri Gendlal S/o Chamroo, Ex-Loader of South Jhimar colliery will produce Identity Card or attested photographs to the Competent Authority at the time of joining duty for proper identification.

- (5) Agreed that Sri Gendlal S/o Chamroo will submit attestation form in duplicate (copy enclosed).

- (6) Agreed that the copy of settlement will be sent to the appropriate authority for registration and will also be filed before the Hon'ble Presiding Officer, CGIT, Jabalpur, for passing award on the above lines of settlement.

4. Management has given employment to the workman and the workman has agreed that he will not claim back wages, reference is answered in favour of the management as aforesaid terms of Settlement. Parties to bear their own costs.

ARVIND KUMAR AWASTHY, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 जनवरी, 1995

का.प्रा. 408—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एसईसीएल के प्रबन्धन के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-1-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/290/89-आई अर (सी-II)]

राजा लाल, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th January 1995

S.O. 408.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of S.E.C. LTD and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 6-1-95.

[No. L-22012/290/89-JR(C-II)]

RAJA LAL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR
(MP)

Case No: CGIT/LC(R)(96)/1990

BETWEEN

Shri Mohan Singh, Shovel Operator, represented through
the Secretary (C), S.K. Mazdoor Sangh, Branch Office,
Banki Mongra, Post Bankimongra, District Bilaspur (MP)-
495447.

AND

The Sub-Area Manager, S.E.C. Ltd. Kusmunda Project,
(SECL), Post Kusmunda Project, District Bilaspur (MP).

PRESIDED IN : By Shri Arvind Kumar Awasthy.

APPEARANCES :

For Workman : Shri Arvind Srivastava, Advocate.

For Management : Shri R. Menon, Advocate.

INDUSTRY : Coal Mines DISTRICT : Bilaspur (MP).

AWARD

DATED : December 20, 1994.

This is a reference made by the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-22012

(290)/89-IR (Coal-II) Dated 30-3-1990 for adjudication of the following Industrial dispute :-

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the Management of Sub-Area Manager, Kusmunda Project of M/s SECL in treating the date of birth as 13-2-34 of Sri Mohan Singh, Shovel Operator, is justified? If not, to what relief the workman concerned is entitled?"

2. Admitted facts of the case are that the workman was appointed in Korea Colliery District Surguja with effect from 24-11-1960 with the erstwhile N.C.D.C. and his services were transferred to S.C.E. Ltd. as Shovel Operator in Kusmunda Project.

3. The case of the workman is that his actual date of birth is 10-1-1939 but in the record of the management his date of birth is originally recorded as 13-2-1934. The workman made representation for the correction of his date of birth and filed the School Leaving Certificate and the affidavit to support the claim of his date of birth; that without holding the ossification test the management simply on the basis of appearance rejected to School Leaving Certificate and failed to correct his date of birth.

The workman has prayed that the reference be answered in negative and the management be directed not to retire the workman on 1-1-1999.

4. Management has alleged that the workman at the time of entering into the service declared that his date of birth is 13-2-1934 and he made the thumb impression in the service sheet; that the representation of the workman for the correction of his age was considered by the Experts and the Age Determination Committee formed under the Rules found that the date of birth recorded in the service sheet i.e. 13-2-1934 of the workman is correct and need no interference. Management has prayed that the reference be rejected and the workman is not entitled for any relief.

5. Issues were framed and the workman has admitted documents filed by the management, marked Ex. M/1, Ex. M/2 and Ex. M/4. Parties did not lead any further evidence.

6. The workman has not given explanation that how and why at the time of his appointment he made the declaration that his date of birth was 13-2-1934. The workman has accepted the entries made in the service sheet by affixing his thumb impression in Register, marked Ex. M/2. the workman has filed School Leaving Certificate to show that his actual date of birth was 10-1-39. This School Leaving Certificate is forged and false because according to School Leaving Certificate the workman has passed 4th Class while from the Register Ex. M/2 which bears thumb mark of the workman it is clear that the workman is illiterate. The School Certificate was obtained by the workman in the year 1984. The workman has not given any explanation why the attempt for correction of his age was made after 24 years of his service.

7. The contention of the learned Counsel for the management that the claim of the workman that his date of birth is actually 10-1-1939 and not 13-2-1934 is an after thought because the workman has accepted his retirement and opted for the employment is worth considering and it certainly goes to show the falsity of the claim of the workman.

8. Consequently, I hold that the report of the Age Determination Committee constituted in terms of the decision contained in the Implementation Instructions No. 37 issued under N.C.W.A. II holding that the date of birth recorded 201 GI/95-10.

in the service sheet of the workman i.e. 13-2-1934 is correct, was just and proper and the Age Determination Committee report calls for no interference.

9. Consequently, the reference is answered in favour of the management and the workman is not entitled for any relief Parties to bear their own costs.

ARVIND KUMAR AWASTHY, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 जनवरी, 1995

का.आ. 409—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की द्वारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार डब्ल्यू सी एल के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संवद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-1-1995 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/162/92-आई आर (सी-II)]

राजा लाल, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th January, 1995

S.O. 459.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of W.C. Ltd. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 6-1-95.

[No. L-22012/162/92-IR (C-II)]

RAJA LAL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (MP).

CASE REF. No. CGIT/LC(R)(209)/1992.

BETWEEN

Shri Shivdayal S/o Shri Baboo, Ex-Chowkidar of Ghorawari colliery Near Santhosh Mata Mandir, Gulabrao, Chhindwara (MP).

AND

The Manager, Ghorawari Colliery of W.C.L., P.O. Ghorawari Kurd, District Chhindwara (MP).

PRESIDED IN : By Shri Arvind Kumar Awasthy.

APPEARANCES :

For Workman : Workman himself.

For Management : Shri Thankanchan.

INDUSTRY : Coal Mines District : Chhindwara (MP).

AWARD

DATED : December 19, 1994

This is a reference made by the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-22012/162/92-IR (C-II) Dated 1-10-1992 for adjudication of the following industrial dispute :-

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Sub-Area Manager, Datla Sub-Area and the Manager, Ghorawari

Colliery (M.P.) in dismissing from services to Shri Shivdayal S/o Shri Baboo, Ex-Sweeper of Ghorawari Colliery of WCL, Kanhan Area, P. O. Ghorawari Khurd, dist. Chhindwara (M.P.) w.e.f. 20-3-1991 is proper and justified? If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled to?"

2. After the service of the notice the workman and the management made appearance in the Court and they have not filed the statement of claim or written statement. Parties have filed the Settlement and it was verified by the parties. Reference in regarding the dismissal of service of the workman and as per terms of the Settlement the workman has received employment. The following are the terms of Settlement which are just and proper and they are accepted.

TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

- (1) That Shri Shivdayal shall submit a written assurance of good conduct for future.
- (2) That Shri Shivdayal would be offered fresh employment in Cat. I as Sweeper under NCWA-IV in Pench Area. He will be on probation for a period of six months whereafter, his case will be considered for regularisation on successful completion of probation period.
- (3) That, in case of any adverse report about his conduct or performance during the period of first six months, his services will be liable for termination without assigning any reasons therefor notwithstanding provisions of Standing Orders.
- (4) That for the period Shri Shivdayal was out of employment, he will not be entitled for any benefits, claims etc. whatsoever, including gratuity and employer's contribution, towards CMPF as this is a fresh appointment.
- (5) That this offer of employment is subject to his being found medically fit.
- (6) That this settlement resolves this issue fully and finally and neither the union nor the workman concerned will raise any dispute in this regard either before the Management, Conciliation Machinery or any Court of law including Tribunals.
- (7) That it is agreed that in case of any dispute in the matter of interpretation of this settlement, the matter shall be referred to GM(IR) WCL whose decision/interpretation shall be final and binding on the parties in all respects.
- (8) It is agreed by the workman and management that this settlement will be filed before the CGIT Jabalpur for giving a consent award in connection with the case pending before the CGIT, Jabalpur, vide Order No. L-22012/162/92-IR(C-II) dtd. 1-10-92 issued by the Desk Officer, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi.
- (9) That this settlement shall not be cited as a precedent/example for any matter whatsoever by any one.
- (10) That this settlement shall be implemented within 30 days.

3. Reference is answered in terms of Settlement and no dispute award is passed. Parties to bear their own costs.

ARVIND KUMAR AWASTHY, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 16 जनवरी, 1995

का.भा. 410.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सेन्ट्रल बैंक आफ इंडिया के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निविष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण-2 बम्बई, के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 16-1-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-12012/314/92-आई.आर. (बी.-II)]
बी.के. शर्मा, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 16th January, 1995

S.O. 410.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, 2 Bombay as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Central Bank of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 16-1-95.

[No. L-12012/314/92-IR(B-II)]
V. K. SHARMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT

INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2

BOMBAY

PRESENT

SHRI S. B. PANSE

PRESIDING OFFICER

REFERENCE NO. CGIT 2/4 OF 1993

EMPLOYERS IN RELATION TO THE

MANAGEMENT OF

CENTRAL BANK OF INDIA

AND

THEIR WORKMEN

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers : Shri U. S. S. Prakash
Sarma Representative

For the Workmen : No appearance

Bombay, dated 28th December, 1994

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour,
New Delhi by its letter No. L-12012/314/92-IRB-2

dated 19-1-93 has referred to the industrial dispute for adjudication in the following words :

"Whether the action of the management of Central Bank of India in relation to its Pune region in refusing to pay special allowance to the employees named in the table appended with effect from the date mentioned in column No. 4 of the said table is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled to?"

2. After receipt of the reference the parties were duly served. The General Secretary Central Bank of India, Employees Association filed the statement of claim, contending that the Bank failed to relieve the worker to their new assignment resulting into monetary loss to the Bank. The action of the management resulted into loss of Special Allowance to the workers for a pretty long time. They have raised another contention in the application. It is alleged that the management had not justifiable reasons for inordinate delay for relieving the worker and allowing them to draw the special allowance. The Association has given the names of the workers the date of order showing that the workers are given special allowance from the new assignment and further more the date of the relieving.

Sr. No.	Name	Date of order	Date of relieving
1.	Shri H. N. Nirgudkar	30-8-84	13-8-88
2.	Shri J. M. Gapchup	28-2-85	8-6-86
3.	Shri R. S. Mahendale	20-2-87	22-4-87
4.	Shri S. D. Karandikar	30-8-86	7-2-87

3. The Association prays that it may be held that the Bank is not justified in depriving, refusing to pay special allowance to the workman concerned and pray for directions to the management to pay the special allowance to those workers who were not duly paid with other reliefs.

4. The management by their written statement Exh.9 denied the claim of the union. It is asserted that the management has to pay special allowance to the workman when they are required to perform or discharge additional duties and functions requiring greater skill or responsibility. It is averred that as provided in bipartite settlement the special allowance is payable only when the workers are performing such a duty attracting special allowance. Under such circumstances it is averred that the claim of the workmen is not justified the delay in relieving the workman is usual delay which is bound to be there looking to the Banking business.

5. The union filed Rejoinder by Exh. 4. It is asserted that the contention taken in the written statement has no justification.

6. When the matter was for hearing the Union's representative was absent. The management representative remained present. This position continued on last four hearings. The union was again served with notice informing it the date of the hearing at Pune. This date was given with a view to accommodate the union, but even then nobody turned up on August 1, September 16, November 7 and again on November 20. It appears to me that the union is no more interested on proceeding with the reference. On the other hand the representative of the management today and on last two occasions requested that as the Union is not interested the matter may be disposed of as they are unnecessarily required to attend the Tribunal. I find justification in that submission. In the result I make the following order :

ORDER

1. The reference is disposed of for want of prosecution.
2. No order as to costs.

28-12-94

S. B. PANSE, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 17 जनवरी, 1995

का.आ. 411.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार बम्बई टेलीफोन कोप. कैंटीन सीसाईटी लिमि. के प्रबन्धन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नं. 2, बम्बई के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 17-1-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-40012/50/85-डी-II (बी)]

के.बी.बी. उन्नी, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, 17th January, 1995

S.O. 411.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 2, Bombay as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bombay Telephones Co-op. Canteen Society Ltd. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 17-1-95.

[No. L-40012/50/85-D.II(B)]
K. V. B. UNNY, Desk Officer.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2

BOMBAY

PRESENT

SHRI S. B. PANSE, PRESIDING OFFICER
REFERENCE NO. CGIT—2/51 OF 1986
EMPLOYER IN RELATION TO THE
MANAGEMENT OF BOMBAY TELEPHONE
CO-OP. CANTEEN SOCIETY LIMITED
AND
THEIR WORKMEN

APPEARANCES :

For the MTNL (Employer No. 1)	Ms. S. N. Mhatre' Advocate
For the Canteen Society (Employer No. 2)	No. Appearance
For the Workmen	Shri S. Poojari, Advocate

Bombay, dated 21st December, 1994

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, by its letter No. L-40012/50/85-D.II (B) dated 16-12-1986 referred to the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication. It is in the following terms :

"Whether the action of the Bombay Telephone Co-Operative Canteen Society, Limited, Parel Telephone Complex Parel, Bombay in terminating the service of Shri Shivanna Poojari, Cook w.e.f. 18-9-79 is justified ? If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

2. Shri Shivanna Poojari filed his statement of claim, contending that M/s. Bombay Telephones Co-operative Canteen Society Limited is the employer. It caters to the needs of the employees employed in the Bombay Telephones (now known as The Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited). The Employer has a number of canteens all over Bombay for the employees of the Bombay Telephones.

3. The workman worked with the employer for a period of 2 years as a Cook and was drawing a salary of Rs. 165 p.m. and in addition to, he was provided with free food, and residence. He along with the others enrolled as a member of the union known as the Hotel Mazdoor Sabha. In 1979 the workers of the Union started agitation for higher wages and other service conditions. The Employer in reference informed the workmen and others that they will be transferred to their branches at different places. In fact, when the employee was recruited, he was not given to understand the terms nor an appointment letter was given to him informing him

that he will get transferred from one place to another. Under this circumstance, the workman refused to accept the transfer and insisted the employer that he should not be transferred as the proposed transfer is with a malafide and with ulterior motive. The employer got annoyed and terminated the services of the workman vide letter dated 19-9-79. It did not comply with the various provisions of the Labour Laws. No departmental enquiry was held against the workman nor he was given any compensation.

4. The workman raised a demand for reinstatement under Section 2(K) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. That was referred to the 8th Labour Court, Bombay being reference No. 69 of 1980. Ultimately the Labour Court came to the conclusion that it has no jurisdiction to try it but the Central Government Industrial Tribunal has jurisdiction. Thereafter, again the workman sent a demand letter to the employer dated 4-1-85. But there was no response from the employer. Thereafter, he again sought the help of the Assistant Labour Commissioner and ultimately he gave a negative report to the Government of India, which in turn had sent the following industrial dispute for adjudication.

5. The workman contended that the Bombay Telephones now known as the Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited was and is the employer. The department provided the society a premises to run the Canteen and supplies free of charge furniture, electricity, water, utensils, uniforms, etc. to the employees and also 70% subsidy against the salary of the employees employed in the Canteen Society, etc. Now the canteen where the workman was working was taken over by the Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Ltd. and now he is the over all-in-charge of the canteen.

6. The workman prayed for reinstatement in services with full back wages and continuity in service. He also claimed all the other reliefs.

7. The Bombay Telephones Co-operative Society Canteen has filed its written statement exn.3. It has contended that the workman after his termination of services has not preferred appeal before the higher authorities for his alleged wrongful termination and had straightway approached to the Tribunal which is not permissible and as such the reference is to be rejected as non maintainable. It is pleaded that the order of reference is stale and belated. Here it should not be entertained or allowed.

8. It is averred that in the year 1978, it used to run and maintain 14 canteens. It had then in its employment about 80 employees. At present the establishment is running and maintaining only one Canteen at Chembur and has only six employees in its employment. The said contract is tenable up to 30-4-87 and on the said date it will have to surrender

the said premises to the department. It is therefore the society is not in a position to meet the demands raised by the workmen due to the aforesaid facts and circumstances.

9. The society admits that by the order dated 1-9-79 it transferred 15 Canteen employees to the various canteens of the society in Bombay as per the exigency of work. The workman concerned was also transferred. He along with four others refused to accept the order of transfer served upon them by the Honorary Secretary. The order of transfer was sent through registered A.D. as well as under Postal Certificate. The envelope came back with the enclosure refused. The workman thereafter did not come to attend the duty neither at the original place nor at the place of transfer. The then joined Honorary Secretary by his order dated 18-9-79 terminated the services of the workman and other four employees as per the administration instruction manual of the department/Co-operative Society Canteen under the Central Government Establishment. The workman was directed to collect his legal dues upon termination as per the manual. But he did not turn up to collect the same. As the workman did not prefer the appeal the order becomes final. It is legal.

10. The Society contended that the workman is not entitled to reinstatement because he refused to accept the transfer order and failed to report to his duty. The Canteen facility of the society is an essential service and the post could not be kept vacant indefinitely for not joining of the workman. Furthermore, the workman cannot be reinstated as there is no post where he can be accommodated in view of the fact that the management has now got only one canteen in its hand. It is averred that the workman is also not entitled for back wages because the financial position of the society is such that it will not be able to bear the burden of back wages for the period the said relief is claimed. Furthermore, the workman is solely responsible for the delay in raising the dispute and also for approaching the wrong forum. It is therefore submitted that no back wages can be granted to the workman in the present dispute. It is further pleaded that the society is run on no profit and no loss basis and if any such direction is given then the society will be out of business.

11. The Society contended that it may be permitted to adduce oral or documentary evidence in support of order dated 18-9-91 before the Honourable Tribunal.

12. In view of the orders passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, the Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited filed its written statement at Exh.11 after joining as a party. It is contended

that there is no master and servant relationship between the workmen and it. It is asserted that this is not the authority appointed by the disciplinary authority of the canteen workers. It has no control over them. It adopted the written statement which was filed by the canteen society. It is submitted that Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited is not a party to this reference and therefore no order can be passed against it.

13. My Learned Predecessor framed issues for determination at Exh. 9. The issues and my findings thereon are as follows :—

<i>Issues</i>	<i>Findings</i>
1. Whether the present reference is not tenable and is bad in law ?	It is tenable.
2. Whether the claim of the workman is stale ?	No.
2A. Whether no relationship of servant and master exists between the Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited and the workman in question ?	It exists.
3. Whether the action of the Bombay Telephones Co-operative Canteen Society Limited, Parel Telephone Complex, Parel, Bombay in terminating the service of Shri Shivanna Poojari, Cook w.e.f. 18-9-79 is justified ?	Not justified.
4. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?	He is entitled to reinstatement with full back wages and continuity in service.
5. What Award ?	As per order below.

REASONS

14. It is not in dispute that the workman was in the service with the Canteen Society for a period of 2 years as a Cook. It is also not in dispute that he was transferred to another branch at Bombay along with the other Canteen workmen. He therefore did not join the duties there. It is also not in dispute that the management thereafter terminated the services of the workman on 18-9-79. (order annexure 3) It is not the case of the management that while terminating the services of the workman, a departmental enquiry was held against him and he was found guilty. As his services were terminated without any notice he approached the Assistant Labour Commissioner for

settlement, who in turn gave a negative report to the Government of India and this reference was made, to this Tribunal for adjudication. As the workman was terminated without following the principles of law, the reference is made which is just and proper. Nothing is brought to my notice how the reference can be said to be not renewable bad in law.

15. Admittedly, the workman Poojari was directed to approach the said Labour Court and there a contention was taken by the management that the state is not the Competent Authority. The matter was then moved up to the High Court and there it was found that the Central Government is the proper forum. Then the workman approached the Assistant Labour Commissioner for his conclusion and on his negative report the reference is made. It can be seen that the demand of the workman was rejected. It is not that he was sitting idle and then after a lapse of some years had moved the Labour Commissioner and then to the Tribunal. I do not find the claim to be a stale one.

16. The workmen and his witnesses affirmed that they work in the Canteen for about two years. They became the member of the Hotel Mazdoor Sangh. They started an agitation for getting higher salaries and other benefits. They were told by the management that because of that they will be transferred to some other places and accordingly they were transferred. They declined to join the places of duty and were terminated from the services. They have affirmed that no departmental enquiry was held against them at the time of termination. It is tried to suggest that they did not accept the transfer orders nor did they join the original place of duty or the place where they were transferred. They does not mean that the departmental enquiry was not to be held against them. Not holding the departmental enquiry and terminating the services of the workman is not known to law. The action of the management is definitely illegal, improper and uncalled for.

17. It is not in dispute that now the Bombay telephones has been taken over by Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited. Now all the canteens are taken over by the Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited and running them departmentally. It grants 70% of the wage bills etc. to subsidise the departmental canteen and provide them with the cost of premises, fuel, water, utensils, furnitures, fixtures and other things for the canteen. Section 18(3)(C) of the Industrial Disputes Act refers to :

"Where the party referred in clause (a) or clause (b) is the employer, his heirs, successors are in respect of the establishment to which the dispute relates."

Here, in this case the Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited is the successor in respect of the establishment

relating to which the dispute arose. It can be seen that in another reference, Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited had taken the stand that it should not be made party to the reference which was rejected by the Tribunal. The matter was taken up to the High Court wherein the order of the Tribunal was confirmed. The Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited had taken over the Canteens from the Society and started running them departmentally. The result is that all the assets and liabilities are taken over by the Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited as the particular employer and successor incharge under section 18(3) of the Industrial Dispute Act. Naturally they are the party to implement the award.

18. It is tried to argue that the workman without preferring an appeal and filing a writ petition had directly raised the reference which is bad in law. In Markandey Singh I.P.S. and Other V/s. M.L. Bhanot I.P.S. And other, 1988 Supreme Court case page 21 it is observed by THEIR LORDSHIPS that Failure to prefer an appeal under Rule 16 or apply for review under Rule 24 is no bar to the submissions of the memorials to the President. It can be further seen that nothing is brought before me to show how the reference is bad in law as the workman had not filed an appeal or refused the same after his termination.

19. The workman had deposed that he is not employed anywhere at present. There is no evidence on behalf of the management to show that after his termination he is employed or earning something. Therefore it has to be held that he is not earning anything.

20. Admittedly, the management of the Canteen at the Cooperage Telephone Exchange is taken over by the Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited. The Canteen is presently managed by the departmental canteen committee consisting of a Chairman and a Secretary. Both these positions are held by Officers of the Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited and as is clear from the name of the Committee itself that the Canteen is managed departmentally by a committee constituted by the Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited. There is nothing on the record to show that the Cooperage Telephone Exchange Departmental Canteen Committee is a separate legal entity. As rightly contended on behalf of the workmen the committee is constituted by the Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited to manage the Canteen and the committee is managing the Canteen as an agent of the Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited which is now the employer of the workmen employed in the canteen in question. The Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited therefore is a necessary party to the reference and would be bound by this award.

21. For all these reasons I record my findings on the issues accordingly and pass the following order :

ORDER

1. The action of Bombay Telephones Co-operative Canteen Society, Limited, Parel Telephone Complex Parel, Bombay in terminating the services of Shri Shivanna Poojari cook w.e.f. 18-9-79 is not justified.
2. The Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited is directed to re-instate the workman with continuity in service with full back wages for the entire period of his involuntary employment i.e. 18-9-79 till his reinstatement in service.
3. The Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited is directed to pay Rs. 300/- as the cost of this reference and bear its own.

21-12-94

S.B. PANSE, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 18 जनवरी, 1995

का. आ. 412.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, मै. ईस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लि. के प्रबन्धन के सम्बन्ध में निम्नलिखित निर्णयों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निम्नलिखित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण (सं. 1) धनबाद के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 17-1-85 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-20012(91)/88 डी. 3(ए)आईआर
(कोल-I)]

ब्रज मोहन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 18th January, 1995

S.O. 412.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 1) Dhanbad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Eastern Coalfields Ltd., and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 17-1-1995.

[No. L-20012(91)/88.D-III(A)|IR(Coal-I)
BRAJ MOHAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. I,
DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)
(d)(2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947

Reference No. 59 of 1989

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of
M/s. Eastern Coalfields Ltd.

And

Their Workmen.

PRESENT :

Shri P.K. Sinha, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers : Shri B. M. Prasad,
Advocate.

For the Workmen : Shri R.R. Bhattacharjee,
Advocate and Shri S.S. Bhattacharjee.

STATE : Bihar.

INDUSTRY : Coal.

Dated the 30th December, 1994

AWARD

By Order No. L-20012(91)/88-D.III(A)|IR (Coal-I) dated 5th May, 1989, the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal :

"Whether the demand of the Rashtriya Colliery Mazdoor Sangh (INTUC) that S/Shri Asim Kumar Chatterjee and Nani Gopal Sen Gupta, Clerks (Internal Audit) who were stopped from employment in 1976, be reinstated in Mugma and Badjna Sub-Area of M/s. Eastern Coalfields is justified. If so, to what relief are the workmen entitled to ?"

2. The two concerned workmen, S/Shri Asim Kumar Chatterjee and Nani Gopal Sen Gupta claimed reinstatement to the post of Clerks on the main ground that they were retrenched from service by the management illegally and without conforming to the provisions of Sec. 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as the Act). Their case, as made out in their written statement, briefly stated, is that the workmen were appointed in the year 1974 in the Accounts and Audit Department and were being paid their salary and allowances like other employees of the Company. They were doing the work of verifying the stores and collecting store inventories, working continuously from 1974 to January, 1976. Thereafter the management stopped them from work in February, 1976 illegally, without complying the formalities as provided under Sec. 25-F of the Act. The workmen have prayed for reinstatement and full back wages.

3. The management also appeared and filed its written statement, firstly objecting on the ground that the raising of the dispute was over-stale, hence the workmen were entitled to no relief.

4. It is admitted here that the two workmen were engaged for doing the work relating to store inventory, ascertaining that the appointment was temporary for a few months and on consolidated remuneration. It has been denied that within any 12 calendar months either of the two workmen had done duty for even 240 days, hence are not entitled to any relief. It has also been submitted that there was no requirement of additional clerks and the vacancies in the meantime have been filled up by promotion of the staff in lower rank. It has been prayed that the sponsoring Union has no legal case hence the relief demanded should be denied.

5. On the basis of the pleadings of the parties as well points raised during the final arguments the following points emerge for decision :—

(i) Whether or not stoppage of work of the concerned workmen amounted to retrenchment;

(ii) If so, whether they were retrenched from service without complying with the requirements of Sec. 25-F of the Act, thereby making the retrenchment illegal;

(iii) Whether the claim of the sponsoring Union as well of the concerned workmen is too stale, without satisfactory explanation of the delay, to entitle them to any relief.

6. I will take up the Issue Nos. (i) and (ii) together for sake of convenience. The learned Counsel appearing for the sponsoring Union, Shri R.R. Bhattacharjee has argued that from the materials on record including the admission in the evidence of the management's witnesses it would be amply clear that both the workmen had worked for more than 240 days within 12 calendar months, thereby establishing that they had been in continuous service for one year under the management within the meaning of Sec. 25-B of the Act, thereby inviting compliance of provision of Sec. 25-F of the Act and could not be retrenched without one month's notice in writing and before expiry of the period of notice, or wages for the period of notice in lieu of that. It has also been argued that before retrenching such workmen as these, the management was required to pay them compensation amount as provided under Sec. 25-F(b) and to serve of notice on the appropriate authority as provided under Sec. 25-F(c) of the Act. It has been argued that since none of these had been done by the management the retrenchment must be held to be illegal and the workmen to be entitled to reinstatement and for the payment of all the back wages.

7. I will first examine the period which the concerned workmen have proved by evidence on record to have worked for, under the management. As seen, the sponsoring Union has claimed in the written statement that the workmen had worked from 1974 to January, 1976, which assertion has been denied by the management in para 20 of its written statement-cum-rejoinder. The management has claimed in Para 4 of the written statement that both the workmen were engaged in a purely temporary work for a few months only.

8. Before proceeding to sift the evidence, it may be stated that even according to the claim of the sponsoring Union, the workmen were stopped from working sometime in February 1976. From the order of reference of the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour dated 5-5-1989, wherein number of the file of conciliation proceeding before the Asstt. Labour Commissioner (Central), Dhanbad has been given, it will appear that the dispute was raised in the year 1987 and the failure report was submitted to the Central Government on 24-2-1988. From the order of reference it will appear that earlier the Central Government vide its letter dated 13-2-1988 had declined adjudication of the dispute whereafter on representation of the concerned workmen, the Central Govt. re-considered its earlier decision and made the reference by its letter dated 5-5-89. However, it is clear that this dispute was not raised for conciliation before the year 1987. When the dispute was raised almost eleven years had elapsed. Since it cannot be said and the management was although in the knowledge that this dispute was going to be raised and referred, it is hard to expect the management to preserve all the relevant documents and papers for more than a decade in anticipation of raising of dispute after eleven years. But if the concerned workmen or the sponsoring Union, while pursuing the matter in other ways were supposed to know that if their efforts failed, they would raise industrial dispute on this point, the onus to prove their contentions lay heavily on them.

9. Therefore, with the help of evidence on record I will consider as to for how many days in any 12 calendar months preceding the date with reference to which the calculation is to be made the two workmen had actually worked under the management. I will first refer to the evidence for the two workmen separately on this score, and then the evidence that is common for the two workmen on the record.

10. Coming to the case of Asim Kumar Chatterjee. Ext. M-1 is a letter issued by the Sub-Area Manager, Badjna Sub-Area dated 11-8-75 informing him of his engagement to

complete inventory work on consolidated pay of Rs. 375 per month on the conditions that the appointment was temporary and would be deemed to have come to an end on completion of three months and that besides the consolidated pay, the workman would be entitled to no other claim. The letter in Ext. M-4/2 is another copy of Ext. M-1.

11. So far the earlier spell of engagement of the concerned workman is concerned, Ext. M-5 series relate to different notes made in the office of the management relating to three workmen in all, but including the two concerned workmen. From Ext. 5/6 it will appear that the office had given out the names of the three workmen including the present two, also stating their address and the area in which they were working. This also gives out a date in the margin which is in all probabilities the date showing as to from when the workmen started working. 9-8-74 has been mentioned before the name of A.K. Chatterjee, the concerned workman. The office note shows that payments were stopped from 1-3-75. From this exhibit it will appear that in the first round the concerned workman had worked upto 28-2-75.

12. It may be mentioned that exhibits in Ext. M-5, series have been marked on the photo copies but the original of these photo copies has also been made available which also is on the record. Therefore, I am reading the photo copies alongwith the original.

13. A note on page 5 of the note-sheet would show that sanction was given to engage three clerks on casual basis to complete inventory work in the stores of different collieries. This note recommends the name of one Paras Nath Singh to be considered alongwith the case of other three persons, perhaps meaning the three names mentioned in Ext. M-5/6. From further noting on this page it will appear that the superior officer had called for the bio-data of the persons who had worked previously on casual basis. Ext. M-5/7 gives the aforesaid bio-data for three workmen including Sri Chatterjee about whom it is stated that he had joined on 19-8-74 and had stopped work since 1-3-75. The original of Ext. 5/6 also shows the date of starting work to be 19-8-74.

14. The note in Ext. M-5/8 relates to payment of dues for six months. This states, in a note, that the workman N. G. Sengupta and two others (presumably including A. K. Chatterjee) had worked "from 1/1 to 31st March and subsequently 1/8 to 31st October, 1975."

15. This particular note makes an improvement. It is admitted position, on behalf of the management, as also admitted by the learned Counsel of the sponsoring Union in course of arguments, that during the years 1974-1975 the concerned workman had worked only in two spells. No third spell

of work during these two years has been claimed. Therefore the period of 1st January to 31st March though does not mention the year, but obviously this refers to the year 1975. The period of 1-1-75 has been given because this relates to the arrears as per N.C.W.A. which came into effect on 1-1-75. Therefore, the improvement is that whereas Ext. M-5/6 and Ext. M-5/7 suggest that the workman had ceased working since 1-3-75, the note in Ext. M-5/8 shows that Sri Chatterjee had worked upto 31-3-75. But Ext. M-5/6 and Ext. M-5/7 are emphatic that he had joined the work on 19-8-74. Therefore, taking everything in account that is on the record in favour of the workman, it would appear that in the first spell he had worked from 19-8-74 to 31-3-75.

16. Coming to the second spell of work, it has already been seen that through Ext. M-1 = Ext. M-4/2, which is the letter dated 11-8-75, the workman was engaged for another period of three months, on temporary basis and on consolidated pay.

17. Though it is clear that in the second spell workman was engaged for a period of three months, but it is not clear from the evidence as to when actually this workman started working in the second spell on the basis of the letter of temporary appointment, dated 11-8-75. Ext. W-1 is a letter from the Sub-Area Manager of Badjna Sub-Area, addressed to the Manager of Lower Badjna Colliery, dated 30-10-75 intimating him that A. K. Chatterjee was authorised to verify as well to collect store inventory of that colliery. This shows that on 3-10-75 Sri Chatterjee was already on the second spell of work. But Ext. M-4, which is the letter dated 10-11-75 of the General Manager (Coordination) to the Area General Manager, Area No. VIII, Mugma relating to the extension of employment of three casual workmen, it has been stated that earlier extension for three months on casual basis was given as special case to complete inventory work after which any extension should not have been asked. The Area General Manager was advised to get the remaining work completed by releasing some clerks from his existing strength. Ext. M-4/3 is a letter dated 6-12-75 by Sub-Area Manager of Nirsa Sub-Area addressed to Asstt. Chief Personnel Officer of Area No. VIII, intimating that they did not require the services of the three casual clerks for further period as asked by them earlier. Ext. M-4/4 and Ext. M-5/3 are the photo copies of Ext. M-4/3, including endorsement on it.

18. In any case there is nothing to show that in the second spell their engagement was extended beyond the period of three months. As already seen, through Ext. W-1, that atleast on 3-10-75 Sri Chatterjee was working in the second spell.

19. As already stated, there is no documentary evidence on the record to show as to from which

date these workmen started working in the second spell. Therefore, this question I will decide later after discussing oral evidence on this point.

20. Now coming to the case of N. G. Sengupta, it will appear from the same exhibit in Ext. M-5 series, reading with its original on the record that he also had started working in August, 1974 in the first spell. The note in Ext. M-5/7 would show that he had joined on 13-8-74 and stopped working since 1-3-75. As already stated, it appears from Ext. M-5/8 that this workman also had worked till 31-3-75. Reasons for so considering I have already discussed in the case of A. K. Chatterjee. Therefore, from the evidence on the record it can be taken that in the first spell Sri Sengupta had worked from 13-8-74 upto 31-3-75.

21. In so far as the starting of working in second spell is concerned Ext. M-4/1 which is similar to Ext. M-1 (Ext. M-4/2) show that the management by its letter dated 11-8-75 had informed Sri Sengupta of his engagement on consolidated pay of Rs. 375 per month on purely temporary basis for a period of three months. Both on Ext. M-4/2 and Ext. M-4/1 relating to both the workmen, there is initial of some official dated 13-8-75 which may denote the date on which these two workmen had presented themselves in pursuant to this letter of temporary appointment.

22. But, as already seen, the office note in Ext. M-5/8 shows that in second spell they had worked from 1-8-75 to 31-10-75, which constituted a period of three months. But obviously there is some mistake somewhere because the letter of this temporary appointment is dated 11-8-75, and the initial of some official on it is dated 13-8-75. But in the case of Sri Sengupta also there is no document on the record to show that he was given appointment, temporary or otherwise exceeding the period of three months. The initial of the official on Ext. M-4/1 and Ext. M-4/2 are marked Exts. M-6 and M-6/1 proved to be that of one Sri Md. Jamaluddin who later retired as Chief General Manager of Coal India Ltd., as per evidence of MW-1 P. K. Mahapatra, Senior Personnel Officer.

23. Ext. M-3 is another letter of Sub-Area Manager of Badjna Sub-Area addressed to all Manager under Badjna Sub-Area, intimating that Sri Sengupta was authorised to verify store and to collect store inventory. This letter is dated 23-9-75 showing that on that day Sri Sengupta was working in his second spell.

24. I have already discussed Ext. M-4 and Ext. M-4/3 through which superior officer had advised to get the remaining work of inventory making done by the existing strength and the other letter intimating that they did not any more require the services of the three casual clerks.

25. Now, I would advert to the oral evidence about the period of their working in the second spell. W.W.1 is the workman A. K. Chatterjee who said that he was appointed in April, 1974 and had worked under the management upto February, 1976. He has given details as to how he had worked during this period and how the officials of the management, after he was stopped from work, had given him assurance. He also has proved certificates of posting, presumably containing his representations which was marked Ext. W-2 series as well two postal receipts showing sending of registered letters. Ext. W-4 is another receipt for handing over a letter to the management personally. In course of cross-examination he has denied that he was appointed for a specific period. But the management gave him clear suggestion that in the first spell he was appointed for a period from 13-8-74 to 1-3-75 and in the second spell he was appointed for three months from 1-8-75 to 31-10-75. This witness was suggested in cross-examination that Ext. W-2 series, the certificates of posting were manufactured. The workman admitted that he had not filed the letters related to these certificates of posting or related to Ext. W-3 series or Ext. W-4.

26. Similarly WW-2 N. G. Sengupta has claimed that he had worked from 10-3-74 to February, 1976. He also has described as to how he worked and how he was stopped from duty. He claimed that he had worked continuously. He also has claimed that he had moved authorities for his service thereafter. He has proved Ext. W-8 copy of a letter written by him to the CMD. He has proved Ext. W-7 which was a reply sent by the office of the Prime Minister to the Vice President of his Union. Ext. W-5 is another letter written by a Member of Legislative Assembly to the management in the connection. He submitted that his Union, R.C.M. Union had taken up the cause with the management but nothing came out after which the Union raised the present industrial dispute. He also denied the suggestion that he had worked in the first spell from 13-8-74 to 1-3-75 and from 1-8-75 to 31-10-75 in the second spell.

27. MW-1 is Sri P. K. Mahapatra who has proved certain documents. MW-2 is Hariballabh Prasad who was Welfare Officer in Mugma Area. It is in connection of the evidence of this witness that the learned Counsel for the workman has argued that the workman had worked for more than 240 days. In examination-in-chief this witness has said that for the first time the two workmen had worked from August, 1974 to February, 1975 and in the second spell they had worked from October, 1975 to February, 1976. The learned lawyer argued that when it is taken that in the first spell the workmen had worked till March, 1975 then the second spell of work as admitted by this witness would show that the workmen had worked for more than 240 days in

12 calendar months. But the evidence in chief of this witness about the period of second spell will not help because in course of cross-examination, this witness had volunteered, correcting himself, that in the second spell the workmen had worked from August, 1975 to October, 1975.

28. This is the oral evidence on the record.

29. In so far as the first spell is concerned, on the basis of the evidence on record I have already come to the conclusion that N.G. Sengupta had worked from 13-8-1974 upto 31-3-1975 and A.K. Chatterjee from 19-8-74 to 31-3-75. In the evidence, the suggestion given by the management to the concerned workmen when they were on the witness stand it will appear that the management's case is that in the second spell they worked from 1-8-75 to 31-10-75. It will also appear from Ext. M-58 which is the noting of the management's official about the service of these workmen that in the second spell they had worked from 1-8-75 to 31-10-75. There being no other documentary evidence to controvert this position, I will take it that in the second spell these workmen had worked from 1-8-75 to 31-10-75.

30. To dispute these two periods of working, the workmen were supposed to adduce cogent evidence on the record which they have not done. All that is there on the record in support of their claim that they had continuously worked upto February, 1976 is their oral evidence. As already seen this dispute was raised after lapse of years hence the management could not be supposed to keep track of all relevant papers in this regard since they could not have known that after passing of years an industrial dispute would be raised. But since the workmen had been pursuing this matter, they must be supposed to be knowing that they or their Union would be raising industrial dispute if their efforts failed. With this knowledge they were definitely supposed to keep track of all the documents to prove their contention. A feeble attempt has been made in Ext. W-9 series which are bills for drawal of travelling allowance for doing official work from 1-9-75 to 27-10-75. This only confirms that the workmen had worked, in the second spell, between the period 1-8-75 to 31-10-75.

31. Under the provision of Sec. 25-B of the Act the period of actual working of workman has to be counted preceding the date with reference to which calculation is to be made. The last proved date of working being 31-10-75, the period of 12 months shall commence from 1-9-74 and end on 31-10-75. Therefore what has been proved from the materials on record is that the workmen had worked for the periods from 1-11-74 to 31-3-1975 and from 1-8-75 to 31-10-75. Calculated this way the period of their total working within the aforesaid 12 calendar months exceeds 240 days.

32. Therefore, while retrenching the concerned workmen the management was legally obliged to act in accordance with the provision of Section 25-F of the Act. There is nothing on the record to show that the management had fulfilled the conditions laid down under Sec. 25-F of the Act before retrenching these two workmen with effect from 31-10-75. Therefore, it must be held that the retrenchment of the workmen was illegal.

33. Now coming to issue No. (iii) I have no doubt that by not raising the industrial dispute within a reasonable period the claim of the workman has become over-stale. As discussed in para 8 of this order, the dispute was raised by the sponsoring Union in the year 1987, the failure report was made by the letter of the Asstt. Labour Commissioner (Central), Dhanbad, dated 24-2-1988 and the reference was made by the Government on 5-5-89. When the cause of action arose to the workmen from November, 1975, the workmen or the sponsoring Union if thought it fit not to raise the industrial dispute till the year 1987 they did so at the peril of the concerned workmen. It is no ground to say that in the meantime the workmen had been rushing their various representations to the management and the sponsoring Union also took up the matter sometime on behalf of the concerned workmen, because the very fact that they waited for almost about twelve years to raise the industrial dispute can show their naivety, but can not provide satisfactory explanation for this unusual delay in raising the dispute. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the decision reported in AIR 1980 SC 1894 (Gian Singh Mann vs. High Court of Punjab and Haryana and another), held that when the writ Petition was filed eleven years after the dates from which the promotions were claimed without valid explanation for the delay, the relief must be refused on that ground. Their Lordships held that the ground that the petitioners were making successive representations during this period can hardly justify over-looking the inordinate delay.

34. It was in this connection that the learned Counsel for the workmen pointed to me Ext. W-5, which is letter dated 7-5-92 issued by the Chief General Manager (Personnel), addressed to the working President of the sponsoring Union, referring to correspondences with regard to the workmen and advising the addressee to ask the concerned workmen to come and see the writer of the letter. But this only shows that in the meantime that some correspondences were continuing between the two sides. As already seen, the continuance of correspondence for a long period of about twelve years cannot explain the inordinate delay in raising the dispute, rather it only shows laxity on the part of the concerned workmen.

35. The learned Counsel for the workmen has referred to a decision of Hon'ble Patna High

Court reported in 1991 Lab. I.C. 633 (Padam Chandra Jain and another Vs. Chairman, Industrial Tribunal No. 2 (Central), Dhanbad and others) in which it was held that there was no absolute proposition of law that in no case relief could be granted merely because there was delay in raising the industrial dispute, though it would have a strong bearing on the back wages payable by the employer in the event an order of reinstatement was passed.

36. On the part of the management my attention has been drawn to another decision by the same Hon'ble High Court reported in 1994 B.B.C.J. 498 (Secretary, Barauni Telshodhak Mazdoor Union, Begusarai Vs. Presiding Officer, Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Dhanbad and Others in one application and M/s. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. Vs. Union of India and others, in another application). In this decision a number of decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court on this point have been discussed including the decision in the case of Padam Chandra Jain (supra). Discussing all these decisions, his Lordship observed that from the conspectus of the decisions the law appeared to be well settled that whereas no period of limitation was prescribed in respect of an industrial claim, the Industrial Tribunal or the Labour Court should discourage over stale claim unless satisfactory explanation therefor is furnished.

37. The workmen cannot escape the position that their claim is over stale and they have not furnished any satisfactory explanation for the unusual delay. Therefore, I am of the opinion that when the cause of action had arisen to the workmen in the year 1975, and when they raised the dispute in the year 1987, then in the year 1995 any order of reinstatement on the ground that their retrenchment were illegal is not justified.

38. In this regard the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in 1994--II--LLJ--552 (Between Gujarat State Road Transport Corporation Ltd. & another Vs. Mulu Amra) may be referred. In this case the Hon'ble Supreme Court had granted Special Leave to Appeal against the decision of the Hon'ble High Court wherein reinstatement of the workman in service with full back wages was granted. Keeping in view the long lapse of time their Lordship held it to be proper if the respondent was paid a lump sum compensation in lieu of reinstatement. Their Lordships had been pleased to direct the management to pay compensation of Rs. 75,000 to the workman keeping in view the salary in the year 1967 when the workman was dismissed and the revision that had taken place thereafter. The amount of compensation was arrived at by the same amount of guess work as their Lordship put it, because parties were not in possession of relevant materials concerning the salary of the respondent.

39. In my opinion, in this case also, since the workmen were illegally retrenched from service, the proper order should be payment of compensation to them instead of ordering their reinstatement.

40. It is on the record that the workmen were temporarily appointed on a consolidated monthly salary of Rs. 375. There is nothing before me to show as to if they had been regularised in their service, what salary they would have got, with what subsequent variations. No date can be fixed with effect from which they would have been regularised. But keeping in view the consolidated amount they were getting and also considering the diminishing effect of not raising the dispute for 11 to 12 years, I think it would be reasonable to direct the management to pay compensation of Rs. 25,000 (Rupees Twenty five thousand) to each of the workman instead of ordering their reinstatement, for their wrongful retrenchment.

41. In view of this, following is the award :—

The demand of the sponsoring Union for reinstatement of workmen S/Shri A. K. Chatterjee and N. G. Sengupta is not justified in view of staleness of claim, though their retrenchment was not legal. For the reason that their retrenchment was illegal, in lieu of reinstatement, the management is directed to pay compensation of Rs. 25,000 (Rupees Twenty five thousand) to each of the concerned workman within a month of this award becoming enforceable. Should the management fail to pay this amount within this period, then the amount of compensation shall be payable with interest at the rate of 12% per annum from the date of this award.

Under the circumstances of the case, there will be no order as to cost.

P. K. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 18 जनवरी, 1995

का.आ. 413 — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, मै. ईस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लि. की राजपुरा कोलियरी के प्रबन्धन के संवद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, (सं. 1) धनबाद के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 17-1-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-20012(304)/90-आई.आर. (कोल-I)]

ब्रज मोहन, डस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 18th January, 1995

S.O. 413.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 1), Dhanbad as shown in the Annexure in industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Rajpura Colliery of M/s. E.C. Ltd. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 17-1-95.

[No. L-20012 (304)|90-I-R-Col-1]

BRAJ MOHAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. I, DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)(d) (2-A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Reference No. 18 of 1991

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Rajpura Colliery of M/s. E.C. Ltd.

AND

Their Workmen

PRESENT :

Shri P. K. Sinha, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers.—Shri B. Joshi, Advocate.

For the Workmen.—Shri M. K. Sengupta, Advocate.

STATE : Bihar.

INDUSTRY : Coal.

Dated, the 4th January, 1995

AWARD

By Order No. L-20012|304|90-I.R. (Coal-I) dated 19-3-91 the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-sec. (1) and sub-section (2-A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal :

“Whether the demand of Colliery Mazdoor Sabha of India for employment of Shri Mahadeo Mondal, Husband of Smt. Chhepi Mondal, in terms of female voluntary retirement scheme is justified ? If so to what relief the workman is entitled to?”

2. The dispute has been settled out of the Tribunal. A memorandum of settlement has been filed in this Tribunal. I have gone through the terms of settlement and I find those to be fair and

reasonable. I allow the prayer, and pass an award accordingly. The memorandum of settlement shall form part of this award.

3. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Ministry as required under Sec. 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P. K. SINHA, Presiding Officer

MEMORANDUM OF SETTLEMENT IN THE MATTER OF THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE REF. NO. 18 OF 1991 PENDING FOR ADJUDICATION BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1 DHANBAD

Name of Parties :

Representing Employers :

Representing Workmen :

General Manager,
Eastern Coalfields Ltd.,
Mugma Area, P.O. Mugma,
Dist. Dhanbad Bihar).

1. Smt. Chhepi Mondal,

2. Sri Mahadeb Mondal.

Short recital of the case :

1. An Industrial Dispute arose between the employers and the workmen as above named, over the demand of the workman for employment of Sri Mahadev Mondal, husband of Smt. Chhepi Mondal in terms of her voluntary Retirement Scheme.

2. The above dispute following the failure of the conciliation proceedings before the Asstt. Labour Commissioner (C), Dhanbad was eventually referred to the Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal No. I, Dhanbad for adjudication, vide the Order No. L-20012 (304)|90-IR|Coal-I dated 19-3-91 of the Ministry of Labour, Govt. of India New Delhi under the following terms of reference.

“Whether the demand of the Colliery Mazdoor Sabha of India for employment of Sri Mahadev Mondal, Husband of Smt. Chhepi Mondal, in terms of female voluntary retirement scheme is justified ? If so to what relief the workman is entitled to ?”

3. Both the employers and the workmen as above named, in the said industrial dispute have, however, decided to resolve the above dispute mutually under the following terms of settlement.

(i) that the employers as above-named hereby do agree to provide suitable employment to Shri Mahadev Mondal the concerned workmen in the dispute under Sub-Clause (4) of Clause 9.4.3 of NCWA-IV within three months of the date of this settlement.

- (ii) that there shall be no further dispute in respect of any further claim of the workmen concerned in the above dispute.
- (iii) that a copy of this memorandum of settlement shall be filed before the Hon'ble Presiding Officer, Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal No. 1 Dhanbad on the next date fixed for the above dispute with a request to pass an award accordingly in terms of this settlement as reached at between the employers and the workmen as above named.

For the Employer

1. Smt. Chhapi Mondel.
2. Shri Mahadeb Mondal.

Witnesses :

1. _____
2. _____

General Manager,
Eastern Coalfields Ltd.,
Mugma Area P.O. Mugma,
Distt. Dhanbad.

नई दिल्ली, 18 जनवरी, 1995

का.आ. 414 -- औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अन्तर्गत में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, म. भारत कोकिंग कोल लि. की नयादीह कोलियरी के प्रबन्धन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध से निरूपित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, (स. 1) धनबाद के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 17-1-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-20012(111)/88-डी. 4(ए)/आई.आर.
(कोल-I)]

ब्राज मोहन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 18th January, 1995

S.O. 414.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, (No. 1) Dhanbad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Nayadih Colliery of M/s. B.C.C. Ltd., and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 17-1-95.

[No. L-20012(111)/88-D-4(A)/IR(Coal-I)]

BRAJ MOHAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, DHANBAD.

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)-

(d)(2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Reference No. 63 of 1989

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of
Nayadih Colliery of M/s. B.C.C. Ltd.

AND

Their workmen

PRESENT :

Shri P. K. Sinha,
Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers.—Shri B. Joshi, Advocate.

For the Workmen.—Shri D. Mukherjee, Secretary, Bihar Colliery Kamgar Union.

State : Bihar.

Industry : Coal.

Dated the 6th January, 1995

AWARD

By Order No. L-20012(111)/88-D.4(A)/I.R. (Coal-I) dated 30-5-1989, the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2-A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:—

“Whether the action of the management of Nayadih Kusunda of M/s. Bharat Coking Coal Ltd., in not protecting the wages of S/Shri Md. Shagir, Hafiz Mia and Fuleshwar Roy as miner/loader (Group B'A') at the time of re-fixing their wages as Night Guards in wage category (Group 'G') is justified? If not, to what relief the workmen concerned are entitled?”.

2. The case was fixed for adducing evidence by the management on 3-1-1995. But Shri D. Mukherjee, Secretary, appearing on behalf of the sponsoring Union submitted that he did not want to pursue this reference and prayed to pass a 'no dispute' award.

3. Therefore, I render a 'no dispute' award in the present reference case.

P. K. SINHA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 13 जनवरी, 95

का. मा. 415.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसूची में, केन्द्रीय सरकार महाकांशल क्षेत्रीय ग्रामीण बैंक के प्रबंधकों के संयुक्त नियंत्रकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुसूची में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचपद को प्रस्तावित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 12-1-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-12011/77/89-आर्दआर (बी-III)/बी-1]

पी.जे. माईकल, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 13th January, 1995

S.O. 415.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Mahakaushal Kshetriya Gramin Bank and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12-1-95.

[No. L-12011/77/89 IR (B.III) B.I.]
P. J. MICHAEL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT,
JABALPUR (MP)

Case Ref. No. CGIT/LC(R)(18)/1990

BETWEEN

Shri Dharendra Kumar Bhatt, Clerk/Cashier C/o
Shri U. S. Malviya, Punjab National Bank, Nagpur
Road, Madan Mahal, Jabalpur-482 001 (M.P.).

AND

The Chairman, Mahakaushal Kshetriya Gramin
Bank, Head Office, 164, Shivaji Ward (Civil Lines),
Narsinghpur-487 001 (MP).

PRESIDED IN : By Shri Arvind Kumar
Awasthy.

APPEARANCES :

For Workman : Shri U. S. Malviya.

For Management : Shri O. S. Rajoria.

INDUSTRY : Banking

DISTRICT : Narsinghpur (MP).

AWARD

Dated : 19 December, 1994

This is a reference made by the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide its Notifi-

cation No. L-12011/77/89-IR(B) dated 18-1-1990 for adjudication of the following industrial dispute:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Mahakaushal Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Narsinghpur in terminating the services of Shri Dharendra Kumar Bhatt, Clerk/Cashier w.e.f. 17-8-1988 in violation of section 25-H of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is justified? If not, to what relief the workman concerned is entitled?"

2. Admitted facts of the case are that the workman Shri Dharendra Kumar Bhatt was appointed as a Clerk/Cashier at Panari Branch of Mahakaushal Kshetriya Gramin Bank, H.Q. 164, Shivaji Ward (Civil Lines), Narsinghpur on 1-2-1988 and his services were terminated on 17-8-1988.

3. The case of the workman is that he was appointed against the permanent post and worked there. Management has treated the workman absent from duties, in order to show artificial breaks and the management by unfair labour practice has terminated the services of the workman against the statutory provisions of I.D. Act. The workman has claimed for reinstatement with full back wages.

4. The case of the management is that the workman was engaged on daily wages for 165 days and his temporary service was for temporary work. He was legally terminated.

5. From the perusal of the statement of claim filed by the workman it is clear that the workman has not completed the work for 240 days in a calendar year. There is no evidence on record to show that the appointment of the workman was on permanent post. The Union representing the workman has prayed to withdraw the case and it is alleged that the workman has gainfully employed in Central Railway.

6. Consequently, the reference is answered in favour of the management and it is held that the workman has no dispute in relation to the terms of reference. No order as to costs.

ARVIND KUMAR AWASTHY Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 27 जनवरी, 1995

का. मा. 416.—केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि लोकहित में ऐसा अपेक्षित है कि तांबा खनन उद्योग को, जो औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की प्रथम अनुसूची की प्रविष्टि 13 के अंतर्गत आता है, उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित किया जाना चाहिए;

अतः अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खंड (द) के उपखंड (vi) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार

उक्त उद्योग को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनार्थ तत्काल प्रभाव से छः मास की कालावधि के लिए ब्लॉक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित करती है ।

[संख्या एस-11017/7/85-डी-1(ए)]

एस. एस. प्रशर, अधीक्षक सचिव

New Delhi, the 27th January, 1995

S.O. 416.—Whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the public interest requires that the Copper Mining Industry, which is covered by entry 13 in the First Schedule to the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), should be declared to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby declares with immediate effect the said industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act for a period of six months.

[No. S-11017/7/85-D.I(A)]

S. S. PRASHER, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 11 जनवरी, 1995

का. आ. 417.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अन्वय में, केन्द्रीय सरकार बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अन्वय में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण 2-बम्बई के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 11-1-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था ।

[संख्या एल-12012/351/91-आई.आर.बी.-2]

वी.के. शर्मा, डस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 11th January, 1995

S.O. 417.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-2, Bombay as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bank of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 11-1-95.

[No. L-12012/351/91-IR(B-II)]

V. K. SHARMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, BOMBAY

PRESENT :

Shri S. B. Panse, Presiding Officer.

Reference No. CGIT-2/22 of 1992

Employers in relation to the management of
Bank of India

AND

Their workmen

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers—Mr. L. D. D'Souza,
Representative.

For the Workmen—Mr. A. R. Phoujdar,
Representative.

Bombay, dated 14th December, 1994

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi by its letter No. L-12012/351/91-IR-(B-II) dated 10-4-92 had referred to the following industrial dispute for adjudication. It is in the following words :

“Whether the action of the management of Bank of India on denying promotion as Special Assistant at New Panvel Branch to Mrs. K. Deshpande w.e.f 1-12-1990 are justified? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?”

2. Mrs. Kanchan Deshpande, Cash-cum-Accounts Clerk works at New Panvel Branch of Raigad District. She is seniormost in the Region and is eligible for the post of Special Assistant. The posts of Special Assistant are being decided on the district strength of Clerks. The number of vacancies of Spl. Asstts. as per existing ratio i.e. one Spl. Asstt. for every 12 Clerks on the basis of the sanctioned strength as on 31st December, of previous year was fixed. On 1-8-85 the post of Special Assistant was created at New Panvel Branch as per the above formula. But that post was given to Shri Gadkari of New Panvel on 1-8-85. He was junior to Mrs. Deshpande. Shri Chavan, Spl. Asstt. who was working at Borli Mandla Branch up to 1-10-85 was transferred to Ailbagh branch for administrative convenience. It is the policy of the Bank to give the post of Special Assistant to eligible clerk. That post was offered to Mrs. Deshpande on 25-9-85 which she refused due to personal reasons on 25-9-85. But that post was not offered to the next eligible persons i.e. R. D. Joshi and Gadkari. That post was offered to Gadkari later on as it was convenient for him. It can be seen that the whole process of posting of Special Assistant at Borli Mandla branch was

manipulated by the management in such a way that one Shri Gadkari clerk working at Panvel branch and activist of Bank of India Staff Union, should get the post. Infact, that post should have been given to Mrs. Deshpande. Ultimately Shri Gadkari was favoured and appointed as Spl. Asstt. at New Panvel Branch since 1-8-85.

3. Mrs. Vaidya was working as the Spl. Asstt. at the Panvel Branch upto 1-12-90, until she resigned. Mrs. Deshpande being an eligible and seniormost that post should have been given to her. She made a representation to the Regional Manager regarding the same. The Union has also represented her case to the management. But she was not given that post.

4. The management had created zones after lapse of service of 8 years which is the change of service condition regarding the employees working in the Panvel Branch and New Panvel Branch it is contrary to section 9A of the Industrial Disputes Act. Notice required under that section is not done. Several members of the Alibag branch rejected the claim stating that they were deliberately not made award of the agreement dated 21-1-90 which took place between the management and the Bank of India Staff Union deliberately. It was brought to her notice only when she referred the dispute to the Assistant Labour Commissioner, Bombay. The Bank had done favouritism to Gadkari and adopted unfair labour practice by not offering the Spl. Asstt. post at New Panvel Branch to Mrs. Deshpande, on 21-9-85.

5. The Union claimed that in view of the different awards and the various Bipartite settlements the claim of the workman Mrs. Deshpande, the member of their Union is just and proper. She should have been given the post of Special Assistant at New Panvel Branch with effect from 7-8-85 or with effect from 1-12-90 for not giving such a post to her by the management is not justified. It is prayed that the management may be directed to appoint her in the post of Special Assistant at New Panvel Branch from 1-8-85 or from 1-12-90 with other reliefs.

6. The management by their written statement exh. 7 denied the claim of the union. It is averred that there was no vacancy on 7-8-85 at the new Panvel branch and as such the granting of Special Assistant post to Mrs. Deshpande does not arise. The Bank submits that as regards the vacancy at the said branch as on 1-12-90 due to the resignation of Mrs. Vaidya was supernumerary stood adjusted against the resignation of Vaidya as provided in the Memorandum of Understanding dated 24-1-1990 between the Bank and the Bank of India Staff Union, Pune. It is contended that a part of the reference pertaining to the demand for the assignment of Special Assistant duties with effect from 7-8-85 is also not tenable in as much as the

same is barred by laches and delay. It is averred that the said dispute is raised after a lapse of 7 years without any explanation and much less a satisfactory one has to be rejected. The management contended that all other allegations made in the Statement of Claim is unjust and without any basis. The management had not practised unfair labour practice nor it has changed any service condition without issuing a notice as contended by the union.

7. My Learned Predecessor framed issues for determination at Exh. 8. The issues and my findings thereon are as follows :

ISSUES	FINDINGS
1. Whether the Bank mangement has indulged in unfair Labour practices concerning the post of Mrs. Deshpande as Special Assistant ?	In the negative.
2. Whether the claim of the said lady of the period of August 1985 made in the year 1992 is barred by laches and delay?	In the affirmative.
3. Whether the claim of the management of Bank of India in denying promotion as Special Assistant at New Panvel Branch to Mrs. K. Deshpande w.e.f. 1-12-90 is justified ?	In the affirmative.
4. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to	Does not survive.
5. What Award ?	As per order below.

REASONS

8. No oral evidence is laid in this matter on behalf of the management or the workman.

9. Mrs. Deshpande was working at New Panvel branch in September 1985. She was the seniormost and eligible candidate for the post of Special Assistant. On 21-9-85 the Bank by its letter (Exh. 13/1) offered the post of Special Assistant at Panvel Mahad and Uran branches to Mrs. Deshpande

Mrs. Deshmukh the workman refused the said assignment on 25-9-85 (Exh. 13|1). She also refused the post which was given to her at the other places making some type of endorsements below the letter of offer.

10. As per the provisions of the Bipartite Settlement on account of the refusal to accept the said post the workman was debarred from the post of Special Assistant for a period of three years i.e. till 25-9-88. The provision for the post of Special Assistant was there in December 1985 but on that date the workman was not eligible for being assigned the duties of special assistant, at the said branch by way of refusal to accept the assignment of Special Assistant at the Borli Mandla branch as it appears from the documents which I have referred to above. There is no document to show that the post of Special Assistant at New Panvel Branch was accepted on 1-8-85. It can be further seen that the allegations of the union that Chavan the Special Assistant who was working at Borli Mandla branch on 21-9-85 and he was transferred to Alibag branch and then that post of Special Assistant was offered to the workman with a view that she should refuse it appears to be baseless. It is admitted position that the posting of Special Assistant will be done solely at the discretion of the management. The Employee fact has no choice in the matter and they cannot demand the posting of their own choice. It is well settled law that the management is the deciding authority to judge how to distribute its employees between the different branches. It is seen that normally the postings are given as per the convenience. It is alleged on behalf of the union that unfair Labour Practice was practiced by the management i.e. the Bank against the workman. But there is no oral evidence to that effect. Unless such evidence is before the Tribunal, it cannot be accepted. The workman had not lead any evidence to that effect.

11. Mrs. Vaidya resigned from the Bank from 1-12-90. At the time of her resigning she was the Special Assistant. The post was supernumeric, stood adjusted against the resignation as provided in the Bipartite settlement dated 24-1-90 between the Bank and its recognised union i.e. Bank of India Staff Union. It is tried to argue on behalf of the union that the workman was not made aware of the said settlement. In fact, the union had tried to term this settlement dated 24-1-80 as settlement to the Memorandum of understanding. It is a clear settlement and the parties are bound by it. It is not in dispute that this settlement was arrived at with the recognised union. Looking to the terms of the settlement the contention taken by the Bank that on 1-12-90 there was no vacancy in existence after the resignation of Mrs. Vaidya has to be accepted.

12. On the basis of the documents which are produced on the record it is tried to suggest that the transfer of Mr. Chavan to Alibag was manipulated by the Bank with a view that Mrs. Deshmukh should not accept that post. I have already observed that so far as the transfers are concerned they are prior to the accordance of the post in December 1985. Furthermore, from the record the malafides of the Bank, so far as the transfers are concerned, are not seen.

13. There was no vacancy on August 1, 1985 at New Panvel Branch. But if it is argued on behalf of the Bank that if it is said that there was a vacancy the claim of the workman for assigning the Special Assistant with effect from 1-8-85 is not tenable as it suffers from the laches. This dispute was raised by the union for the first time in the year 1989 i.e. after four years. No evidence is laid down informing the Tribunal the reasons of delay. No doubt the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 does not lay down the time limit to raise the dispute I find that the claim is liable to be rejected, as being bad in law as there exists no industrial dispute with regard to the alleged vacancy on 1-8-85.

14. In Bombay Union of Journalists and others and State of Bombay and another 1964, 1 LLJ 351 Their Lordships have observed that if the claim made is patently frivolous, or is clearly belated, the appropriate government may refuse to make a reference. The ratio given in the above said authority is aptly applicable to the present set of facts.

15. The union has tried to suggest that creation of zones is changed in the conditions of service and cannot take place unless appropriate notice under section 9A of the Industrial Disputes Act is given. In fact, section 9A of the act says the notice of change has to be given only in respect of matters specified in 4th schedule. Creation of zones is not an item included in the 4th schedule. Hence it is rightly submitted that section 9A of Industrial Disputes Act is not applicable. Furthermore in the settlement which is arrived at between the Bank and the recognised union a change had been brought about by the said settlement.

16. It is submitted at the time of argument that the workman Mrs. Deshpande is given the post of special assistant in the year 1992. Hence for the above said reasons I record my findings on the points accordingly and pass the following order:

ORDER

1. The action of the management of Bank of India in denying the promotion as Special Assistant at New Panvel Branch to Mrs. Deshpande with effect from 7-8-85 and again w.e.f. 1-12-1990 are justified.

2. No order as to costs.

S. B. PANSE, Presiding Officer
14-12-1994.

नई दिल्ली, 11 जनवरी, 1995

का. आ. 418.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सिंडिकेट बैंक के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण सं० 2, बम्बई के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 11-1-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-12012/328/90-आई.आर.बी.-2]

बी.के. शर्मा, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 11th January, 1995

S.O. 418.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal 2, Bombay as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Syndicate Bank and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 11-1-95.

[No. L-12012/328/90-IR(B-II)]

V. K. SHARMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, BOMBAY

PRESENT :

Shri S. B. Panse,

Presiding Officer

Reference No. CGIT-2/5 of 1991

Employers in relation to the management of Syndicate Bank

- AND

Their Workmen

APPEARANCES :

For the employers : Mr. V. V. Kukkilaya, Representative.

For the workmen : Mr. A. V. Bukhari, 2, Mrs. N. Kanetkar, Advocates.

Bombay, dated 13th December, 1994

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi by its letter No. L-12012/328/90-IR-B (II) dated 1-2-91 referred to the following industrial dispute for adjudication. It is in the following terms :

“Whether the action of the management of Syndicate Bank in terminating the services of Shri C. A. Umrادkar, Attender of Homji Street Branch of the Bank

w.e.f. 12-4-88 is justified ? If not, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled to ?”

2. The Syndicate Bank is the Employer and Shri Umrادkar is workman herein. He was working as an attendant with effect from 24-7-81. On 23-1-82 he was confirmed. He was working sincerely and his service was unblemished.

3. On 18-7-85 the workman was suspended. Thereafter the chargesheet was issued to him on 20-8-85 alleging that he had committed a gross misconduct. A domestic enquiry was held against him. But no procedure nor the principles of natural justice were followed. He was not given a proper opportunity to defend his case by the management and the case was closed with undue haste. It is further alleged that the enquiry was not conducted as per the provisions of the Bipartite Settlement. It is asserted that the Enquiry Officer acted in the interest of, the management. It is submitted that the findings arrived at by the Enquiry Officer are perverse, and bad in law. It is pleaded that the Disciplinary Authority awarded the punishment on the basis of the report of the Enquiry Officer is bad in law. The appeal which was preferred by the workman was dismissed. Hence he raised an industrial dispute before the Labour Commissioner. He sent a negative report in the matter. Ultimately the Government of India, Ministry of Labour sent the industrial dispute as stated above for adjudication.

4. The management by their written statement (Exh. W) denied the contentions taken by the workman. It is asserted that the whole enquiry which took place was as per the procedure laid down in the Bipartite settlement. It is pleaded that the principles of natural justice were followed at the time of the domestic enquiry. It is averred that full opportunity was given to the workman to defend his case to lead evidence. The conclusions which are drawn by the officer are just and proper. It is submitted that the suspension which is awarded to the workman is just & perfectly legal and proper. It cannot be said to be disproportionate to the charges proved against him. It is pleaded that the workman mis-appropriated Rs. 309/- by altering the postal outward register and claimed that inflated amount from the Bank. This charge is of a serious nature. The Bank being a financial institution dealing with the funds of the customers cannot consider such actions of the employees leniently and the action calls for deterrent punishment, which the management had awarded. It is submitted that the action of the management is perfectly legal and proper and it does not warrant any outward interference.

5. My Learned Predecessor framed issues at Exh. 12 for determination. The issue and my findings thereon are as follows :

ISSUES

FINDINGS

1. Whether the inquiry held against the workman Shri C. A. Umradkar was held properly, and the rules of natural justice were followed? YES
2. Whether the findings of the Inquiry Officer are perverse? YES
3. Whether the dismissal order dtd. 12-9-1988 passed by the Assistant General Manager of the Bank is bad in law and illegal? YES
4. Whether the action of the management of Syndicate Bank in termination of the services of Shri C. A. Umradkar, Attender Homji St. Branch of the Bank w.e.f. 12-4-88 is justified? NO
5. If not, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled to? As per order below
6. What Award? As per order below.

REASONS

5. Shri Umradkar examined himself at Exh. 16 to support his claim and the Enquiry Officer filed his affidavit at Exh.15 to support the charges relied on the documents at Exh. 13.

6. It is not in dispute that the workman Umradkar was working as the attendant at Homji Street Branch of the Syndicate Bank since 5th March, 1984. His duty was to affix stamps on the parcels to be mailed by the Bank. The same was given to him by the concerned mailing clerk for mailing the same. It is also not in dispute that he used to fix the stamps in the Franking Machine of the despatch section and mail the same post to various people as instructed by the concerned clerk.

7. It is also not in dispute that on 1st/2nd July, 1985 the Franking Machine of the First Party Bank went out of order and therefore it became necessary that the Specimen stamps were to be purchased from the Post Office and to be affixed on the mail to be sent by the Bank.

8. Shri G. S. Shenov was working as the Assistant Manager at that branch since April 1985. After through checking of the postal ledger of 10-7-85 he found that he was alleged to alterations in the Postal Outward Register. He made enquiry with the concerned clerks and Shri

Umradkar and then reported the matter to his superior i.e. Mr. Sundereshan, the Chief Manager. He in his terms made the inquiries and ultimately came to the conclusion that the workman had committed a gross mis-conduct of committing an act prejudicial to the interest of the Bank vide clause 19.5(J) of the Bipartite Settlement. Thereafter, a suspension order (Exh. 13|1) dtd. 18-7-85 was served on the workman. The charges were given to him which is dated 20-8-85 in the chargesheet (Exh. 13|2).

9. After the perusal of the chargesheet it is crystal clear that the charges which are levelled against the workman are clear in their terms. When he was asked regarding the same he denied to have committed these acts. He had nowhere mentioned that he did not follow the charges. He was asked to give his say in the matter. It can be seen that he took time for filing the say by letter (Exh. 13|3) dtd. 30-8-85 and 30-9-85. Later on he filed his say (Exh. 13|4) to the chargesheet. He denied all the allegations.

10. Thereafter, the Deputy General Manager, Zonal Office appointed the Enquiry Officer (Exh. 13|5). The Enquiry Officer then started the enquiry proceeding on 14-3-86. It can be seen that the workman was represented by one Mr. Nair, Joint Secretary Syndicate Bank Employees Union. The witnesses who were cross-examined by the management were cross-examined by the representative of the workman in detail. The workman himself examined as the defence witness. From the record it does not appear that the Enquiry Officer rejected the request of the workman for examining the witnesses or producing documents on the record. It can not be said that the workman had not given a fair opportunity at the time of the enquiry proceeding. The Enquiry Officer thereafter gave his report (Exh. 13|17).

11. The Assistant General Manager thereafter by his letter (Exh. 13|8) proposed the punishment of dismissal. By letter (Exh. 13|9) Workman was called for personal hearing. The workman, by his letter dtd. 5-2-88 (Exh. 13|10) made submissions to the Assistant General Manager after perusal of all that, the Assistant General Manager passed an order (Exh. 13|11) and dismissed him from the service. Thereafter the workman sent another letter (Exh. 13|12) preferring an appeal. A personal hearing was given by the Appellate Authority (Exh. 13|13) and ultimately he rejected the appeal of the workman and confirmed the final order (Exh. 13|14).

12. From the above said para it is very clear that the procedure which is laid down in para 19.12 and para 19.13 of the Bipartite Settlement was followed by the Enquiry Officer, Disciplinary Authority and Appellate Authority for the domestic enquiry. I do not find any flaw in the same. By no stretch of imagination it can be said that the principles of natural justice were not followed by

the Enquiry Officer while conducting the enquiry. The contention of the workman that at the time of the enquiry some ruling were given by the Enquiry Officer which are unjust, I am not inclined to accept it. There is no substance in it.

13. It is argued on behalf of the workman that the punishment given is unjust and illegal. It is also argued on behalf of the workman that the appointment of an Enquiry Officer is illegal. It is further submitted that the Assistant General Manager had no jurisdiction to pass an order of dismissal. He cannot be a disciplinary authority. The management on his behalf relied upon the circulars issued by the Bank which are at Exh. 13/15 and 13/16. By circular dated 7-4-81 it reveals that the Deputy General Manager of the Zone was competent authority to initiate the disciplinary proceedings including the issuing of chargesheet at that relevant time. Here in this case the Deputy General Manager had appointed the Enquiry Officer and issued the chargesheet to the workman and had done the preliminary things in the matter. It can be further seen that by another circular of the Bank dtd. 15-10-85 the Assistant General Manager in each of the Zones has been deposed as the Competent Authority to pass orders in the matter including awarding the punishment. On the basis of these averments it can be said that the action of the Bank in this domestic enquiry is perfectly legal and proper. The Assistant General Manager is competent to pass the final order in the domestic enquiry.

14. Now the crucial point which remains to be decided is whether the findings of the Enquiry Officer are perverse. It is argued on behalf of the workman that those findings are perverse because there is no basis to it. I find substance in the same. Shri Umradkar the workman in categorical terms has affirmed that after the failing of the Franking Machine it was necessary to purchase stamps from the Post Office and to affix on the mail. He affirmed that on 2nd, 4th, 8th & 9th July, he was asked to purchase stamps of various denominations worth Rs. 400, Rs. 520, Rs. 1000, Rs. 290.05 & Rs. 438 respectively. He purchased them and handed over to Miss Mamta Singhvi who asked him to purchase it. Thereafter, on those days Mamta handed over the parcels weighing them to be mailed along with the stamps to be fixed on each of such articles. He then did the job and posted the same. On 10-7-85 the Franking Machine was got repaired and thereafter the question of purchasing stamps did not arise at all. He affirmed that in that period Ms. Mamta used to enter the value of the stamps, fixed on the postal articles in the Postal Outward register. He never entered the said amount in the said register.

15. Mr. B. R. Pai, the Enquiry Officer in categorical terms admits that he was not present when the workman was charged before the Chief Manager which finds place in the chargesheet. In 301 GI/95-13,

his report he has given in writing the said alleged admissions of the workman before the Chief Manager. The workman had denied categorically before the Tribunal and in reply of the show cause notice that he had made such alterations. He also had admitted that he had mentioned in his affidavit regarding the admission of the workman in presence of the Postal Clerk on the basis of the record. But he had no personal knowledge regarding the same. It is not in dispute that the Postal outward register, Postal outward journal and vouchers were not maintained by the workman. It was not in his handwriting. The handwriting of the workman with the disputed handwriting was not sent to the handwriting expert for his report. There is no report of the handwriting expert alleging that the alterations of the registers were in the handwriting of the Workman.

16. Then what remains from the report of the Enquiry Officer is that the alleged admission given by the workman before the Chief Manager. It is denied by him. After perusal of the statements of Sundarshan the Chief Manager, & Shenoy the Assistant Manager I am not inclined to accept that the workman admitted his guilt before the Officers. It appears from their testimony that as Ms. Mamta Singhvi who was looking after the registers denied to have made these alleged alterations. They asked the workman about it. As Umradkar had denied to have accepted the guilt, it was necessary to prove it by other means. The alleged admission given before the Chief Manager Sundarshan or of Shenoy cannot be said to be proved one. Ms. Mamta Singhvi and Vandana Hankare whose letter was produced on the record were not examined by the management in the enquiry proceeding. The evidence of Ms. Singhvi was the only material in the matter which had not taken place at all. The report which was prepared by the Enquiry Officer on the basis of the testimony of Sundarshan and Shenoy is not acceptable. It can be further seen that in the cross-examination of these two witnesses it reveals that the work of maintaining the register was that of Mamta and not of the workman. There is no record to show that the workman was asked to look after the maintenance of the record. It can be further seen that those registers were kept in the custody of Mamta. They were lying on her table which is a fact as proved from the testimony of More, the watchman. For all these reasons I find that the conclusion which are drawn by the Enquiry Officer are perverse and not based on the legal findings.

17. It is tried to suggest on behalf of the workman that Vandana and Mamta were not examined by the management. In fact, it is the choice of the management to examine the witnesses. From the proceedings it appears that they had taken steps to examine Mamta but could not do so. In Jagdish Prasad Singh v/s. State of U.P. and others 1990 (6) FLR Page 716 it is observed by their LORD-

SHIPS that Non-production of material evidence the opportunity to cross-examine the witness not given which would prejudice his defence. The facts of that case are quite different then the facts before me and it had no application.

18. In Central Bank of India V/s. P. C. Jain AIR 1969 S.C. Page 983, THEIR LORDSHIP have observed that the Tribunal can disregard the findings of the Enquiry Officer if they are perverse. The test of perversity is that the findings may not be supported by any legal evidence by all. Furthermore, there are two cases where the findings of the domestic Enquiry Officer dealing with the departmental proceeding against the workman can be interfered with. These two are cases in which the findings are not based on legal evidence or such as no reasonable person would have arrived at on the basis of the material before it. The ratio given in this authority has application to the present set of facts. For all the above said observations I find that the findings which are given by the Enquiry Officer are perverse. I record my findings on the points accordingly and pass the following order:—

ORDER

1. The action of the management of Syndicate Bank in terminating the services of Shri C. A. Umradkar, Attender Homji St. Branch of the Bank w.e.f. 12-4-1988 is unjustified.
2. The Bank is directed to re-instate the workman Shri C. A. Umradkar to his original post of Attender with continuity in service and full back wages with immediate effect.
3. The Bank to pay Rs. 300 as the cost of this reference to the workman.

13-12-1994 S. S. PANSE, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 13 जनवरी, 1995

का.आ. 419.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सारस्वत कोऑपरेटिव बैंक लि. के प्रबन्धतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, सं. 2, बम्बई के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 10-1-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-12015/1/95-आईआर (बी-1)]
पी.जे. माईकल, ईस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 13th January, 1995

S.O. 419.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal,

No. 2, Bombay as shown in the Annexure. in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Saraswat Co-op. Bank Ltd. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 10-1-1995

[No. L-12015/1/95-IR(B.1)]

P. J. MICHAEL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2 BOMBAY

PRESENT :

Shri S. B. Panse
Presiding Officer

COMPLAINT NO. CGIT-2/1 OF 1992
IN

Reference No. CGIT-2/33 of 1988

PARTIES :

Saraswat Co-op. Bank Employees Union,
Bombay ... Complainant

V/S.

The Saraswat Co-op. Bank Ltd.,
Bombay. ... Opponent

APPEARANCES :

For the Complainant—Shri A.P. Kulkarni,
Advocate.

For the Opponent—1. Shri K. M. Naik,
Mrs. Mitra Das Advocates.

Bombay, dated 12th December, 1994

AWARD

This Complaint application is arising out of the reference No. CGIT-2/33 of 1988. It is in respect of the post of Sub-Accountant from the post of clerk and the promotion of peons to the post of clerks of the workman employed by the Bank.

2. The union, by giving details in the Complaint narrated how the Bank had committed a breach of the mandatory provisions of Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act while appointing ten workman as Clerk-cum-Typist or Peons at their Margao branch at Goa. It also prayed for the direction of holding an examination and restoring the original status of these persons with other reliefs.

3. The management filed their written statement at Exh. 4 and denied the contents.

4. I am not given any details of what is the claim of the union on the details of the written statement. It is because the Complainant union filed parshis at Exh. 8 informing that it does not desire to prosecute the complaint and the same may be disposed of for want of prosecution. Under

such circumstance, nothing remained to be decided in the matter and hence I pass the following order :—

ORDER

1. The Complaint application is disposed of for want of prosecution.
2. No order as to costs.

S. B. PANSE, Presiding Officer

12-12-1994

नई दिल्ली, 30 जनवरी, 1995

का. आ. 129.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुच्छेद में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, मै. ईस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लि. की चित्रा कोलियरी के प्रबन्धन के संवाद नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुच्छेद में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, (सं. 1) धानबाद की पंचपट की प्रशिक्षित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार की 24-1-95 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एन-20012(213)/89-आई.आर. (कोल-1)]

ब्राज मोहन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 30th January, 1995

S.O. —In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, (No. 1) Dhanbad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M/s. Eastern Coalfields Ltd., Chitra Colliery and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 24-1-1995.

[No. L-20012(213)/89-IR(COAL-I)]
BRAJ MOHAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. I, DHANBAD
In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)-(d) (2-A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Reference No. 35 of 1990

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Chitra Colliery of M/s. Eastern Coalfields Ltd.

AND
Their workmen

PRESENT :

Shri P. K. Sinha,
Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers : Shri B. M. Prasad, Advocate.

For the Workmen : None.

State : Bihar.

Industry : Coal.

Dhanbad, the 18th January, 1995

AWARD

By Order No. L-20012/213/89-I.R. (Coal-I) dated the 19th February, 1990, the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2-A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:—

“Whether the action of the management of S. P. Mines Chitra Colliery of M/s. Eastern Coalfields Limited in not employing Shri Gopal Singh, Haulage Khalasi as Traffic Munshi/Loading Clerk and not giving him wages of Gr. II is justified although he is working since 1980 on that post? If not, to what relief he is entitled to?”.

2. The dispute has been settled out of Tribunal. A memorandum of settlement has been filed in this Tribunal. I have gone through the terms of settlement and I find those to be fair and reasonable. I allow the prayer to pass an award in terms of settlement. The memorandum of settlement shall form part of this award.

3. Let a copy of this award be sent to the Ministry as required under Sec. 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P. K. SINHA, Presiding Officer

FORM-H

FORM FOR MEMORANDUM OF SETTLEMENT

Ref. Case No. 35/90

NAME OF PARTIES :

Representing employer(s).—Shri Amitav Sinha, Addl. CPM, HQ ECL, Sanctoria.

Representing workman.—Shri Chintamani Mondal, Secretary U.C.W.C.((AITUC).

The short recital of the case :—

The case of regularisation of Shri Gopal Singh, Haulage Khalasi working as Pit Clerk was raised by the Secretary, U.C.W.U. with some other points at the corporate level at ECL, HQ, Sanctoria. The matter was discussed with the Director (Personnel), ECL and the competent authority agreed to

consider the case of regularisation of Sri Gopal Singh from haulage Khalasi to Pit Clerk (TR to MK) as communicated vide letter No. ECL : CMD : C-6E : 22 : 935 dated 01-10-93 issued by Shri Amitab Sinha, Addl. CPM(IR), ECL HQ Sanctoria.

Accordingly, an agreement was entered into between the aforesaid union and the management on 08-10-93 and Shri Gopal Singh, Haulage Khalasi regularised as Pit Clerk vide letter No. ECL : SP M: Agent : Dy P.M: 42: 499 dated 23-11-93 issued by the then Dy. P.M., SP Mines with competent approval.

TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

The case of Sri Gopal Singh, Haulage Khalasi Category-V working as Pit Clerk is pending before the Tribunal-I at Dhanbad. However, an amicable settlement with the union led by Sri Chintamani Mondal of U.C.W.U. (AITUC) at corporate level was arrived at 18-8-93. Addl. CPM(IR), Sanctoria ref. No. ECL : CMD:C-6B:GM(IR):93:362 dated 30-8-93, it was decided that Sri Gopal Singh will be regularised, as:—

- I: Sri Gopal Singh will be designated as Pit Clerk in Clerical Grade-II with immediate effect.
- II. No claim of any arrears wages on the above will be claimed and payable.
- III. The case pending before tribunal will be withdrawn by the union without cost. The union will enter into formal settlement in form 'H' to be signed by both the parties and the same will be placed before the Tribunal for consent award.
- IV. His fitment is regularised in clerical grade-II will be done giving wage protection, if no stage in Clerical, Gr-II will be available, his wages will be protected by adjusting personal pay.

Signature of the parties :

Management

1. Sd/- Sri KD Chadda, GM
S. P. Mines.
2. Sd/- Sri H. B. Prasad, Dy. PM.

Union

1. Sd/- Sri Chintamani Mondal
Secy. UCWU.
2. Sd/- Sri Hakim Hembram.

Witnesses

1. Sd/- Sri Gopal Singh.
2. Sd/- Sri Sachchidanand Jha.

This agreement in Form-H is entered as on thirteen day of December on thousand nine hundred ninety four.

Certificate of Implementation.

"We certify that the above agreement was implemented on 23-11-1993.

Management

1. General Manager,
S. P. Mines.
2. Sri G. S. Jha,
Personnel Manager,
S. P. Mines.

Union

1. Sri Chintamani Mondal,
Secy. U.C.W.U.
2. Sri Hakim Hembram.

Part of the Award.

Witnesses

1. Sri Gopal Singh, Pit Clerk.
2. Sri Sachchidanand Jha.

Signature of Conciliation Officer.
Board of Conciliation.

c. c. to:—

ALC(C), Dhanbad.
CLC(C), New Delhi.
RLC(C), Dhanbad.

नई दिल्ली, 31 जनवरी, 1995

का.आ. 421 .—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार मराठवाड़ा ग्रामीण बैंक, के प्रबन्धन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नं. 2, बम्बई के पंचपट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 16 जनवरी, 1995 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[संख्या एल-12012/133/92-आईआर-बी III/बी.1]
पी.जे. माईकल, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 31st January, 1995

S.O. 421.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, No. 2, Bombay as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Marathwada Gramin Bank, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 16-1-95.

[No. L-12012/133/92-IR.B.III[B.I.]
P. J. MICHAEL, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, BOMBAY

PRESENT:

Shri S. B. Panse, Presiding Officer.

Reference No. CGIT, 2/64 of 1992

Employers in Relation to the Management of Marathwada Gramin Bank.

AND

Their Workmen

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers—1. Shri R. G. Londhe
2. Shri R. M. Samudra Representatives

For the Workmen.—Shri T. B. Hargange Representative.

Bombay, dated 2nd January, 1995

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi by its letter No. L-12012/133/92-IR.-B.III/B.I dated 23-11-92 referred to the following industrial dispute for adjudication.

SCHEDULE

“Whether Shri Sardar Khan S/o Shri Mahabat Khan is a workman of Marathwada Gramin Bank? If so, whether the action of the management of Marathwada Gramin Bank in not regularising his services is justified? If not, to what relief(s) he is entitled to?”

2. Sardar Khan is the member of the Marathwada Regional Gramin Employees Union. His claim is filed by the Secretary of the Union. It is contended that Shri Sardar Khan was appointed as a messenger/Hamal on daily wages basis on the oral order of the incharge of the General Administrative division of Marathwada Gramin Bank, Head Office Nanded in July, 1989. Initially, he was paid Rs. 10 per day and then the amount was increased to Rs. 15 and Rs. 20 in the later months. He was paid the amount by vouchers.

3. The union by its letter dtd. 16-10-91 demanded payment of Bonus to Sardar Khan for the year 1990-91. The workman also demanded bonus and regularisation of his services as a messenger by his letter dtd. December 2, 1991. The Chairman of the Bank earlier promised to take the necessary steps to regularise the service of Shri Sardar Khan but instead of that the Bank summarily terminated the services contrary to the terms of the agreement dated 14-10-91.

4. Sardar Khan was working under the supervision and control of the Officer Incharge of the General Administrative division of the Respondent

Bank and the Bank directly paid the wages to him. The management had destroyed/alterd the record of payment with view to avoid the regularisation of the services, of Sardar Khan. It is averred that the duties which Sardar Khan was doing was later on done by another workman and their services were confirmed by the management. The seniority list was prepared by the management and the junior was confirmed leaving aside the claim of Sardar Khan. It is denied that the worker Sardar Khan was a petty contractor and has filed his claim with a oblige motive. It is asserted that the demand of the Sardar Khan was rejected because he requested the management to regularise his services and pay the statutory bonus. It is prayed that the services of Sardar Khan be regularised from the date when the juniors were appointed or from the date of his leaving, with full back wages and continuity in service, and other reliefs.

5. The management opposed the claim by their written statement at Exh. 5. It is asserted that as Sadrar Khan is not a workman at all, the reference is not tenable. The Central Government is also in a dilemma about these points while making the reference. It is therefore the Tribunal has no jurisdiction. It is asserted that Sardar Khan was appointed on the basis of contract for work and service. He was paid daily wages on the basis of the contract done by him on that day and not on the basis of the number of days. It is submitted that the workman was not under the supervision by the incharge of the General Administrative division. It is denied that the workman was working in the Bank on the daily wages and he is entitled to claim Bonus or regularisation of service. It is submitted that there is no justification in the claim. It is liable to be rejected.

6. My Learned Predecessor framed issues at Exh. 6. The issues and my findings thereon are as follows:—

Issues	Findings
1. Whether Shri Sardar Khan S/o Shri Mahatab Khan is/was a workman of the Marathwada Gramin Bank ?	Temporary messenger/Hamal
2. If so, whether the action of the management of Marathwada Gramin Bank in not regularising his services is justified?	The action is justified.
3. If not, to what relief(s) he is entitled?	Does not survive.
4. What Award?	As per award below.

REASONS

7. To Bolster up the case the union examined Sardar Khan who is the workman (Exh. 10). Shri N. H. Pitadiya (Exh. 11) is the General Secretary of the Union, Shri Nitin D. Nesari (Exh. 12) is the clerk working in the administrative division of the Bank and Shri D. M. Patil (Exh. 13) is the Ex-Officer incharge of that particular wing. A reliance was also placed on the documents which were produced on the record along with the statement of claim. On the other hand no oral evidence was laid by the management.

8. Sardar Khan affirmed that he was appointed by the oral orders of the Officer incharge of the General Administrative division as a messenger|hamal in the Bank in May 1984. He was initially paid Rs. 10 per day that is till May 1991. Thereafter, he was paid Rs. 15 per day for June and July and Rs. 20 till the termination of his services. He produced the vouchers or the payments which he received at Exh. B to B28. From the perusal of these vouchers, it reveals that the payment which was made to him per day was the labour charges. It does not reveal that that amount paid to him is for doing a particular job. Say for instance making parcels of some papers, lifting 50 chairs from one place and sending them to a particular branch and so forth. In the other words, it can be said from these vouchers that the amount paid to him was not for performing the particular quantity of work. I am emphasizing this point with a view that time and again it was argued on behalf of the management that Sardar Khan was engaged for contract for service and not for contract of service.

9. Sardar Khan affirmed that he was coming to the Bank daily at about 10 a.m. and was working upto 6.00 p.m. with half an hour interval. He was doing the work of locking of the doors of GAD, opening of doors and windows Sweeping of premises of GAD, cleaning of furniture, packing and stitching of stationary bundles—despatch of bundles to various branches by S.T. parcels or handover to staff of branches, delivery of tapal of GAD to account section, arranging of stationary on particular racks, locking of the doors & other work directed by clerks and officer of GAD. These work were done by him under the supervision and control of Clerks and Officers of GAD. He affirmed that packing and distribution of stationary is the work of a regular nature as 223 branches and Area Offices is centralised to GAD. There is no dispute that the stationary includes printing forms like deposit challans, promisory notes, cheque book and draft books and many printed forms of daily use in the Bank working. He admits that he was a temporary employee and never demanded wage

for holidays. It is not in dispute that he had not signed the muster rolls. There is one admission in his deposition that he accepted that he received the pay on per day basis as per the work done. It appears that the single admission does not go against him. Totally, looking to the nature of work he affirmed and the other witnesses who supported him.

10. Mr. Nitin D. Naseri was working as a clerk in the General Administrative division of the Bank. Sardar Khan was working in his department on daily wages. He affirmed that he himself, Sardar Khan and all other staff of the department were working under supervision, control and directions of Officer Incharge of General Administrative Division. Sardar Khan was working as a messenger|Hamal. He supports Sardar Khan on the point of work as deposed. It is tried to suggest that with an ulterior motive he is deposing to help the workman. From his testimony, it has not come on the record that a particular job work was given to Sardar Khan on a particular day and then the payment was made. He admits that from the record of GAD it cannot be said that a particular person is a permanent or a temporary empoyce or workman. Shri D. M. Patil (exh. 13) now who is the branch manager admits that he was Officer Incharge of the General Administrative Division of the bank since 5th April, 1991. He had issued the receipts exh. B1 to B28 to Sardar Khan in respect of the wages paid to him. He admits that the voucher indicates the days of work done by Sardar Khan. He admitted in the cross-examination that Sardar Khan was doing the work of Coolie Mamal like taking out stationary, filing etc. He was not in the stationery department for full time. He used to give instructions to the concerned clerks in the stationery department and then he used to leave the place. His work was of a supervisory nature. He denied the suggestion that he was not supervising the work of Sardar Khan.

11. From the testimony of all these three witnesses it is very clear that Sardar Khan was working as a messenger|Hamal in the General Administrative division of the Bank. He worked for contract in service. The Learned representative for the management placed reliance on the Akhil Raj Rajya Hand Pump Mistries Sanghathan, Banswara & Anr. v/s. State of Rajasthan & Ors. 1994,—II—LLJ page 631. That was a case where the Panchayat Samiti engaged hand pump mistries for the repairs of the hand pumps for the smooth supply of water to the villagers. They were not there in the pay rolls. Their work was of a typical nature i.e. repairing the pumps of the villare. They were free to do any other work. The nature of the contract was specific. Relying on this authority it is tried to argue that Sardar Khan was given a specific work of handling of stationery and furnitures, and as such he cannot be said to be in the temporary

employment of the management. The facts of the case are quite different than the facts before me. It has no application. I have already given the details about the nature of the work of Sardar Khan which was done by him.

12. Shri Navin Hansaraj Pithadiya the General Secretary of the Union affirmed that Sardar Khan was working as a messenger/hamal in the General Administrative Division of the Bank. In the year 1986, the union raised a dispute before the Regional Labour Commissioner for the appointment of sub-staff in the Bank. In the conciliation proceeding it was settled that all the messengers appointed on that day must be regularised as full time messengers. He affirmed that the National Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad by its award stated that all the messengers taken on or after 31-12-90 are to be taken on full time basis and on full scale. This is admitted by the management. He affirmed that the terms of agreement which was signed between the Management and the Union are not adhered to by the management while terminating the services of the workman. I do not find any substance in it because workman has not completed 240 days of continuous working days in one calendar year. Sardar Khan, nor his witness have affirmed that he worked for 240 days in one calendar year. Leaving aside the earlier evidence after perusal of the vouchers which are on the record it reveals that he worked for 15 days in April 1991, 20 days in May 1991, 17 days in June 1991, 21 days in July

1991, no work in August 1991, 21 days in September 1991, 23 days in October 1991, 20 days in November 1991, 20 days in December 1991, 23 days in January 1992, 4 days in February 1992 and no days in March 1992. The total comes to 184 days. Under such circumstances his services cannot be regularised as he has not completed 240 days of continuous working days in a calendar year. It is tried to argue on behalf of the management that as the workman has completed only 184 days of service in a year, his services cannot be regularised. Nothing is brought to my notice as to whether 180 days of service are sufficient for the regularisation of the services of the workman. The Law provides for 240 days of service for regularisation when it is noticed that there are breaks in the service. For all these reasons, I record my findings on the points accordingly and pass the following order :

ORDER

1. Sardar Khan S/o Shri Mahabat Khan was a temporary Messenger/Hamal of the Marathwada Gramin Bank.
2. The action of the management of the Marathwada Gramin Bank in not regularising the services of Sardar Khan is justified.
3. No order as to costs.

S. B. PANSE, Presiding Officer

